Michael S. Watson, PhD, Michele A. Lloyd-Puryear, MD, PhD, Marie Y. Mann, MD, MPH, Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD, and R. Rodney Howell, MD, editors

Background: States vary widely in their use of newborn screening tests, with some mandating screening for as few as three conditions and others mandating as many as 43 conditions, including varying numbers of the 40+ conditions that can be detected by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). There has been no national guidance on the best candidate conditions for newborn screening since the National Academy of Sciences report of 1975¹ and the United States Congress Office of Technology Assessment report of 1988,² despite rapid developments since then in genetics, in screening technologies, and in some treatments. **Objectives:** In 2002, the Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) of the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the United States Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) commissioned the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) to:

- 1. Conduct an analysis of the scientific literature on the effectiveness of newborn screening.
- Gather expert opinion to delineate the best evidence for screening for specified conditions and develop recommendations focused on newborn screening, including but not limited to the development of a uniform condition panel.
- 3. Consider other components of the newborn screening system that are critical to achieving the expected outcomes in those screened.

Methods: A group of experts in various areas of subspecialty medicine and primary care, health policy, law, public health, and consumers worked with a steering committee and several expert work groups, using a two-tiered approach to assess and rank conditions. A first step was developing a set of principles to guide the analysis. This was followed by developing criteria by which conditions could be evaluated, and then identifying the conditions to be evaluated. A large and broadly representative group of experts was asked to provide their opinions on the extent to which particular conditions met the selected criteria, relying on supporting evidence and references from the scientific literature. The criteria were distributed among three main categories for each condition:

- 1. The availability and characteristics of the screening test;
- 2. The availability and complexity of diagnostic services; and
- 3. The availability and efficacy of treatments related to the conditions. A survey process utilizing a data collection instrument was used to gather expert opinion on the conditions in the first tier of the assessment. The data collection format and survey provided the opportunity to quantify expert opinion and to obtain the views of a diverse set of interest groups (necessary due to the subjective nature of some of the criteria). Statistical analysis of data produced a score for each condition, which determined its ranking and initial placement in one of three categories (high scoring, moderately scoring, or low scoring/absence of a newborn screening test). In the second tier of these analyses, the evidence base related to each condition was assessed in depth (e.g., via systematic reviews of reference lists including MedLine, PubMed and others; books; Internet searches; professional guidelines; clinical evidence; and cost/economic evidence and modeling). The fact sheets reflecting these analyses were evaluated by at least two acknowledged experts for

DOI: 10.1097/01.gim.0000223467.60151.02

Genetics IN Medicine

[†] A medical food is prescribed by a physician when a patient has special nutrient needs in order to manage a disease or health condition, and the patient is under the physician's ongoing care. The label must clearly state that the product is intended to be used to manage a specific medical disorder or condition. An example of a medical food is a food for use by persons with PKU, i.e., foods formulated to be free of the amino acid phenylalanine.

² The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) provides relevant protections regarding patient privacy. The federal privacy regulations do not prohibit or interfere with newborn screening and follow-up. Covered entities must track disclosures made without written patient authorization for services other than treatment, payment, and operations, so that the covered entity can provide accounting on patient request. A discussion of the HIPAA issues relating to newborn screening in the context of public health is available in Appendix 4.

³ This and the following economic analyses may best be done through the funding of special projects due to the expense of documentation

⁴ Consider collecting data from a subset that includes all screen-positive newborns from which an overall rate can be extrapolated with minimal increased cost to the program. Consider initially collecting data from a subset that includes all screen-positive newborns for which the data already is needed. From these, an overall rate can be extrapolated with minimal increased cost. The goal is to know all and is dependant on the development of databases in which this information can be maintained and would be facilitated by inclusion on blood collection cards. Identification of undocumented newborns is increasingly important to their participation in such programs. This is an important issue that involves States, hospitals, providers, insurers, and mothers.

⁵ For a guidance article on the HIPAA Privacy Rule and Public Health written by CDC and DHHS. see the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report for April 11, 2003, vol. 52 pp. 1-21, and www.cdc.gov/privacyrules and www.hrsa.gov/website.htm.

each condition. These experts assessed the data and the associated references related to each criterion and provided corrections where appropriate, assigned a value to the level of evidence and the quality of the studies that established the evidence base, and determined whether there were significant variances from the survey data. Survey results were subsequently realigned with the evidence obtained from the scientific literature during the second-tier analysis for all objective criteria, based on input from at least three acknowledged experts in each condition. The information from these two tiers of assessment was then considered with regard to the overriding principles and other technology or condition-specific recommendations. On the basis of this information, conditions were assigned to one of three categories as described above:

- 1. Core Panel;
- 2. Secondary Targets (conditions that are part of the differential diagnosis of a core panel condition.); and
- 3. Not Appropriate for Newborn Screening (either no newborn screening test is available or there is poor performance with regard to multiple other evaluation criteria).

ACMG also considered features of optimal newborn screening programs beyond the tests themselves by assessing the degree to which programs met certain goals (e.g., availability of educational programs, proportions of newborns screened and followed up). Assessments were based on the input of experts serving in various capacities in newborn screening programs and on 2002 data provided by the programs of the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center (NNSGRC). In addition, a brief cost-effectiveness assessment of newborn screening was conducted.

Results:

Uniform panel – A total of 292 individuals determined to be generally representative of the regional distribution of the United States population and of areas of expertise or involvement in newborn screening provided a total of 3,949 evaluations of 84 conditions. For each condition, the responses of at least three experts in that condition were compared with those of all respondents for that condition and found to be consistent. A score of 1,200 on the data collection instrument provided a logical separation point between high scoring conditions (1,200–1,799 of a possible 2,100) and low scoring (<1,000) conditions. A group of conditions with intermediate scores (1,000–1,199) was identified, all of which were part of the differential diagnosis of a high scoring condition or apparent in the result of the multiplex assay. Some are identified by screening laboratories and others by diagnostic laboratories. This group was designated as a "secondary target" category for which the program must report the diagnostic result.

Using the validated evidence base and expert opinion, each condition that had previously been assigned to a category based on scores gathered through the data collection instrument was reconsidered. Again, the factors taken into consideration were: 1) available scientific evidence; 2) availability of a screening test; 3) presence of an efficacious treatment; 4) adequate understanding of the natural history of the condition; and 5) whether the condition was either part of the differential diagnosis of another condition or whether the screening test results related to a clinically significant condition.

The conditions were then assigned to one of three categories as previously described (core panel, secondary targets, or not appropriate for Newborn Screening).

Among the 29 conditions assigned to the core panel are three hemoglobinopathies associated with a Hb/S allele, six amino acidurias, five disorders of fatty oxidation, nine organic acidurias, and six unrelated conditions (congenital hypothyroidism (CH), biotinidase deficiency (BIOT), congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH), classical galactosemia (GALT), hearing loss (HEAR) and cystic fibrosis (CF)). Twenty-three of the 29 conditions in the core panel are identified with multiplex technologies such as tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) or high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). On the basis of the evidence, six of the 35 conditions initially placed in the core panel were moved into the secondary target category, which expanded to 25 conditions. Test results not associated with potential disease in the infant (e.g., carriers) were also placed in the secondary target category. When newborn screening laboratory results definitively establish carrier status, the result should be made available to the health care professional community and families.

Twenty-seven conditions were determined to be inappropriate for newborn screening at this time.

Conditions with limited evidence reported in the scientific literature were more difficult to evaluate, quantify and place in one of the three categories. In addition, many conditions were found to occur in multiple forms distinguished by age-of-onset, severity, or other features. Further, unless a condition was already included in newborn screening programs, there was a potential for bias in the information related to some criteria. In such circumstances, the quality of the studies underlying the data such as expert opinion that considered case reports and reasoning from first principles determined the placement of the conditions into particular categories.

Newborn screening program optimization – Assessment of the activities of newborn screening programs, based on program reports, was done for the six program components: education; screening; follow-up; diagnostic confirmation; management; and program evaluation. Considerable variation was found between programs with regard to whether particular aspects (e.g., prenatal education program availability, tracking of specimen collection and delivery) were included and the degree to which they are provided. Newborn screening program evaluation systems also were assessed in order to determine their adequacy and uniformity with the goal being to improve interprogram evaluation and comparison to ensure that the expected outcomes from having been identified in screening are realized. Conclusions: The state of the published evidence in the fast-moving worlds of newborn screening and medical genetics has not kept up with the implementation of new technologies, thus requiring the considerable use of expert opinion to develop recommendations about a core panel of conditions for newborn screening. Twenty-nine conditions were identified as primary targets for screening from which all components of the newborn screening system should be maximized. An additional 25 conditions were listed that could be identified in the course of screening for core panel conditions. Programs are obligated to establish a diagnosis and communicate the result to the health care provider and family. It is recognized that screening may not have been maximized for the detection of these secondary conditions but that some proportion of such cases may be found among those screened for core panel conditions. With additional screening, greater training of primary care health care professionals and subspecialists will be needed, as will the development of an infrastructure for appropriate follow-up and management throughout the lives of children who have been identified as having one of these rare conditions. Recommended actions to overcome barriers to an optimal newborn screening system include:

- The establishment of a national role in the scientific evaluation of conditions and the technologies by which they are screened;
- Standardization of case definitions and reporting procedures;
- Enhanced oversight of hospital-based screening activities;
- Long-term data collection and surveillance; and
- Consideration of the financial needs of programs to allow them to deliver the appropriate services to the screened population. *Genet Med* 2006:8(5, Supplement):12S–252S.

INTRODUCTION

The work reported here is pursuant to the HRSA/MCHB Contract No. 240-01-0038, *Standardization of Outcomes and Guidelines for State Newborn Screening Programs*. In 1999, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Newborn Screening Task Force recommended that, "HRSA should engage in a national process involving government, professionals, and consumers to advance the recommendations of this Task Force and assist in the development and implementation of nationally recognized newborn screening system standards and policies." The Task Force was concerned about the lack of uniformity among states, particularly with regard to their newborn screening condition panels.

In 2001, in response to that recommendation, HRSA/MCHB requested that ACMG outline a process of standardization of outcomes and guidelines for State newborn screening programs and define responsibilities for collecting and evaluating outcome data, including a recommended uniform panel of conditions to include in State newborn screening programs. It was expected that the analytical endeavor and subsequent recommendations be definitive and that the recommendations be based on the best scientific evidence and analysis of that evidence. ACMG was specifically asked to develop recommendations to address:

Genetics IN Medicine

- A uniform condition panel (including implementation methodology);
- 2. Model policies and procedures for State newborn screening programs (with consideration of a national model);
- 3. Model minimum standards for State newborn screening programs (with consideration of national oversight);
- 4. A model decision matrix for consideration of State newborn screening program expansion; and
- 5. Consideration of the value of a national process for quality assurance and oversight.

This report is a product of the work undertaken by ACMG for HRSA. A methods section begins by providing the broad context for the newborn screening system and the overarching principles for developing newborn screening guidelines. It then provides the criteria that were used in the analyses of conditions under consideration for newborn screening programs. This is followed by a description of the development and use of tools to collect data that would complement evidence gathered from a review of the scientific literature, and also by a description of the process for obtaining additional expert information and opinion. The results of these analyses are provided, as well as recommendations for moving forward.

Although the criteria by which the conditions are evaluated and the results of those evaluations are the primary goals of this effort, associated and supporting goals also are described because of their relevance to the newborn screening system. In order to realize the expected outcomes for newborns and their families, the full system must be operating efficiently and effectively.^{3–6} Efforts have been made to assess the newborn screening system based on its component parts, which allows for the development of specific standards for program performance and for an assessment of status of the programs. This assessment also provides the opportunity to determine the extent to which a systematic national approach to quality assessment and assurance is possible.

SECTION I: DEVELOPING A UNIFORM SCREENING PANEL

A. Background

In the United States, newborn screening is a highly visible and important State-based public health program^{2,7-10} that began over 40 years ago. Since the early 1960s, when Robert Guthrie^{11,12} devised a screening test for phenylketonuria (PKU) using a newborn bloodspot dried onto a filter paper card, more than 150 million infants have been screened for a number of genetic and congenital disorders. States and territories mandate newborn screening of all infants born within their jurisdiction for certain treatable disorders that may not otherwise be detected before developmental disability or death occurs. Newborns with these disorders typically appear normal at birth. The testing and follow-up services of newborn screening programs are designed to provide early diagnosis and treatment before significant, irreversible damage occurs. Appropriate compliance with the medical management prescribed can allow most affected newborns to develop normally. The generally acknowledged components of a newborn screening system^{4,6,13} include the following:

- 1. Education of professionals and parents;
- 2. Screening (specimen collection, submission, and testing);
- 3. Follow-up of abnormal and unsatisfactory test results;
- 4. Confirmatory testing and diagnosis;
- 5. Medical management and periodic outcome evaluation; and
- 6. System quality assurance, including program evaluation, validity of testing systems, efficiency of follow-up and intervention, and assessments of long-term benefits to individuals, families, and society.

Based on cumulative data from newborn screening programs, reported annually to the HRSA-funded NNSGRC, it is estimated that about 1 in every 800 newborns in the United States—or 5,000 of 4.1 million newborns each year—is born with a potentially severe or lethal condition for which screening and the treatment for the prevention of many or all of the complications of the condition are available. As the model for public health-based population genetic screening, newborn screening is nationally recognized as an essential program that aims to ensure the best outcome for the nation's newborn population.

NEWBORN SCREENING PROGRAMS: THE CHANGING LANDSCAPE

The infrastructure landscape.

In the United States, every State (hereafter, the term "State" will include both States and territorial jurisdictions) presently has a statute or regulation mandating or allowing public health newborn screening. As such, newborn screening is universally available in varying forms to all infants born in the United States, regardless of ability to pay or other familial factors (e.g., ethnicity, area of residence, literacy level, or language). It is important that universal access to this screening and its central public health focus are maintained, while efforts move forward to bring uniformity and equity to State screening efforts.

Since the inception of newborn screening, the conditions screened for and the systems developed for follow-up have varied among States. Due to a dearth of national newborn screening standards (aside from the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) "Standard on Blood Collection on Filter Paper"), guidance from the HRSA-funded Council of Regional Networks for Genetic Services (CORN) and limited advice from national advisory committees and national medical or public health professional organizations regarding newborn screening policies and conditions to be included in screening mandates, each State independently determines the conditions and screening procedures for its program.

Many States utilize advisory committees and seek input from experts and other State newborn screening laboratories

and private companies in addition to independently reviewing the available scientific evidence before making recommendations for test panels. In some States, decisions about newborn screening are in the hands of the State legislature, which controls the State public health system and its finances. Every State has a statute or regulation that allows or mandates universal newborn screening—sometimes specifying the conditions to be screened, the consent/dissent process, the laboratory, and the laboratory testing procedure to be used. In most cases, decisions about the newborn screening panel are delegated to State health officials, a State board of health, or a genetics or newborn screening advisory committee. Sometimes the decision-making process might involve a combination of agencies, advisory bodies, and policy makers.

Pilot studies usually precede the formal implementation of changes to the newborn screening panels. In addition, the mechanism to expand testing panels, change testing protocols, and fund newborn screening varies among the States, with the basic criteria from the inception of newborn screening being used by many.14 Due to these factors and a lack of national consensus or guidelines, there is presently a large disparity in screening services available to newborns. For example, at the present time, eight States mandate screening for as few as four conditions, while a number of States screen for as many as 30 conditions (information taken from NNSGRC website www.genes-r-us.uthscsa.edu/ nbsdisorders.pdf July 20, 2004). This divergence among States regarding which conditions should be mandated for screening has resulted from several factors, including differences in: 1) the level of resources available (personnel, equipment and service capacity); and 2) interpretations of the available data concerning given conditions (incidence, treatability, impact) and new screening methodologies.15

Approaches to calculating the number of conditions included in screening also are variable, with some programs counting hemoglobinopathy screening as a single test and others including it as one of several tests (given the simultaneous ability to detect over 700 variant conditions including SS-disease, SC disease, $S\beta$ +-thalassemia, etc.). The expert group concluded that there should be standardization of what constitutes a screened condition. (This issue is discussed in greater detail in the section describing the conditions evaluated.)

It is clear that States must retain strong oversight of mandated screening programs in order to ensure the appropriate delivery of quality screening and ancillary services to the screened population. However, how local ancillary services are to be directly provided within programs is less clear, particularly given the nationwide lack of the specialized medical expertise and laboratory testing that is needed to definitively diagnose many of these rarer inherited genetic conditions. One suggestion to address the maldistribution of needed medical expertise has been through the organization of that expertise at the regional level, as with the newly funded HRSA/MCHB Regional Genetics and Newborn Screening Collaboratives. This effort is supported by the history of regionalization (geographically close) and consolidation (geographically dispersed) of newborn screening laboratory testing services, which has been advantageous for States with low numbers of births. Regional programs have higher numbers of laboratory tests, which results in cost savings and decreased analytical variability.

Another challenge raised by the expansion of newborn screening is the lack of interconnecting relationships between child health professionals and subspecialists, particularly in rural areas-a problem complicated by the diversity of very rare conditions identified by the programs. There are limitations in the local availability of specific expertise for many conditions, and considerable needs exist in the areas of training and education throughout the health care system. Furthermore, improvements in the newborn screening system and the expansion of the number of conditions for which screening is offered have costs, and these costs and the associated benefits seem to accrue independently of the public and private health care delivery systems, which complicates their integration. Many States provide the programs necessary to ensure that screening and diagnosis will occur, but they are limited in their ability to ensure long-term management, including the provision of the necessary long-term treatments and services.

The societal implications of expanding newborn screening also are significant. For example, screening for additional conditions that occur with greater frequency in different ethnic groups could lead to discriminatory practices against individuals as well as the ethnic groups associated with particular disorders. In addition, difficult decisions must be made about the nature of the benefits that might be realized from newborn screening. Historically, screening has focused on conditions for which the improvement in outcome for the infant has been substantial. However, newborn screening could identify many conditions for which the improved outcomes may be more incremental, including disorders that are associated with mental retardation, such as fragile X syndrome, for which early intervention programs can improve long-term cognitive outcomes, but not with the expectation of a normal outcome.¹⁶ Finally, the nature of genetic disease is such that knowledge of its presence can be of value to other family members. Previously, this factor has not been considered by newborn screening programs.

Other considerations arise from private sector testing availability and competition. Often, private laboratories—either commercially- or university-based laboratories—offer an expanded number of conditions screened through the technologies they employ. They may provide contracted services to programs or offer additional screening for conditions not mandated in the program in the State in which the family resides. As a result, some States now mandate that all parents be informed of the availability of additional screening tests. This type of information often is delivered at the last minute and its use may not be supported by hospital staff and medical personnel. However, even though additional screening may be available when initiated by consumers, it is only through State public health that access to newborn screening for *all* babies can be assured at the present time.

Genetics IN Medicine

The changing technological landscape

Three major technological challenges have occurred over the past few decades with regard to newborn screening. The first is the expansion of knowledge of the causes and treatment of genetic diseases. The second is the rapid expansion of diverse technologies that may be used in screening. The third is the proliferation of tiered testing strategies to enhance the positive predictive value of screening.

The sequencing of the human genome as a public/private partnership has allowed for a better understanding of the genetic bases of many diseases. This fundamental biological knowledge has led to the proliferation of new therapies stemming from intensive research efforts in both the private and public sectors. The pace of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of innovative therapies has quickened. These and other factors are likely to continue to lead to an expanding panel of conditions for which newborn screening may be of benefit.

Simultaneously, there are new technological developments that allow more types of testing at reasonable cost that can be considered for application to universal newborn population screening. Examples include hearing screening, EKG screening for long QT syndrome, acylcarnitine screening, screening with molecular arrays, and screening with immunoaffinity columns. Particularly notable is the implementation of multiplex platforms that allow a single type of specimen preparation and simultaneous (or nearly simultaneous) screening for multiple different disorders. Going from one test for one disorder to one test for multiple disorders has the potential to reduce costs per condition tested and can lead to test expansion if these new technologies can be integrated safely and effectively into newborn screening programs. One potential concern associated with expansion of screening panels is the impact on follow-up testing and tracking. If the proportion of false positive cases requiring additional tests that are identified in screening laboratories rises excessively, this could undermine the acceptance of such testing by both the parental and medical communities, as well as potentially diminish the cost benefit of additional testing.

Multiplex testing technologies are emerging that can simultaneously identify multiple analytes from a single analytical process. Some multiplex testing requires that an analytical target first be identified and placed in the multiplex test (e.g., genomic arrays). Other multiplex testing provides the additional testing information without the need for specific target selection (e.g., DNA sequencing). For example, testing for hemoglobinopathies by isoelectric focusing (IEF) provides information not only about hemoglobin S, the primary target of screening, but also about more than 700 other possible hemoglobin variants, some of which may be clinically significant (e.g., Hb C and E).¹⁷

In the case of MS/MS, the multiplex testing can occur in different modes, because it is possible to operate the instrument by either selecting specific targets or analyzing full profiles.¹⁸ When used on selected targets, it is referred to as

selective reaction monitoring (SRM), which is also called multiple reaction monitoring, a process that allows for the selective evaluation of specific ion species instead of a profile within a mass range. Increasingly, MS/MS is being used in newborn screening laboratories.¹⁹ The technology is appealing for several reasons, including sensitivity for detecting ion species in low concentration, ability to quantify results relative to internal standards, high-throughput and precision, and the opportunity to simultaneously measure multiple ion species.^{15,20} However, MS/MS is a complex testing platform requiring specific training and experience in order to optimize its use.¹⁸

Although multiplex testing allows the addition of many more conditions to a screening panel, it presents a series of issues that influence the screening and health care system, ultimately affecting the screening services that might be available to the public. The availability of multiplex testing increases the number of conditions that can be considered for newborn screening that otherwise might not have been considered for screening using traditional criteria, such as incidence and treatability. Thus, our perception of screening performance characteristics is also modified. For example, multiplex technology might also reveal clinically significant conditions other than those that were the primary targets of screening but which are determined in the course of diagnostic confirmation of the screening test results. The screening laboratory may not have optimized the screening for the detection of these other conditions but they are typically part of the differential diagnosis of a primary target condition. Rather than evaluate single conditions for their inclusion in newborn screening, we must now consider how best to use the additional information revealed in the diagnostic laboratory about other related conditions.

Although information about conditions for which treatment options are scarce or not yet reported can lead to increased stresses on families and the health care system, early information can also lead to knowledge of the condition for the family, thus avoiding a potential diagnostic odyssey or inappropriate therapies. In addition, early information provides opportunity for better understanding of disease history and characteristics, and for earlier medical interventions that might be systematically studied to determine the risks and benefits. Multiplex testing and the identification of conditions falling outside of the uniform screening panel provides the opportunity for such conditions to be included in research protocols. Therefore, the criteria used to include a condition in a mandated newborn screening panel are not necessarily straightforward scientific or clinical criteria, but often involve complex ethical, legal, and social policy decisions.

Aside from new multiplex technology for screening, there has also been the introduction of tiered testing strategies to enhance the positive predictive value of screening and reduce the number of infants referred for additional testing.²¹ For example, in the United States, the primary analyte used for congenital hypothyroidism (CH) newborn screening has been thyroxin (T4), because most newborns are screened before the optimal time for screening with thyrotropin (thyroid stimulating hormone, TSH). TSH primary screening offers improved

specificity only after the period of neonatal surge and does not identify cases of central hypothyroidism. To decrease the recall rate, most screening programs have utilized a second-tier test with TSH following the identification of a certain number of increased-risk newborns through T4 initial testing.²² In such cases, secondary hypothyroidism may also be detected on the basis of the test results, even though it is not the primary target of screening. Similarly, it has been shown that the rate of false positive results in CAH screening can be significantly reduced by profiling steroids by MS/MS as a second-tier test.²³

In addition, the testing of specific DNA mutations in newborn screening (e.g., CF screening algorithms utilize a secondtier DNA mutation panel following initial screening for immunoreactive trypsinogen (IRT) and hemoglobinopathy screening algorithms that include DNA testing) can minimize the recall rates.²⁴ The testing of DNA mutations also has led to a new category that includes unaffected or minimally affected cases (e.g., carriers, benign hyperphenylalaninemias, and detection of hemoglobin Barts). Confirmation of such results and explanation of their significance can be costly. These examples highlight the ongoing process that occurs in newborn screening laboratories whereby analytes are identified that are clearly abnormal in a particular condition but still need to be analytically and clinically validated in a population screening setting.

The evidence based landscape

Assessing the evidence on conditions as to their appropriateness for newborn screening is complex, and there are limitations in the availability and interpretation of data about many of the conditions. The incidence of rare genetic diseases is often variable among different populations and can be biased by the nature of the populations involved in research and the severity of the conditions in those coming to the attention of health care professionals. Many of the conditions are ultra-rare and they may have multiple genetic etiologies. For instance, the tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) deficiencies are a heterogeneous group of disorders that affect phenylalanine homeostasis.25 BH4 deficiencies are detected as a by-product of screening for phenylketonuria due to hyperphenylalaninemia. They include disorders that affect the regeneration or biosynthesis of BH4. The condition referred to as biopterin cofactor biosynthesis defect is caused by one of two genes-GTP cyclohydrolase I (GTPCH) and 6-pyruvoyl-tetrahydrobiopterin synthase (PTPS)and the condition referred to as biopterin cofactor regeneration defect is caused by one of two genes-pterin- 4α -carbinolamine dehydratase (PCD) and dihydropteridine reductase(DHPR). Due to the biochemical similarities of the deficiencies resulting from blocks in these interrelated pathways, the clinical courses are similar in those with the typical severe forms of GTPCH, PTPS, and DHPR deficiencies. Approximately 57% of the rare BH4 abnormalities involve PTPS deficiency. However, due to the similarities in phenotype and treatment, the BH4 abnormalities are commonly combined with the two aforementioned groups and the treatments are similar. Hence, incidence as it relates to the genetic etiology is usually combined for the

two subtypes. Treatment for the conditions is related to the degree of hyperphenylalaninemia and to the degree of impairment of biogenic amine production, which varies among those affected. Further, a treatment involving BH4 administration is now approved in Europe, following clinical trials, that demonstrated that both GTPCH and PTPS are responsive to BH4. Due to the fact that GTPCH is very rare, yet quite similar to PTPS, the affected are aggregated when treatment is assessed. In any case, due to the rarity of these conditions, it is not until a very large general population has been identified through screening that penetrance and expressivity of disease are determined and a true incidence figure becomes available. In order to ensure that new therapies for these rare and severe genetic diseases will be available, regulatory agencies sometimes accept premarket evidence from smaller treatment groups while shifting the burden for the collection of additional information to FDA Phase IV postmarket surveillance, as was reported in FDA News for Fabrezyme® for the treatment of Fabry disease. (See http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/NEWS/2003/NEW00897.html)

Having such treatments available earlier means that it becomes increasingly difficult to collect information on the natural history of the untreated condition. In fact, there has not been a natural history study of PKU conducted since the 1970s because the affected infants are routinely identified in screening are treated, respond well to the treatment. Understanding the genetic basis of these conditions has led to this relatively rapid transition between ability to diagnose and the development of treatments based on the underlying biology and pathology of genetic diseases, particularly those that involve the replacement of defective enzymes. Hence, it becomes increasingly important to develop national systems for the collection of clinical information about those individuals identified in screening to further inform our understanding of the screened conditions and to further evaluate treatment modalities through an iterative process.

The assessment of the evidence on the performance characteristics (analytical and clinical sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive values) of the tests, as used in newborn screening is complex. Many of the screening tests use technologies that are the gold standard in the diagnostic setting, such as HPLC or IEF for hemoglobinopathies or MS/MS for the acylcarnitine disorders. Although one can demonstrate very strong analytical and clinical performance in a diagnostic setting, clinical performance in screening is a function of the cut-offs that are used by the screening laboratories to capture the most affected persons. States often assign varying cut-offs to analyte levels and often use different screening test algorithms, including second-tier tests or repeat tests to arrive at a determination of whether the specimen is within the normal range, with highly variable case definitions at screening. This lack of standardization makes it quite complex to assign a level of performance to the screening tests at a national level or to compare the performance of programs.

Finally, the evidence base for newborn screening is complicated by the differing views of the interest groups involved. For purely scientific and medical issues, the scientific literature

provides objective information about different aspects of conditions, such as incidence, treatment efficacy, and diagnostic confirmation. However, some criteria have significant subjective aspects that require the consideration of more than just scientific and expert opinion. Cost is an example of a subjective criterion because it is a contextual concern and can only be measured against the value of the outcome. Other criteria may be perceived differently by the professional community or by other nonscientific or nonmedical interest groups. For example, parents often consider difficult the impact of treatments that health care professionals consider to be simple (e.g., maintaining a child on a specified diet). Some criteria are perceived differently among varying groups of professionals. For example, primary health care professionals in urban areas often have greater access to subspecialists than do those in rural areas. It is often difficult to balance the scientific evidence against the values that different groups place on newborn screening to reduce mortality and morbidity of diseases.

The need for evaluation of newborn screening systems

The lack of equitable newborn screening services offered for infants, the changing dynamics of emerging technology, and the complexity of genetics require an assessment of the state of the art in newborn screening and a perspective on the future directions such programs could take. In addition, programs must include an assessment of the availability of needed resources, both public and private, when determining which conditions should be included. A national, organized approach to differentiating among these many competing needs would help create a more informed process for deciding what tests should be included in newborn screening programs.

Since the first State newborn screening programs began, periodic assessments have been made. As early as 1968, the World Health Organization (WHO) issued a report urging that screening tests be appropriate and straightforward.²⁶ In 1975, the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) redefined genetic screening and established the fundamental principles and rules of procedure for genetic testing (these did not vary significantly from the 1968 WHO recommendations). NAS also made recommendations regarding the aims of testing and screening, criteria for testing, and the quality of testing.¹³ In 1997, the Task Force on Genetic Testing, created by the National Institutes of Health-Department of Energy Working Group on Ethical, Legal and Social Implications of Human Genome Research, focused on the quality of testing and recommended that screening tests demonstrate analytical and clinical validity and utility²⁷ (Holtzman and Watson, 1997 available at http://www.genome.gov/10001733). In 1999, at the request of HRSA, AAP convened a Newborn Screening Task Force that provided a comprehensive evaluation of the current state of newborn screening programs in the United States.¹³ The Task Force recommendations covered the public health and clinical care system, the roles of professionals and the public, issues of disease surveillance and research, and the economics of newborn screening. The report recommended that "HRSA should engage in a national process involving government, professionals, and consumers to advance the recommendations of this Task Force and assist in the development and implementation of nationally recognized newborn screening system standards and policies." In addition, the AAP Task Force¹³ thought that greater uniformity would benefit families, health care professionals, and the newborn screening programs. In 2000, the March of Dimes, an organization that has advocated on behalf of newborn screening programs, recommended that tests be rapid, high quality, and accurate and that cost should not be a major consideration.²⁸ Subsequently, the March of Dimes recommended that all States screen for nine conditions plus newborn hearing loss (see www.marchofdimes.com/ professionals/580.asp).

B. Methods used for assessing conditions

As an initial step in the process, ACMG convened a newborn screening expert group that included participants with expertise in various areas of subspecialty medicine, primary care, health policy, law, ethics and public health, and consumers. The expert group also formed two expert work groups to provide more in-depth analysis in two specific areas: the uniform panel and its criteria, and the diagnosis and follow-up system. Members of the expert group and work groups are listed at the beginning of this report. Work group members were selected based on their abilities to bring a strong scientific and clinical-rather than organizational-perspective to the issues under consideration. Not only were efforts made to ensure cultural, ethnic, and geographic diversity, there also were efforts to involve health care professionals and other interested parties from a wide range of fields and backgrounds, including expert representation from public health laboratories and program administration; individuals who are involved in the delivery of specialty care; primary care and nonphysician health care professional groups that are involved with the patients and families; and parents who have been directly affected by newborn screening programs.

The project depended on a variety of types of input obtained through expert reviews of the scientific literature, presentations from international and national invitees at six meetings of the expert group, solicitations for public and professional comment, and detailed assessments provided by the work groups. Considerable information was acquired through the use of disease-specific surveys that were broadly distributed and augmented by direct requests for input from acknowledged experts for the conditions under consideration. Areas in which deficiencies were found in the information available in the scientific literature were identified as well.

The expert group followed a two-tiered approach to assessing conditions that allowed for the views of experts of various types, including consumers, to be considered while still deferring to the evidence in the scientific literature. In the first level of the assessment, the expert group sought broad input through a survey of individuals and organizations with an interest in newborn screening. The expert group utilized a data collection instrument, distributed through a survey and directly to experts, to seek unpublished data and views related to

the criteria by which conditions were to be evaluated. The opinions of experts and others were quantified using the scoring system assigned to each criterion in the data collection instrument. Conditions were then placed preliminarily into categories reflecting their overall scores on the data collection forms. In the second level of the assessment, the scientific and medical evidence bases relating to the conditions under consideration were developed. Each condition was then reassessed to ensure that the evidence base confirmed that three critical evaluation categories were met in order to define a uniform panel of conditions to be targeted by newborn screening programs.

Establishing principles for the development of newborn screening guidelines

Many factors could influence a decision to include a given condition in a newborn screening program, including, for example, the severity of the condition, the availability of effective treatment, the age of onset, and the complexity or cost of the test.²⁹ In developing the criteria to evaluate conditions and make recommendations, the expert group relied on a set of basic principles developed at the onset of the project. The order of these principles is not intended to suggest a prioritization.

An overarching concept is utility—that is, an approach that delivers the greatest good to the greatest number of people, while recognizing the need for some flexibility and the use of alternative mechanisms by screening programs. Newborn screening policies and practices have national, regional, and local implications. Although national uniformity is a goal for newborn screening programs, there also may be a need, in limited and specific circumstances (such as meeting local and community public health needs), to screen for certain genetic conditions identified only in given populations.

Newborn screening involves many parties. In addition to the child and his or her family or guardian, these include public health officials, health care professionals, private insurers, government officials, researchers, policymakers, educators, and others. This report seeks to acknowledge the full range of participants involved.

1. Universal newborn screening is an essential public health responsibility that is critical to improve the health outcome of affected children.

To ensure that all United States newborns have access to screening and to promote a systems approach to population-based health care, it is critical that newborn screening remain a public health function.

2. Newborn screening policy development should be primarily driven by what is in the best interest of the affected newborn, with secondary consideration given to the interests of unaffected newborns, families, health professionals, and the public.

A key factor determining the inclusion of particular conditions in newborn screening programs is the potential for the affected newborn to realize a significant improvement in quality of life as a result of the screening. Although the expert group gives primary consideration to newborns that are being screened, it is clear that many others are also affected by newborn screening. Newborns that do not screen positive can benefit from the elimination of certain diagnoses, and families benefit independent of the newborn that was screened. Furthermore, because these programs can decrease mortality and morbidity, public health professionals, the public, and the health care system may derive benefits from newborn screening programs, such as cost reductions for overall health care services. There may also be negative consequences for newborns and families that result from screening, including the potential negative impact of a false-positive screening result. Aside from the financial cost of a medical work-up to confirm that a suspected condition does not exist, there may be associated anxiety and stress for the family.

3. Newborn screening is more than testing. It is a coordinated and comprehensive system consisting of education, screening, follow-up, diagnosis, treatment and management, and program evaluation.

To realize the benefits from newborn screening, all components of the program must function well together. The six critical components of newborn screening programs—education, screening, follow-up, diagnosis, treatment and management, and evaluation—are important to the overall functioning of individual newborn screening programs and the system in which they operate.³⁰ There must be assurance of timely and accurate reporting and tracking of abnormal results. In order to know whether a program is functioning effectively and efficiently, it is important to know whether the expected health benefits are being realized.

4. The medical home and the public and private components of screening programs should be in close communication to ensure confirmation of test results and the appropriate follow-up and care of identified newborns.

The medical home concept has evolved as the central focus for the care of patients in their communities and should be the center of communication, primary care, and coordination of care for individuals.³¹ There is increased recognition that enhanced communication between the clinical care system and public health programs is necessary to ensure optimal care and outcomes for the affected newborns. It is essential to establish close communication among State public health programs, the newborn's medical home, and the subspecialists commonly involved in the diagnosis and follow-up of affected newborns.

5. Recommendations about the appropriateness of conditions for newborn screening should be based on the evaluation of scientific evidence and expert opinion. There are ever-increasing numbers of relatively rare conditions for which clinical knowledge is rapidly growing but for which the published literature may be

sparse or outdated. Moreover, clinical expertise in treating many of these conditions may be limited. Given that all screening programs must rely on the same published knowledge base and a limited number of experts, a national process of scientific evaluation seems most practical. As new evidence emerges and opinions change, there should be a system in place for prompt review and release of updated recommendations.

In 2003, the Secretary's Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders and Genetic Diseases in Newborns and Children was established by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Its mandate was to advise and guide the Secretary of DHHS regarding the most appropriate application of universal newborn screening tests, technologies, policies, guidelines, and programs in order to effectively reduce morbidity and mortality in newborns and children who have or who are at risk for heritable disorders. The committee's purpose is to provide the Secretary with: ".advice and recommendations concerning the grants and projects and technical information needed to develop policies and priorities that will enhance the ability of State and local health agencies to provide for newborn and child screening and counseling and health care services for newborns and children having or at risk for heritable disorders." (Available at http://mchb.hrsa.gov/programs/genetics/committee/)

6. To be included as a primary target condition in a newborn screening program, a condition should meet the following minimum criteria:

• It can be identified at a period of time (24 to 48 hours after birth) at which it would not ordinarily be clinically detected.

• A test with appropriate sensitivity and specificity is available.

• There are demonstrated benefits of early detection, timely intervention, and efficacious treatment.

Determining the appropriateness of a condition for newborn screening is a complex process. Although the emergence of new technologies such as MS/MS has altered views of which conditions should be included in mandated screening programs, in this report the primary targets of screening are those that meet the three criteria previously specified. A secondary target is one that is identified while searching for the primary target (e.g., HbC results from IEF while looking for HbS) or a clinically significant condition that is likely to be detected when performing a comprehensive profile of a given group of biochemical markers (e.g., GA2 may be identified while determining MCAD status (C8 acylcarnitine is elevated in both)).

7. The primary targets of newborn screening should be conditions that meet the criteria listed in #6 above. The newborn screening program should report any other results of clinical significance.

mary targeted conditions. Some allow for more than one condition to be identified in a single procedure, and some provide important information about the presence of conditions that may not meet all of the criteria needed to be considered a primary target condition. The advent of molecular arrays and MS/MS has significantly broadened this potential. It is not necessarily the responsibility of the screening program to monitor the long-term follow-up of patients identified with clinically significant conditions that are not the primary targets of newborn screening. However, the significant costs of the diagnostic odysseys that may ensue following the birth of a child whose condition may have been suspected based on newborn screening results, and the related costs to families and the system of introducing futile therapies might be avoided if clinically significant results from newborn screening programs are shared with the newborn's primary caretaker.

8. Centralized health information data collection is needed for longitudinal assessment of diseasespecific screening programs.

Mechanisms and systems that allow for the collection of short- and long-term data on affected individuals while protecting their right to privacy will allow for assessment and improvement of program performance and individual health outcomes. The pooling of information about health outcomes, treatment protocols, case definitions, and diagnosis and confirmation algorithms will improve care for the infants identified in the programs. Furthermore, it is often difficult to ascertain the natural history of rare diseases because of their low frequency and because they often exhibit genetic variability in severity and expression. Hence, data collection and shared data evaluation can significantly inform program decisionmaking and medical science. General population data are also needed to better understand certain approaches to screening (e.g., genomics), where the variability in expression of mutations is not entirely clear until individuals without the classical presentation of a condition are tested.

9. Total quality management should be applied to newborn screening programs.

As with any programmatic effort, improvements result from careful and continuous monitoring of key steps in the process, the assessment of that information, and the introduction of changes that continuously improve program performance. Uniform and consistent monitoring of system quality indicators can provide information about the relative performance of screening programs.

10. Newborn screening specimens are valuable health resources. Every program should have policies in place to ensure confidential storage and appropriate use of specimens.

Specimens obtained for newborn screening have tre-

mendous long-term value. They can be used for purposes of program quality management, to help inform deliberations about program expansion, for research on testing technology and treatment, and for epidemiologic studies. This is not to imply that every State should store all specimens forever but, rather, that there should be a sufficient number of States with diverse populations and long-term storage of residual specimens to provide this critical resource. Regardless, it is important to ensure the confidentiality of those persons whose specimens are stored. The use of specimens for nontherapeutic purposes must not alter the willingness of the public to participate in newborn screening programs and related activities.

11. Public awareness, coupled with professional training and family education are significant program responsibilities that must be part of the complete newborn screening system.

Because newborn screening can have a significant impact on health outcomes for affected newborns, it is essential that the public as well as health care and public health professionals be informed of the availability of the programs and of changes that are made. Education and awareness are essential to both the quality of the screening programs and participation by the public and by health care professionals. As such, information sharing and education are critical program responsibilities.

Choosing the conditions

Eighty-four conditions were evaluated using these criteria (see Table 1). The conditions were chosen for several reasons. Any condition currently included in private, State, or national newborn screening programs was considered. Other conditions were included because they are known to be coincidentally revealed by some of the technologies used in newborn screening. Still others were identified by members of the public, the expert group, and work groups as worthy of consideration because they are important from a public health standpoint and/or there is a high level of public and/or scientific interest in screening for the condition. Hemoglobinopathy screening was mainly driven by the conditions associated with a hemoglobin S allele. Among these, Hb SS, Hb SC and Hb SB-thalassemia were considered separately. Variant hemoglobinopathies included other conditions associated with an Hb S allele. Additional hemoglobinopathies revealed by screening, such as Hb E, are not the conditions to which screening currently is targeted. As discussed below, compromises were made in the lumping or splitting apart of conditions to be listed for assessment.

To a limited extent, the conditions listed as considered by the expert group represent a compromise among the various options. The intent was to distinguish many of the more common forms of the condition from others though there are still situations in which some very rare conditions are subsumed under a more general name for the condition. The group considered it important to fully assess all conditions and to ensure that any apparent deficiencies were properly recognized so that disease-specific advocacy groups and the research community could focus on these deficiencies in developing their research agendas.

Developing evaluation criteria and their comparative values

Generally, a medical condition is assessed by itself to determine whether it should be included in a public health newborn screening program,^{14,29} rather than being assessed along with a number of other conditions in a way that would allow for comparative ranking. Historically, this is primarily because individual conditions have been identified by individual testing platforms. Although conditions have usually been compared on the basis of relative incidence, there was little need for additional discriminating criteria given the general availability of traditional testing methodologies and treatments. Thus, comparative analyses of screened conditions or evaluations of the scientific evidence for or against inclusion of the conditions have not been formally conducted nationally, though this has often been done within individual programs.

Until recently, the capability of the currently available testing technology limited the conditions that could reasonably be included in a screening panel. Now, however, new information emerging from the clinical and scientific literature, combined with evolving technologies, has made it possible for increasing numbers of rare conditions to be detected simultaneously from single screening tests, making it reasonable to attempt more complex relative comparisons when deciding on conditions that should be added to a screening panel. Thus, it is no longer a simple matter to decide which condition should be added to a screening panel based on incidence, when a group of conditions may be simultaneously detected from a single analytical procedure and the group incidence (or impact to society) may be of higher relative importance than any of the single conditions within the group. In addition, even if multiple conditions could be detected, the question of whether they should be detected remains, when, for example, no efficacious treatment exists. Increasing the complexity of this decision-making process is the fact that all of the conditions detected may not have similar clinical outcomes for all children.

In recent years, professional groups in other countries have attempted to develop an organized, national approach to determining which conditions should be included in newborn screening panels. The Health Technology Assessment Program of the National Health Service of the United Kingdom has initiated a national program to systematically review the scientific and medical literature on inborn errors of metabolism, neonatal screening technology, and screening programs. Their goal is to analyze the costs and benefits of introducing MS/MSbased screening of amino acid disorders, fatty acid oxidation defects, and organic acid disorders, as well as other conditions screened on an individual test basis within the United Kingdom health system.¹⁰ This extensive analysis assigned weights to various aspects of specific conditions and their associated

Group		Condition	Code	
	Endocrinology	Congenital adrenal hyperplasia	CAH	
		Congenital hypothyroidism	CH	
		Diabetes mellitus, insulin dependent	IDDM	
	Hematology, Hemoglobinopathies	Hb SS disease (Sickle cell anemia)	Hb SS	
		Hb S/C disease	Hb S/C	
		Hb S/ β -thalassemia	Hb S/ß-Th	
		Other variant Hb-pathies (including Hb E)	Var Hb	
		Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency	G6PD	
	Infectious Diseases	Human HIV infection	HIV	
		Congenital toxoplasmosis	TOXO	
		Congenital cytomegalovirus infection	CMV	
		Alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency	A1AT	
		Adenosine deaminase deficiency	ADA	
		Biliary atresia	BIL	
		Cystic fibrosis	CF	
		Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy	DMD	
		Familial hypercholesterolemia (heterozygote)	FHC	
	Miscellaneous Genetic Conditions	Fragile X	FX	
		Hearing loss	HEAR	
		Hyperbilirubinemia*	HPRBIL	
		Neuroblastoma	NB	
		Severe combined immunodeficiency	SCID	
		Turner syndrome	TURNER	
1		Wilson disease	WD	
	Amino Acid Disorders	Phenylketonuria	PKU	
		Benign hyperphenylalaninemia	H-PHE	
		Defects of biopterin cofactor biosynthesis	BIOPT BS	
		Defects of biopterin cofactor regeneration	BIOPT RE	
		Homocystinuria	HCY	
		Hypermethioninemia	MET	
		Maple syrup (urine) disease	MSUD	
		Tyrosinemia type I	TYR I	
		Tyrosinemia type II	TYR II	
		Tyrosinemia type III	TYR III	
		Carbamylphosphate synthetase deficiency	CPS	
		Ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency	OTC	
		Citrullinemia	CIT	
		Citrullinemia type II	CIT II	

Table 1
Individual conditions considered in the data collection instrument

(continued)

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

Group		Condition	Code
		Argininosuccinic acidemia	ASA
		Argininemia	ARG
	Carbohydrate Disorders	Classic galactosemia	GALT
		Galactokinase deficiency	GALK
		Galactose epimerase deficiency	GALE
		Congenital disorder of glycosylation type Ib	CDG Ib
	Fatty Acid Oxidation Disorders	Carnitine uptake defect	CUD
		Carnitine palmitoyltransferase Ia deficiency (L)	CPT IA
		Carnitine palmitoyltransferase Ib deficiency (M)	CPT IB
		Carnitine/acylcarnitine translocase deficiency	CACT
		Carnitine palmitoyltransferase II deficiency	CPTII
		Very long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase def.	VLCAD
		Long-chain 3-OH acyl-CoA dehydrogenase def.	LCHAD
		Trifunctional protein deficiency	TFP
		Dienoyl-CoA reductase deficiency	DE-RED
sm		Glutaric acidemia type II	GA2
Inborn Errors of Metabolism		Medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	MCAD
Met		Medium/short-chain 3-OH acyl-CoA DH def.	M/SCHAD
rs of		Medium chain ketoacyl-CoA thiolase deficiency	MCKAT
Erro		Short-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	SCAD
porn	Lysosomal Storage Diseases	Fabry disease	FABRY
In	Krabbe disease	KRABBE	
	Pompe disease	POMPE	
	Hurler-Scheie disease	MPS-1H	
		Lysosomal storage diseases	LSD
	Organic Acid Disorders	Propionic acidemia	PA
		Multiple carboxylase deficiency (Holocarboxylase Synthetase deficiency)	MCD
		Methylmalonic acidemia (mutase)	MUT
		Methylmalonic acidemia (Cbl A, B)	Cbl A,B
		Methylmalonic acidemia (Cbl C,D)	Cbl C,D
		Isobutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	IBG
		2-Methylbutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	2MBG
		2-Methyl 3-hydroxy butyric aciduria	2M3HBA
		β -Ketothiolase deficiency	βΚΤ
		Isovaleric acidemia	IVA
		3-Methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency	3MCC
		3-Methylglutaconic aciduria	3MGA
		3-hydroxy 3-methyl glutaric aciduria	HMG
		Glutaric acidemia type I	GA I
		Malonic aciduria	MAL
			(continued)

Table 1 Continued

Genetics IN Medicine

	Continued	
Group	Condition	Code
Other IEM	Biotinidase deficiency	BIOT
	X-linked Adrenoleukodystrophy	ALD
	Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome	SLO
	Guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency	GAMT
	Arginine: glycine amidinotransferase deficiency	AGAT
	Creatine transporter defect	CR TRANS

Table 1

NOTE: Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia (Kernicterus) (code HPRBIL) was added to this list after the completion of the data collection instrument.

tests and treatments, and assigned a qualitative value to the published information available. This effort has highlighted the difficulties inherent in attempts to balance costs and benefits against the value that the public and families place on such screening.

The Human Genetics Society of Australasia developed criteria for placing conditions into one of four tiers. These tiers are determined by the nature of the benefit of the screening to the newborn, the benefit of the screening balanced against the cost, the suitability of the test, and the availability of appropriate and organized diagnostic and follow-up services (available at http://www. hgsa.com.au/Word/HGSApolicyStatementNewborn-Screening2004_18_03_04_doc)

Screening0204-18.03.04.doc).

More recently, Belgium has sought to assign values to the Wilson and Jungner criteria,¹⁴ in order to weigh conditions against each other (see Box 1). Although novel, this system was considered to be less detailed than needed because many of the Wilson and Jungner criteria are subjective and therefore less amenable to the application of a metric and therefore quantification.

In the United States, several states, including Nebraska, Tennessee, and Washington, recently developed criteria and systems for assessing and comparing conditions. With the establishment of the 2003 federal Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders and Genetic Diseases in Newborns and Children, the potential for development of national policies and recommendations should lead to a more uniform or equitable approach to newborn screening.

None of the existing systems allowed for adequate comparative analysis of conditions being considered for newborn screening. Further, the evolution of screening programs and the screening technologies used have added new variables to be considered when assessing conditions. The ACMG expert group chose to develop a modified system for the assessment of conditions for their appropriateness for newborn screening.

The Uniform Panel Work Group developed the data collection instrument to use during the project's first phase to quantitatively evaluate the features of conditions under consideration for inclusion in a potential uniform screening panel. Using a weighted scoring system, the conditions were evaluated according to criteria in three main categories:

- 1. The clinical characteristics of the condition;
- 2. The analytical characteristics of the test; and

Box 1 Wilson and Jungner Criteria for Appraising the Validity of a Screening Program

- 1. The condition being screened for should be an important health problem.
- 2. The natural history of the condition should be well understood.
- 3. There should be a detectable early stage.
- 4. Treatment at an early stage should be of more benefit than at a later stage.
- 5. A suitable test should be devised for the early stage.
- 6. The test should be acceptable.
- 7. Intervals for repeating the test should be determined.
- 8. Adequate health service provision should be made for the extra clinical workload resulting from screening.
- 9. The risks, both physical and psychological, should be less than the benefits.
- The costs should be balanced against the benefits. SOURCE Wilson, J.M., and G. Jungner. *Principles and Practice of Screening for Disease.* (Public Health Paper Number 34.) Geneva: World Health Organization, 1968.
- 3. Diagnosis, follow-up, treatment, and management of the condition.

Within each of these categories, 19 component criteria including six characteristics of the analytical tests were considered for assigning a comparative value, or score. Conditions already included in newborn screening programs were used to model the scoring system. Each of the criteria was weighted to reflect the presumed importance of the particular criteria to the overall assessments of conditions. Experts in the conditions under consideration for newborn screening were then asked to consider the criteria and the extent to which they cover the range of issues that arise among disparate types of conditions. They were also asked to

consider whether appropriate weights were assigned to criteria, thereby acknowledging the criteria considered most relevant. The language describing the criteria and the scores associated with the range of responses to the criteria were adjusted by the expert group (see Table 2 for the criteria and the possible scores). Then, the weight accorded to each criterion was revised (i.e., the highest possible score within each category was the same). The criteria that were identified within each category were assigned a range of possible responses and related scores ranging from 0 to a maximum score that varied according to each criterion's overall importance. Conditions already included in newborn screening programs were then assessed for their performance in the system. Results were compared with those obtained by other systems developed for this purpose to determine whether the outcomes were similar.

The scoring system recognizes the strengths and limitations found in each condition and summarizes them in a ranking system. Thus, a low score in a particular area does not necessarily mean that screening for that condition will never be conducted. In fact, low scores could be radically overruled by scientific evidence of new advances in testing and treatment and should be recognized as opportunities for targeted clinical or basic research endeavors and subsequent reconsideration of the condition for inclusion.

The criteria that were developed to differentiate the appropriateness of conditions for newborn screening include some

Combined criteria and distribution of scores in the data collection instrument(Highest possible score: 2100) I. Condition/Disorder (subtotal score 700)					
Criterion	Categories in criterion	Score			
Incidence of condition	>1:5x000	100			
	>1:25,000	75			
	>1:50,000	50			
	>1:75,000	25			
	<1:100,000	0			
Signs and symptoms clinically identifiable in the first 48 hours	Never	100			
	<25% of cases	75			
	<50% of cases	50			
	<75% of cases	25			
	Always	0			
Burden of disease (natural history if untreated)	Profound	100			
	Severe	75			
	Moderate	50			
	Mild	25			
	Minimal	0			
Individual benefits of early intervention	Clear scientific evidence that early intervention resulting from screening optimizes outcome	200			
	Some scientific evidence that early intervention resulting from screening optimizes outcome	100			
	No scientific evidence that early intervention resulting from screening optimizes outcome	0			
Familial and societal benefits of early intervention	Early identification provides clear benefits to family and society (education, understanding prevalence and natural history, cost effectiveness)	100			
	Early identification provides some benefits to family and society	50			
	No evidence of benefits	0			
Early diagnosis and treatment prevent mortality	Yes	100			
	No	0			

Table 2
Combined criteria and distribution of scores in the data collection instrument(Highest possible score: 2100)

26S

Genetics IN Medicine

II. Screening Test (subtotal score 700)					
Criterion	Categories in criterion	Score			
Does a sensitive AND specific screening test algorithm currently exist?	Yes	200			
	No	0			
Test characteristics (Yes = apply score; $No = 0$)	Doable in neonatal bloodspots OR by a simple, in-nursery physical method				
	High throughput (>200/day/FTE)	50			
	Overall analytical cost <1\$ per test per condition	50			
	Multiple analytes relevant to one condition are detected in same run	50			
	Other conditions identified by same analytes	50			
	Multiple conditions detected by same test (multiplex platform)	200			

Table 2 Continued

Criterion	Categories in criterion	Score
Availability of treatment (*)	Treatment exists and is widely available in most communities	50
	Treatment exists but availability is limited	25
	No treatment available or necessary	0
Cost of treatment (*)	Inexpensive	50
	Expensive (>\$50,000/patient/year)	0
Potential efficacy of existing treatment	To prevent ALL negative consequences	200
	To prevent MOST negative consequences	100
	To prevent SOME negative consequences	50
	Treatment efficacy not proven	0
Diagnostic confirmation	Providers of diagnostic confirmation are widely available	100
	Limited availability of providers of diagnostic confirmation	50
	Diagnostic confirmation is available only in a few centers	0
Acute management	Providers of acute management are widely available	100
	Limited availability of providers of acute management	50
	Acute management is available only in a few centers	0
Simplicity of therapy	Management at the primary care or family level	200
	Requires periodic involvement of a specialist	100
	Requires regular involvement of a specialist	0

NOTE: The two criteria marked with (*) above were combined in the data collection instrument, a score of 100 was attributed to a treatment that is inexpensive and widely available, 50 if expensive or limited availability, 0 if expensive and limited availability. The final version was prompted by feedback from several survey respondents who felt that not all options were actually considered (e.g., no treatment necessary).

that have a highly objective scientific basis and others that are more subjective. To the extent possible, the expert group relied on the scientific literature to provide the information on which its recommendations are based. Survey respondents were provided with the data collection instrument, questionnaires about the criteria themselves, the weight assigned to criteria, and the distribution of scores within a criterion. The respondents were asked to provide information on both objective and subjective criteria as a way of determining a respondent's familiarity with the condition(s).

THE THREE MAIN CATEGORIES AND THEIR CRITERIA

Clinical characteristics of the condition

Three criteria were developed for this category:

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

1. Incidence Of The Condition

The incidence of the various conditions varies widely. In terms of public health importance, the more common the condition, the higher the justification for screening. Accordingly, any condition with a documented (or estimated) incidence of 1:100,000 or less received a score of zero, while an incidence of 1:5,000 or more received a score of 100. When technology allows for the condition to be detected in the course of screening for other conditions, points were added back through the appropriate testing criteria. (See "Screening Test: Availability and Characteristics," below.)

2. Clinically Identifiable Signs And Symptoms In The First 48 Hours

In the context of public health, it is more important to screen for conditions that generally would not be detected in the newborn period based solely on routine clinical evaluation. However, it is important to recognize that there could be differences of opinion regarding whether a particular phenotype could be recognized by a typical health care provider and/or specialist, and the phenotypic variability expected among newborns with a particular condition must be considered. Nonetheless, if clinical symptoms are never detectable within 48 hours after birth, the condition received a score of 100. If clinical manifestations are always detectable, the condition received a score of zero.

3. Burden Of Disease (Natural History If Not Treated)

This is an important criterion for prioritizing the use of public health resources because it favors screening for conditions that constitute greater burdens to those affected (if the burden is profound, for example, a score of 100 was given). It is recognized that some conditions have a wide range of severity and that the test may not necessarily discriminate the milder forms from the more severe forms.

The screening test: availability and characteristics

Seven criteria are included in this category:

1. Availability Of A Sensitive And Specific Test Algorithm This criterion is a central consideration when assigning a test or a condition to a uniform screening panel. The expert group chose to define this criterion as a test algorithm because some tests might require that additional analytes or second-tier tests be incorporated to achieve sufficient specificity (e.g., the use of T4 and TSH for the screening of CH or the use of a second-tier molecular test to improve the specificity of the IRT test for CF). This criterion was considered the first step in a decision tree without which further consideration for inclusion in newborn screening would not be possible. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a condition. If a condition had no sensitive and specific test available that could be used in population screening, it was assigned a score of zero. However, it is acknowledged that there is no agreed-upon level of sensitivity and specificity and that this may vary with the burden of the condition and its importance for screening.

2. Ability To Test On Either Neonatal Bloodspots Or An Alternative Specimen Type Or By A Simple, In-Nursery Procedure

Value was assigned if a test can be done on a dried bloodspot, which is a highly stable specimen type already integrated into newborn screening and on which many tests can be performed. Equal consideration was given to a screening test that could be conducted using a simple procedure or method, as with hearing screening, that would be appropriate for population screening. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a test.

3. Test Is Based On A Platform That Offers High-Throughput Capability

Value was placed on the ability of a technology to operate in a high-throughput format that allows testing of at least 200 specimens per full-time employee equivalent per day. The ability to test a large number of specimens in a short time offers cost savings to programs and increases efficiency, both important for public health screening. Fifty points were allotted to this criterion.

4. Cost Of Test Is Less Than \$1 Per Infant Screened

Value was placed on low-cost technologies. Cost was based on the personnel, reagents and other costs associated with testing only. Differences in the scoring of conditions detected by MS/MS were likely due to higher costs when a multiplex technology is used to screen for only a few conditions rather than for a larger number of conditions. Fifty points were allotted to this feature of a test.

5. Multiple Analytes Relevant To One Condition Can Be Detected In The Same Run

The ability to detect multiple markers of a given condition within the same test increases the specificity of the method by allowing the calculation of ratios that have been shown to improve the differentiation between true positives and potential false positives. Fifty points were allotted to this feature of a test.

6. Other Conditions (Secondary Targets) Can Be Identified By The Same Analytes

Value was assigned to the ability of a test to provide information about multiple conditions using the same analyte(s). Although these secondary targets may not independently meet all of the other criteria for inclusion in the uniform screening panel, they add value to the primary target condition because their detection constitutes a clinically significant result leading to tangible benefits to the affected newborn, family, and society. Fifty points were allotted to this feature of a test.

7. Multiple Conditions Can Be Detected By The Same Test (Multiplex Platform)

Technology can add value to testing, particularly if it provides the ability to screen for many conditions in a single test. This can have public health importance above and beyond the features of the disease itself (i.e., by detecting secondary conditions). This capability resides in technologies such as MS/MS, IEF, and HPLC for hemoglobin variants, DNA arrays used in sequencing, and labeled bead technologies. Technologies with multiplexing capability offer improved efficiency and cost-effectiveness to programs. Because of the public health importance of technologies with multiplex capabilities, this criterion was allotted two hundred points.

Diagnosis, follow-up, treatment, and management

Nine criteria were developed to assess the combined aspects of diagnostic confirmation and treatment and management:

1. Availability Of Treatment

The availability of treatment is considered an important criterion for conditions in a core newborn screening panel. Fifty points were allotted to this feature of a condition, though additional value is assigned later depending on the effectiveness of the treatment.

2. Cost Of Treatment

The cost of treatment is an important consideration in newborn screening. Although this criterion does not necessarily differentiate cost from value, it should be factored into decision-making. Fifty points were allotted to this feature of the treatment.

3. Potential Efficacy Of Existing Treatment

More effective preventive or therapeutic interventions for a given condition increase the value of testing. For many conditions, treatments could result in near normal or normal outcomes. For others, the treatment may affect only a subset of the negative phenotypes possible or allow for only incremental improvements in optimal outcome. Moreover, treatment might not be equally effective in all individuals. This was considered a critical criterion and was assigned a value of 200 points.

4. Individual Benefits Of Early Intervention

This criterion is important because the benefit to the child being screened is the overriding consideration. This was considered an objective criterion based on the quality of available evidence showing that early intervention optimizes outcome. Two hundred points were allotted to this feature of a treatment.

- **5.** Familial And Societal Benefits Of Early Identification Early identification of an infant with a condition can bring benefits to families and/or society beyond the prospect of treatment. Because so many of the conditions detected through newborn screening are genetic, families can benefit from establishing that there may be a genetic risk to others in the family. Society could benefit by a reduction in medical diagnostic odysseys that are costly to the health care system. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a condition.
- 6. Prevention Of Mortality Through Early Diagnosis And Treatment

Prevention of mortality was assigned a value indepen-

dent of reduction of morbidity. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a condition.

7. Availability Of Diagnostic Confirmation

Many conditions included in newborn screening programs are rare, and there may be poor access to diagnostic confirmation testing in the United States or even internationally. In such cases, it is more difficult to follow-up on cases with positive results, and the results provided by research laboratories may be more difficult to interpret and communicate to child health professionals and families than those from diagnostic laboratories. Furthermore, in the United States it may be ethically or legally problematic to report results from tests conducted by laboratories that are not certified by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). On the other hand, some conditions can be confirmed locally because of the wide availability and relative simplicity of the confirmatory test or service. Thus, different values were assigned based on the ease of diagnostic confirmation. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a condition.

8. Acute Management

As with diagnostic confirmation, the availability of health care professionals who have expertise in the acute management of the condition could be limited. Thus, higher values were assigned to conditions for which acute disease management is readily available. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a condition.

9. Simplicity Of Therapy

Therapeutic interventions range from highly specialized (e.g., bone marrow/umbilical cord blood transplantation) to extremely simple (e.g., vitamin supplementation, avoidance of fasting). A higher value was assigned to simpler therapies since simplicity determines whether infants requiring follow-up can be managed locally or whether subspecialist care is required. The acute management of many metabolic disorders often requires the involvement of metabolic disease physicians who are not readily available in many geographic locations. On the other hand, for example, aspects of CH may be managed by child health professionals, and when specialists are required, they are more widely available. Some conditions also might allow for greater levels of family involvement in treatment. One hundred points were allotted to this feature of a condition.

Collecting the data

One goal of the data collection process was to include a broadly representative group of participants. A second goal was to use a method that would allow quantification of expert opinion. In addition to data gleaned from the scientific literature, input and opinion were sought from a wide array of child health professionals, subspecialty care experts and individuals interested in newborn screening. Respondents were not anonymous, and were asked to select one or more of the following

categories to describe their personal and/or professional role(s) in relation to newborn screening:

- 1. Provider of screening services (TESTING)
- 2. Provider of screening services (FOLLOW-UP)
- 3. Provider of screening services (ADMINISTRATION)
- 4. Provider of screening services (POLICY)
- 5. Provider of diagnostic services
- 6. Child health professional
- 7. Specialty care provider
- 8. Consumer

As discussed previously, many criteria were perceived differently by these diverse constituencies. Distinguishing among respondents allowed the expert group to independently assess the views of these different groups.

For each condition, steps were taken to ensure that those asked to provide information and those who provided information were broadly representative of the interest groups involved. A large number of acknowledged experts for each condition and specific consumer and professional organizations were asked to provide input through multiple professional groups (e.g., the Society for Inherited Metabolic Disease (SIMD), ACMG). Individuals from public health and newborn screening programs were offered the opportunity to participate through listservs of their representative organizations. This included listservs managed by HRSA/MCHB, NNSGRC, the Association of Public Health Laboratories, and others. To ensure that the perspectives of consumers were available for consideration, consumers were reached through listservs of NNSGRC, Genetic Alliance, and others. To ensure that there were several scientific and clinical experts for each condition, specific individuals were identified from recent publications, disease support groups, and professional groups. In addition, the data collection instrument used was made widely available through the ACMG web site (www.acmg.net). Due to the large and overlapping numbers of individuals participating in these listservs, it is not possible to state the number of potential participants who were contacted. Geographic origin and role or interest in newborn screening of survey participants was monitored to ensure that respondents were broadly representative.

Respondents were given the opportunity to score each criterion or mark it as unknown "U," an important option, because not all of those asked to participate were sufficiently familiar with the many aspects of all of the diseases for which responses were sought. However, the option also had implications for how the data were aggregated for analysis. The data were analyzed as means and medians for each criterion, as the average of total scores for each responder, and as sums of means and medians of all respondents to a particular criterion. After considering these different possibilities, it was decided that the results for any given condition would be expressed as the sum of the mean of the scores for each criterion. (The difficulty with using the sums of the means arises from different numbers of scorers, and scores varying in the comparisons, which obscures the distribution and confidence intervals of the final scores. The alternative approach using the sum of the medians was not used as the primary statistic because it tends to minimize dissent from the consensus. In later figures, conditions are ordered around the sum of the means and medians are otherwise shown. However, as previously discussed, for purely objective criteria, the data as evidenced by the scientific literature was applied and included in the sums rather than the survey information.)

Developing and integrating the evidence base

In the second tier of the assessment, the evidence base for the conditions was established and an algorithm through which conditions were reassessed was developed. The quantification of expert opinion or scoring system then becomes part of a broader assessment of the scientific literature related to the conditions, tests, and treatments in the second level of the assessments. The evidence from the scientific literature, with supporting references for each criterion of each condition, was reviewed as shown in the fact sheets (Appendix 1). Evidence was derived from a systematic review of:

- 1 Clinical evidence;
- 2. Cost/economic evidence and modeling;
- 3. Reference lists obtained from PubMed and Medline;
- 4. Books;
- 5. Health technology assessments commissioned by the U.K. National Screening Committee;
- 6. The Internet, including disease-specific support groups; and
- 7. Professional guidelines.

Epidemiology studies, when available, were assessed for study design, the nature of the subjects and the outcomes that were measured, and the effectiveness of the treatment.

Statistical analysis of survey results allowed for a score to be assigned to each condition which determined its ranking and initial placement in one of three categories (high scoring, moderately scoring, and low scoring or lacking a newborn screening test). After the assignment of conditions to one of the three categories, the evidence base on the condition, as validated by acknowledged experts in the conditions in question, was used to determine if the conditions met critical criteria categories. Experts in specific conditions were identified by the Conditions and Criteria Work Group and included many individuals who had participated in the data collection process.

Several critical criteria were identified that reflected the priorities and principles of the expert group. These include:

- 1. The existence of a sensitive and specific test that has been validated in a large general population;
- 2. The availability of an efficacious treatment;
- 3. A determination that the natural history was sufficiently well understood to justify placement in a core panel of conditions;
- 4. Determination of whether a clinically significant condition not in the core panel would be identified because it is part of the differential diagnosis of a core panel condition; and

- 5. Whether a clinically significant condition would be revealed by a multiplex technology and whether it was part of the differential diagnosis of a core panel condition.
- 6. Further, it was recognized that some tests allow for the definitive identification of unaffected carriers, and that such results should be communicated to a responsible individual in the health care system.

The fact sheets for each condition were reviewed by at least two experts for each condition to validate the information and assign a level of quality to the evidence. Levels of evidence correspond to those defined by the AAP Steering Committee on Quality Improvement and Management³² as follows:

Level 1: Evidence is derived from well-designed randomized controlled trials or diagnostic studies on relevant populations.

Level 2: Evidence is derived from randomized controlled trials or diagnostic studies with minor limitations; overwhelming, consistent evidence from observational studies.

Level 3: Evidence is derived from observational studies (case control and cohort design).

Level 4: Evidence is derived from expert opinion, case reports, and reasoning from first principles.

The evidence was aggregated into four groups (the condition, the test, the diagnosis and the treatment) and a level of evidence quality was assigned to each group by the experts for each of the conditions. Each fact sheet includes the names of the experts who validated the data and the level of quality of the studies from which the evidence is derived.

C. Results

Responses were received from 289 individuals, many of whom represented more than a single interest group, for a total of 582 represented areas of interest. The majority of the survey information was provided by experts in the clinical and scientific aspects of the individual conditions. The regional distribution of responses and areas of expertise of the respondents from the United States are shown in Table 3. The table also correlates the number of responses to the birth rate in each region (based on Census 2001 data). In the United States, no responses were received from the following States: Idaho, Kansas, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, West Virginia, and Wyoming. International responses were from Australia (4), Brazil (1), Canada (5), Chile (1), Croatia (1), Denmark (1), Finland (1), France (1), Germany (1), Italy (3), The Netherlands (1), Switzerland (1), and the United Kingdom. Most were from recognized experts in the field who were actively solicited by members of the working group for their input about specific conditions. At least three experts provided information on each condition.

Overall, a total of 3949 condition profiles were obtained. On average, seven conditions were scored per responder. Of the 84 conditions, 30 (36%) received more than 50 responses, and 5 (6%) < 20. The average number of profiles per condition was 47 ± 20 ; the range was 14-120. The corrected total for the 84 conditions was 3796; the number of responses for each condition is listed in Table 4. This table also shows the proportion of respondents who were unable to respond to one or more of the individual criteria and is reflected as "missing data" for each condition. This option was most frequently used in scoring criteria related to attributes of the screening test itself, with 11% of respondents not including all of the requested information.

Additional input, both domestic and international, was provided by individuals who were asked to discuss many of the broad issues under consideration by the work groups. The committee is particularly grateful for the assistance of Dr. Rodney Pollitt (Sheffield, UK), who provided insights into the system used in the United Kingdom; Dr. Adelbert Roscher (Munich, Germany), who provided insight into the recent newborn screening and MS/MS decision-making process undertaken by the German Democratic Republic; and Dr. Edwin Naylor (Pittsburgh, PA), who provided insight into the decision-making process of NeoGen Screening (now Pediatrix). In addition,

				G	Geographical	distribution		ndent profiles					
	Provider screening services						Specialty care provider						
Region	Testing	Follow-up	Administration	Policy		Diagnostic services	Primary care	Endocrinology	Hematology	Inf. diseases	Genetics	Inborn Errors of Metabolism	Total
West	5	17	5	8	10	11	0	8	2	1	4	12	83
Midwest	8	23	4	16	14	20	1	5	2	1	12	18	124
Northeast	13	29	8	14	22	30	3	11	6	1	20	25	182
South	4	10	2	5	15	6	4	3	0	0	7	6	62
Southeast	2	6	2	6	22	9	1	5	3	0	7	6	69
Total US	32	85	21	49	83	76	9	32	13	3	50	63	520
International	11	11	5	5	0	15	1	0	3	0	0	9	60
Not provided	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	43	96	26	54	85	91	10	32	16	3	50	72	582

Table 3

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

Table 4
Survey scores of all conditions (sorted by score in descending order)

Condition	Code	Responses	Missing data (%)	Score (sum of the means)	Rank (%ile)
Medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	MCAD	90	4	1799	1.00
Congenital hypothyroidism	СН	84	3	1718	0.99
Phenylketonuria	PKU	120	3	1663	0.98
Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia (Kernicterus)	HPRBIL	8	5	1584	0.96
Biotinidase deficiency	BIOT	68	2	1566	0.95
Sickle cell anemia (Hb SS disease)	Hb SS	55	8	1542	0.94
Congenital adrenal hyperplasia	CAH	93	7	1533	0.93
Isovaleric acidemia	IVA	53	3	1493	0.89
Very long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	VLCAD	58	2	1493	0.89
Maple syrup (urine) disease	MSUD	84	10	1493	0.89
Galactosemia	GALT	85	3	1473	0.88
Hb S/ß-thalassemia	Hb S/ßTh	43	8	1455	0.87
Hb S/C disease	Hb S/C	45	4	1453	0.86
Long-chain L-3-OH acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	LCHAD	58	3	1445	0.84
Glutaric acidemia type I	GA I	58	3	1435	0.83
3-hydroxy 3-methyl glutaric aciduria	HMG	28	4	1420	0.82
Trifunctional protein deficiency	TFP	42	5	1418	0.81
Multiple carboxylase deficiency	MCD	46	2	1386	0.80
Benign hyperphenylalaninemia	H-PHE	76	3	1365	0.78
Methylmalonic acidemia (mutase deficiency)	MUT	60	2	1358	0.77
Homocystinuria	НСҮ	80	2	1357	0.76
3-Methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency	3MCC	48	4	1355	0.75
Hearing loss	HEAR	45	4	1354	0.73
Methylmalonic acidemia (Cbl A,B)	Cbl A,B	46	2	1343	0.72
Propionic acidemia	PROP	68	2	1333	0.71
Carnitine uptake defect	CUD	46	2	1309	0.69
Galactokinase deficiency	GALK	47	7	1286	0.69
Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency	G6PD	42	5	1286	0.67
ß-Ketothiolase deficiency	ßKT	33	6	1282	0.66
Citrullinemia	CIT	63	3	1266	0.65
Argininosuccinic acidemia	ASA	60	4	1263	0.64
Tyrosinemia type I	TYR I	68	4	1257	0.63
Short-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	SCAD	51	7	1252	0.61
Tyrosinemia type II	TYR II	57	3	1249	0.60
Glutaric acidemia type II	GA2	52	4	1224	0.59
Medium/short-chain L-3-OH acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	M/SCHAD	21	11	1223	0.58
Cystic fibrosis	CF	65	12	1200	0.57
Variant Hb-pathies (including Hb E)	Var Hb	41	3	1199	0.55
Human HIV infection	HIV	29	8	1193	0.54
Defects of biopterin cofactor biosynthesis	BIOPT (BS)	60	3	1174	0.53
					(continued)

Genetics IN Medicine

Table 4	
Continued	

	Continued						
Condition	Code	Responses	Missing data (%)	Score (sum of the means)	Rank (%ile)		
Medium-chain ketoacyl-CoA thiolase deficiency	MCKAT	23	13	1170	0.52		
Carnitine palmitoyltransferase II deficiency	CPT II	45	5	1169	0.51		
Methylmalonic acidemia (Cbl C,D)	Cbl C,D	45	4	1166	0.49		
Argininemia	ARG	54	5	1151	0.48		
Tyrosinemia type III	TYR III	42	5	1149	0.47		
Defects of biopterin cofactor regeneration	BIOPT (Reg)	58	5	1146	0.46		
Malonic acidemia	MAL	22	5	1143	0.45		
Carnitine: acylcarnitine translocase deficiency	CACT	38	5	1141	0.43		
Isobutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	IBG	28	7	1134	0.42		
2-Methyl 3-hydroxy butyric aciduria	2M3HBA	18	3	1132	0.41		
Carnitine palmitoyltransferase IA deficiency (liver)	CPT IA	40	4	1131	0.40		
2-Methylbutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	2MBG	27	18	1124	0.39		
Hypermethioninemia	MET	45	3	1121	0.37		
Dienoyl-CoA reductase deficiency	DE RED	18	11	1119	0.36		
Galactose epimerase deficiency	GALE	38	7	1066	0.35		
3-Methylglutaconic aciduria	3MGA	21	5	1057	0.34		
Severe combined immunodeficiency	SCID	69	6	1047	0.33		
Congenital toxoplasmosis	ΤΟΧΟ	28	12	1041	0.31		
Familial hypercholesterolemia (heterozygote)	FHC	25	2	1038	0.30		
Carnitine palmitoyltransferase IB deficiency (muscle)	CPT IB	28	4	1009	0.29		
Citrullinemia type II	CIT II	38	2	1001	0.28		
Ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency	OTC	64	7	942	0.27		
Guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency	GAMT	23	1	922	0.24		
Wilson disease	WD	25	4	922	0.24		
Diabetes mellitus, insulin dependent	IDDM	51	16	891	0.23		
Neuroblastoma	NB	14	4	864	0.22		
Arginine: glycine amidinotransferase deficiency	AGAT	21	2	861	0.20		
Turner syndrome	TURNER	36	4	847	0.19		
Adenosine deaminase deficiency	ADA	20	4	841	0.18		
Carbamylphosphate synthetase deficiency	CPS	55	2	833	0.17		
Alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency	A1AT	18	12	819	0.16		
Congenital cytomegalovirus infection	CMV	18	12	779	0.14		
Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy	DMD	29	3	776	0.12		
Fragile X syndrome	FX	35	4	776	0.12		
Congenital disorder of glycosylation type Ib	CDG Ib	34	5	766	0.11		
Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome	SLO	45	3	759	0.10		
Biliary atresia	BIL	15	4	744	0.08		
Hurler-Scheie disease	MPS-1H	48	7	707	0.07		
X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy	ALD	38	2	705	0.06		
Fabry disease	FABRY	46	6	661	0.05		
					(continued)		

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

Continued						
Condition	Code	Responses	Missing data (%)	Score (sum of the means)	Rank (%ile)	
Lysosomal storage diseases	LSD	38	8	638	0.02	
Creatine transport defect	CR TRANS	20	0	646	0.04	
Pompe disease	POMPE	46	7	613	0.01	
Krabbe disease	KRABBE	44	9	447	0.00	

Table A

NOTE: Figure 5 shows the scores for all conditions that were evaluated, separated into groups based on the testing platforms (MS/MS for metabolic diseases, IEF or HPLC, for hemoglobinopathies, and all others).

several opportunities were offered for public comment over the course of these deliberations.

Based on responses to an independent survey that inquired as to the appropriateness of the criteria and the weights assigned, the expert group adjusted the scores assigned to some of the criteria. In particular, ambiguous language was clarified and a greater weight was assigned to the benefit of treatment to the infant. Scores for the parameters of the screening tests were increased to recognize the inherent value of multiplex technologies to public health.

Figures 1 and 2 display the raw data for MCAD and PKU, which were selected as representative conditions for demonstrating how the data collected for individual criteria are charted and aggregated to reach the final scores. Each respondent is listed over columns and the score offered for each criterion is shown. The sums of the mean and median scores are shown. Figures 3a through 3e display side-by-side summary data for each of the criteria used to evaluate the conditions with MCAD on the left and PKU on the right. In the top panel, the total score for each respondent is shown. The remaining panels show the scores for 18 of the 19 individual criteria (the availability of test criterion is not included) used to evaluate the conditions. The complete data in tabular form are displayed in Table 4, in which the scores are reflected as sums of the means for all conditions. The number of respondents for each condition is shown. The sums of the mean scores for all of the conditions evaluated, regardless of whether a screening test is available, are shown in Figure 4, Figure 5.

Figure 6 separates those conditions that have an acceptable, validated, population-based screening test from those lacking a test. The left side of the graph shows the conditions that have an adequate screening test currently available, while those shown on the right side lack a screening test. Among the conditions with a test, MCAD deficiency, CH, and PKU score the highest in this analysis, followed by BIOT, sickle cell anemia, CAH, isovaleric acidemia, VLCAD deficiency, MSUD, GALT, hemoglobin S/ β -thal disease, hemoglobin SC disease, LCHAD deficiency, glutaric acidemia type 1, and HMG. Conditions without a test are included because they reflect the need to focus on particular aspects of the disease in order for it to be considered for newborn screening.

D. Discussion

A number of considerations influenced the final decisions regarding which conditions should be included in a core screening panel. As previously discussed, using a two-step process, the information gathered with the data collection instrument and the review of the scientific literature provided information used to assign a score for each condition. This approach also allowed for those conditions with screening tests that have been validated in general populations to be distinguished from those conditions for which a population-based validated test was not available. The scores were first used to make some general decisions based on the highest scoring conditions. In particular, the inclusion of several conditions that are screened by either IEF or HPLC (hemoglobinopathies) and MS/MS (acylcarnitines and fatty acid oxidation disorders) led the expert group to make decisions regarding multiplex technologies and how the results should be handled. Once the conditions were separated into groups defined by either the individual condition or by the multiplex test that detects many conditions, the scoring system could be overlaid to see how conditions compare to one another within these groupings, or in total.

Defining and counting the conditions

Careful consideration of several factors is required to answer the seemingly basic question of how many conditions should be screened for in a newborn screening program and how they should be defined. These factors include: 1) the clinical, biochemical, and molecular complexity of the conditions under consideration; 2) the progress constantly made in our understanding of their natural history and etiology; 3) the impact of implementing multiplex platforms that allow the simultaneous detection of numerous biochemical markers; and 4) the gaps that appear to exist in the level of clinical knowledge among stakeholders involved with, or advocating for, the decision to pursue ever greater numbers of conditions. Indeed, counting has become increasingly problematic to the point that a competition seems to be taking place in which the apparent superiority of a newborn screening program or private laboratory is staked on the sole basis of quantity, with disproportionate consideration given to quality. This concept has caught the attention of the media that constantly tell the pub-

lic-at-large that the more conditions that are screened in a particular State, the better that program must be. As a direct consequence of this behavior, the number of conditions is perceived by the public and policy-makers as a scorecard, often leading to either inflated or inaccurate figures. For example, 22 States offering screening by MS/MS have included LCHAD deficiency in their panels, yet only half of the same programs claim to be screening for trifunctional protein deficiency, perhaps being unaware that the biochemical phenotype in bloodspots is essentially identical between the two conditions. Thus, the context in which screening is "quantitated" must be standardized.

This situation is not a new development brought on by modern technologies. Since the beginning of PKU screening, this has been a complex issue. The screening method for PKU led to follow-up testing to separate the patients with tyrosinemia and/or biopterin defects. Thus, many programs included tyrosine in their screened conditions, and considered biopterin defects as merely an anomaly of PKU screening that should be combined with PKU and given an asterisk when counting the number of PKU cases detected. This is hardly satisfactory when questions are asked about the incidence of the secondary targets or the outcomes of those subtypes.

When screening for sickle cell anemia became an important addition to screening panels, the singular condition of SS disease was usually counted even though the testing methodologies used could detect many different clinically significant hemoglobinopathies. Screening for sickle cell anemia progressed to screening for sickle cell diseases (SC and S β -thal) but this screening was still counted as screening for a single disorder with many other conditions detected secondarily. Further, although these are the three primary targets of hemoglobinopathy screening, the methodologies of IEF or HPLC employed in hemoglobinopathy screening can reveal over 700 variant hemoglobins, of which about 25 are considered to be of clinical significance and are reported out by some screening laboratories. Some States may only report SS disease, some SS, SC and S β -thal, and others a variable number of the other clinically significant variants. Hence, just for this one group of conditions, one can argue that a program that reports out 28 of these variants actually screens for 28 conditions. For a test involving a functional endpoint such as severe hearing loss, there are a large number of "conditions" for which the test screens.³³ There are over 77 loci for nonsyndromal hearing loss conditions, 31 loci for syndromal hearing loss that would be amenable to DNA-based testing such as presence of the cytomegalovirus or other infectious agent genomes. Hence, what is considered a single condition screen, congenital hearing loss, may be considered a screen for at least 108 individual conditions at the etiologic level.

If one takes the set of conditions included in both the proposed core panel and secondary target groups, each entity reflects the significance given to a spectrum of possible criteria. In the proceedings of the working group charged with this task, choices were made to strike the best compromise between established practices, the expert opinions, and scientific evidence. In reality, counting could have been very different if this had been approached in a pragmatic way using any of the following criteria:

- 1. Phenotype of the condition;
- 2. Established groups of conditions (e.g., organic acidurias, hyperphenylalaninemias);
- 3. Primary marker (e.g., tyrosine, C8 acylcarnitines);
- 4. Test (e.g., MS/MS, IEF);
- 5. Response to treatment (e.g., responsiveness to cofactors, vitamins); and
- 6. Number of loci linked to a common phenotype (e.g., hearing loss genes as discussed above).

Table 5 shows how different "counting" could be if the criteria above were applied independently. For instance, hearing loss is a single phenotype of one group of conditions for which the primary marker is hearing loss that is detected by one test-

Table 5 Discrepancies in counting conditions using different criteria				
Counting conditions according to	CORE PANEL	(NOT included if overlapping with core panel) SECONDARY TARGETS	TOTAL	
Clinical phenotype (1)	27	14	42	
Established groups of conditions (2)	10	0	10	
Primary marker (3)	22	29	51	
Test platform (4)	9	2	11	
Response to treatment (5)	32	14	46	
Number of loci (6)	142	28	170	
Expert group (7)	29	25	54	

(1)All clinical subsets (e.g., severe, mild) considered as a single entity.

(2)Organic acids disorders, hemoglobinopathies, endocrine disorders.

(3)Analyte with best sensitivity and specificity (e.g., C8 for MCAD or phenylalanine for the hyperphenylalaninemias).

(4)Either singleton test or multiplex platform count as one.

(5)Significant in a few cases (e.g., responsive versus non-responsive forms to a particular treatment).

(6) Based on OMIM (), with modifications.

(7)Selected from a total of 84 conditions.

ing platform, audiometry. The single response to treatment for the group is improved hearing or communication. However, as previously discussed, there are at least 108 genes for conditions associated with hearing loss. Similarly, while C8 is a primary marker of MCAD, it's also a primary marker for GA-II, M/SCHAD and MCKAT. It is detected in a single multiplex platform, MS/MS. Treatments are similar but as indicated above, and multiple conditions are associated with the marker.

It is evident that quantitation and categorization of newborn screening disorders remains imperfect and inconsistent and that, until standardized, there will continue to be confusion about the extent of screening in individual programs and the nation. The expert panel recognizes these disparities and their rationale, and recommends the implementation of a standardized and common nomenclature for an objective and scientifically sound description of the screening test panel being offered and the reporting of results. Such a classification system would require some consensus among the newborn screening and subspecialty communities, but should be possible. Standardization of panels, and consistent screening methods and case definitions will allow more pooling of available data on the utility of screening.

Integrating the evidence base with the survey results

Information obtained from the scientific literature and the surveys was used to create the fact sheets that were developed for each condition (see Appendix 1). The fact sheets are structured to provide summary information describing:

- 1. The type of condition;
- 2. The test;
- 3. The extent to which United States newborns are being screened for the condition;
- 4. Whether there is apparent ethnic variability in incidence;
- 5. The number of individuals providing information on the condition;
- 6. The proportion of scores from survey respondents considered valid; and
- 7. Citations in PubMed as of February 2004.

Information obtained from the surveys is shown on the left side of the first page. The percent of maximum score of the survey respondents is shown next to each criterion. The data from the two criteria for which there was the lowest correlation among respondents is also shown on the left side of page 1. The evidence from the literature is shown on the right side of the first page. Additional summary information including the scores (maximum of 2,100) is shown along with an assessment of whether the data from the surveys are consistent with the evidence from literature. Significant discrepancies are discussed in the comment box. Although the language of the criterion is often not identical to that expressed in the literature, there was significant correlation between the survey results and the evidence from the literature. The fact sheets for all other conditions evaluated are provided in Appendix 1.

Influence of testing technology

New technology has been one of the driving forces in the evolution of newborn screening programs in the United States and is a critical factor in the evaluation of a condition to determine how appropriate for screening it is. Typically, determining the appropriateness of newborn screening was based on the conditions themselves and their associated testing methods. However, new technologies often raise questions that have not yet been addressed. Multiplex methods such as genomic arrays require that the sequence tested deliberately be placed in the array. This is distinct from technologies that look globally at a class of molecules, for example, IEF or HPLC that reveal all hemoglobin variants, or an MS/MS run to detect acylcarnitines that reveal compounds in the C2 through C18 range. Complicating the use of MS/MS is the fact that many of the compounds identified are associated with more than one condition and these conditions may not have similar clinical and laboratory features. Thus, the criteria used to judge whether to include a condition in a newborn screening panel will vary among the conditions. It becomes difficult to compare a condition that has a unique test/technology that tests only for the condition of interest to a technology that can detect many conditions, some of which are related through their differential diagnosis, while others involve independent compounds in the MS/MS profile. The use of MS/MS for acylcarnitines, for example, differs from its use for detection of amino acid disorders in which there is little overlap between the analytes associated with the conditions. Table 6 shows the relationships between analytes for high scoring conditions and those of lower scoring conditions.

Independent decisions were made about conditions screened using MS/MS and HPLC or IEF for hemoglobinopathies. One reason is that among the acylcarnitine disorders there is little differentiation between the highest and lowest scoring conditions. For many conditions, the difference is accounted for by differing incidence figures—a criterion that loses some of its importance when the test for the more common conditions also can detect less common conditions.

It is important to note that two approaches are currently being used in screening with MS/MS. A majority of screening laboratories now run full profiles that allow them to visualize the full range of acylcarnitines or amino acid compounds. However, a minority operate their systems in a selective reaction monitoring (SRM) mode, which allows them to obtain results only on the subset of compounds that are associated with those conditions that are being targeted in the screening programs. Some programs use a combination of SRM and profiling with either approach, the screening test is driven more by analytes than by the conditions with which they are associated. An assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of the test results for each approach led to an expert group preference for the full-profile approach for four reasons.

First, in reviewing those acylcarnitine-associated conditions that were high scoring in this analysis (MCAD, IVA, VLCAD, LCHAD, GA1, HMG and TFP) (see Table 4), it was apparent

Table 6
Differential diagnosis between core panel and secondary target conditions

PRIMARY	TARGETS	SECONDARY TARGETS		
Higher Scoring	Lower Scoring			
MCAD		GA2 M/SCHAD MCKAT		
PKU		H-PHE BIOPT (BS) BIOPT (REG)		
Hb SS	Hb S/ß-Th Hb S/C	VAR Hb		
IVA		2MBG		
VLCAD	LCHAD TFP	CPT II CACT		
GALT		GALK GALE		
BIOT (*)	MCD PROP			
MUT	Cbl A,B	Cbl C,D		
НСҮ		MET		
HMG	3MCC BKT	2M3HBA 3MGA		
CUD		CPT IA		
CIT	ASA	CIT II		
TYR I		TYR II TYR III		

NOTE: Codes are as listed in Table 2. A differential diagnosis is required between conditions listed in the same row. (*) indicates that biotinidase deficiency is occasionally diagnosed by MS/MS.

that several acylcarnitines must be analyzed in order to maximize assay specificity and sensitivity. A majority of the remaining conditions detected by MS/MS were also included in the differential diagnoses of the higher scoring conditions. Thus, screening for a core set of conditions ultimately results in screening for a much wider range of conditions.

Second, the use of MS/MS profiles allows for the maximal use of the technology for the identification of clinically significant conditions.

Third, the use of MS/MS profiles offers better quality control of preanalytic and analytic aspects of testing. Allowing all information to be assessed can reveal the presence of spurious signals and/or contaminants in the specimens or reagents and devices used in the test system.

Fourth, the use of MS/MS profiles enhances clinical interpretation of results by revealing anomalies in associated compounds or in compounds that provide internal standards against which excesses or deficiencies can be better interpreted. Hence, the expert group recommends that a full MS/MS profile should be analyzed, and any clinically significant results should be reported by the laboratory to the health care provider and family of the infant. Some of the conditions detectable by acylcarnitine profiling may turn out to be benign in a number of cases (i.e., SCAD, 2MBCAD, and 3MCC). The secondary conditions detectable by a multiplex technology such as MS/MS or HPLC and included in a differential diagnosis for the primary target conditions can be screened at minimal additional cost and are, in fact, determined in the diagnostic setting during follow-up. There could be additional cost associated with diagnosis and follow-up, although many of these cases would be detected clinically after birth and higher costs would inevitably be incurred by the health care system and the family, although not as a result of the newborn screening program.

The expert group also devoted considerable discussion to the question of how best to present the results of analyses of conditions. As previously discussed, the lists of conditions used are inherently longer than the lists many States use to describe the newborn screening tests they offer because the expert group chose to break down the heterogeneity of conditions by listing them by etiologic type or by the analytes associated with the conditions. It would be inappropriate to consider this list of conditions as a scorecard for the number of conditions screened. It is only by considering each condition in each of its etiologic forms that a direct analysis can be done.

In the following section, diseases are assigned to categories as a means of conducting the analyses (see Tables 7 and 8). The main category, referred to as the core panel, includes those conditions considered appropriate for newborn screening. The 29 conditions in this core panel are similar in that they all have:

- 1. Specific and sensitive screening tests;
- 2. A sufficiently well understood natural history; and
- 3 Available and efficacious treatments.

	MS/MS			
Acylca	rnitines	Amino acids		
9 O A	5 FAO	6 AA	3 Hb Pathies	6 Others
		CORE PANEI	_	
IVA	MCAD	PKU	Hb SS*	CH
GAI	VLCAD	MSUD	Hb S/ß-Th*	BIOT
HMG	LCHAD	HCY*	Hb S/C*	CAH*
MCD	TFP	CIT		GALT
MUT*	CUD	ASA		HEAR
3MCC*		TYR I*		CF
Cbl A,B*				
PROP				
BKT				

Codes are as listed in Table 4. OA, disorders of organic acid metabolism; FAO, disorders of fatty acid metabolism; AA, disorders of amino acid metabolism; Hb Pathies, hemoglobinopathies. (*) See individual condition discussions.

SECONDARY TARGETS					
6 OA	8 FAO	8 AA	1 Hb Pathies	2 Others	
Cbl C,D*	SCAD	HYPER-PHE	Var Hb*	GALK*	
MAL	GA2	TYR II		GALE	
IBG	M/SCHAD	BIOPT (BS)			
2M3HBA	MCKAT	ARG			
2MBG	CPT II	TYR III			
3MGA	CACT	BIOPT (REG)			
	CPT IA	MET			
	DE RED	CIT II			

 Table 8

 a secondary target condition part

Codes are as listed in Table 4. OA, disorders of organic acid metabolism; FAO, disorders of fatty acid metabolism; AA, disorders of amino acid metabolism; Hb Pathies, hemoglobinopathies. (*) Identifies conditions for which specific discussions of unique issues are found in the main report.

The expert group concluded that conditions with evidencevalidated scores equal to or above 1,200 meet these key criteria and should be considered appropriate for newborn screening.

Analysis of the distribution of scores among the conditions in Figure 7 shows that around a score of 1,250, one moves into a group of conditions that are part of the differential diagnosis of higher scoring conditions, but for which natural history is less well understood or efficacious treatment is lacking. These conditions occupy the middle third of the curve. CF (1,200) is the only condition currently screened that scores in this range but is not part of the differential diagnosis of a higher scoring condition. (Its lower score may reflect the ongoing debate about the benefits of screening for CF, despite the evidence for screening and the lack of evidence of significant harms from screening.)34-35 Otherwise, all conditions in this middle third scoring between tyrosinemia type I (score = 1,257; 63rd centile) and galactose epimerase deficiency (score = 1,066; 35th centile) are part of the differential diagnosis of another higher scoring condition. The expert group recognizes that it is difficult to draw a line in a continuum that would reasonably discriminate between groups of conditions. Programs should appreciate that scoring cut-offs may have wide and varying confidence limits due to differences in numbers of responders. The final scores represent a rough relative approximation of ranking of disorders and serve only as an initial step to guide decision-making; analysis of the evidence base for the score needs to be included in the decision-making process.

Conditions then were redistributed between the core panel and the secondary target category on the basis of the evidence related to the availability of an efficacious treatment and a well understood natural history. Other conditions were moved from the "not appropriate for newborn screening category" to secondary targets if they were revealed by the multiplex technology used to identify core panel conditions. SCAD, IBG, ARG and DE RED were moved into the secondary target category on this basis. Among conditions initially placed in the core panel category on the basis of the survey score, CPT-II was shifted to the secondary target category on the basis of the lack

of a proven efficacious treatment. Several conditions were moved to the secondary target category on the basis of scientific evidence indicating that the natural history was not sufficiently well understood. These include TYR-II, GA-2, and M/SCHAD. GALK deficiency was moved to the secondary target category on the basis of the relatively limited burden of disease and the fact that a second test is usually required to screen for the condition. G6PD was moved to the category of conditions not recommended for newborn screening because of a limited knowledge of the natural history of the mutations in the G6PD gene found in the United States. There is also limited knowledge of the implications of these mutations with regard to development of severe hemolytic disease in the United States population. Additionally, because G6PD is not identified in the course of screening for other core conditions, it was not placed in the secondary target category. Finally, a subset of conditions was identified for which carrier status could be established on the basis of the screening test result and for which reporting is considered appropriate. These include MCAD, VLCAD, Hb-pathies, 3MCC, CUD, and CF.

The next group of conditions includes those that are clinically significant and are part of the differential diagnosis of a condition listed in the core panel or that are revealed through a multiplex technology. Note that secondary hemoglobinopathies are revealed in the screening laboratory while most others are revealed in the diagnostic setting during follow-up. Table 8 lists the conditions in this secondary category. Table 5 shows the relationships among many of the core conditions and the conditions included in their differential diagnoses (or secondary targets). In particular, some of the metabolic conditions in this group are characterized by having a sensitive and specific test, but a deficiency in the availability of an efficacious treatment or limited knowledge of the natural history of the condition, although there may be sufficient knowledge to justify the reporting of test results to the family and health care provider of the infant.

The recommendation to report all clinically significant results is an approach similar to that taken for hemoglobinopa-

thy screening, in which a core set of conditions is screened. The technologies of choice in many laboratories for hemoglobinopathy screening are IEF and HPLC, which can detect the full range of more than 700 hemoglobin variants, including those in the core panel, for which clinically significant variants are reported.³⁶ By handling hemoglobinopathies in a way similar to the acylcarnitine and amino acid disorders screened for by MS/MS, the expert group was left with a much smaller group of conditions to consider independently for screening suitability. These conditions have adequate screening tests and efficacious treatments, but they are detected by methods other than MS/ MS, and usually as singleton tests.

Table 9 lists the conditions that were determined to be without a screening methodology that has been adequately validated for general population-based screening. Kernicterus risk as determined by the identification of hyperbilirubinemia stands out in this group as being a very high scoring condition.

Figure 8 shows the distribution of conditions into the: core panel (29 conditions); secondary target category (25 conditions); no test available (23 conditions), those excluded from

	Conditions for which Newborn Screening is NOT Indicated at this Time MS/MS						
Acylca	rnitines	Amino acids					
OA	FAO	AA	Hb Pathies	Others			
No Test							
	CPT-1B	OTC		HPRLBIL	FX		
		CPS		FHC	CDG-1b		
				SCID	SLO		
				IDDM	ALD		
				GAMT	MPS-1H		
				WD	FABRY		
				AGAT	CR TRANS		
				NB	LSD		
				TURNER	POMPE		
				BIL	KRABBE		
Excluded							
				ADA			
				A1AT			
				DMD			
				G6PD*			
Deferred							
				HIV			
				TOXO			
				CMV			

Codes are as listed in Table 4. OA, disorders of organic acid metabolism; FAO, disorders of fatty acid metabolism; AA, disorders of amino acid metabolism; Hb Pathies, hemoglobinopathies. (*) Identifies conditions for which specific discussions of unique issues are found in the main report.

newborn screening categories due to other inadequacies in meeting the criteria (4 conditions), and the three conditions on which we deferred decision-making.

Selected condition discussions

The following conditions represent a group for which there was either deviation from the adopted data processing plan or for which unusual issues justify additional discussion. It is important to realize that the data on the laboratory sensitivity and specificity of many conditions identified by MS/MS is suboptimal, though it was sufficient to lead the expert group to classify them as it has done.

Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)

Table 7 CAH includes a number of forms of the disease. The most common is 21 hydroxylase (21-OH) deficiency, which accounts for 95% of cases and is the general form that has been considered. The primary marker used in newborn screening for 21-OH, 17-hydroxyprogesterone (17-OHP), is most sensitive in identifying infants with the severe salt-wasting form in which elevations are very high. The degree to which 17-OHP is elevated in the nonsalt-wasting forms is variable. Hence, sensitivity in detecting this form by newborn screening is reduced. The 21-OH forms of CAH were not subdivided as were the hyperphenylalaninemias because the forms of 21-OH are caused by the same gene. However, many programs consider the identification of newborns with the nonsalt-wasting form to be a by-product of screening for the primary target, the salt-wasting form. In the salt-wasting form, most virilized females should be clinically detectable because of "ambiguous genitalia" or as virilized females. However, it is important to identify the males by screening to prevent early morbidity and mortality. The other CAH types found in the remaining 5% of patients are not detectable generally by current screening strategies.

Galactokinase Deficiency (GALK)

Table 8 Galactokinase deficiency scored 1,286 points in the analysis. However, the only consistent phenotype is cataracts. Further, in order to screen for GALK, an additional test is required. Most screening laboratories include a combination of the Beutler fluorescent spot screening test and a fluorometric or bacterial inhibition assay for total galactose. Because GALK is very rare and is part of the differential diagnosis of GALT, it has been designated as a secondary target.

Glucose 6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency (G6PD)

Table 9 G6PD deficiency is included in newborn screening programs in some countries, particularly in Asia and the Mediterranean, where it is the most common enzymopathy. Newborn screening programs in the Philippines and in Taiwan have reported incidence figures of 1 in 65. In the United States, G6PD screening is provided as part of the screening panel for the District of Columbia – the only program to mandate and provide screening for G6PD deficiency (Missouri has mandated G6PD screening but has not yet implemented the screen-

ing). The vast majority of the clinical data are from countries in which the risk factors (e.g., ingestion of fava beans, infections, and drugs such as sulfonamides and antimalarials) associated with G6PD status are more common and in which the prevalence is higher (e.g., tropical Africa, Middle East, tropical and subtropical Asia and in some areas of the Mediterranean). There is very limited data available from any screening program in the United States, and the opinion of hematology experts is that the variants that exist in the United States African American population are clinically benign unless the individual is in a severely compromised (i.e., oxidized) state, usually resulting from drug exposure./ Additional data are needed from programs now screening for G6PD before this condition can reasonably be considered for inclusion in a mandated core panel of screening conditions. Programs currently screening for G6PD are encouraged to collect and publish the data for determining clinical relevancy and analytical specificity and sensitivity of tests being used. Further, and as discussed below in the context of hyperbilirubinemia, some conditions are not mutually exclusive. Appropriate monitoring and management of jaundice could identify those cases at risk for Kernicterus or biliary atresia.

Hemoglobinopathies (Hb Pathies)

Table 8 Hemoglobinopathies are screened by HPLC or IEF in most programs. The primary focus of the review of scientific literature was on sickling disorders, since they have been the primary targets of newborn screening. However, there are over 700 hemoglobin variants identified by the methods used for screening, and 25-30 are considered clinically significant. Many of these conditions are associated with an Hb SS allele, but not all. Among these variant hemoglobinopathies, Hb E is by far the most common. The expert group agreed with the current recommendations that all clinically significant hemoglobinopathy variants be reported to health care professionals. It is appreciated that there may be conditions that occur more commonly in subpopulations, such as the case of Hb E in the Hmong population, and that may alter local screening practices.

Homocystinuria (HCY)

Table 7 Homocystinuria is screened for by detection of an elevated concentration of methionine, a secondary biochemical marker of the condition. The differential diagnosis of HCY includes other defects of methionine metabolism, unrelated liver disease, common dietary artifacts (total parenteral nutrition), and analytical issues (lability of methionine internal standard).³⁷ Hence, screening for HCY has a lower sensitivity than other amino acid disorders included in the core panel, and requires special attention in result interpretation to minimize the rate of false positive results. Although a primary screening based on methionine is less than ideal, the identification of newborns with a potentially treatable condition was a determining factor for the high score assigned to HCY in the survey and its inclusion in the core panel. This situation is likely to evolve when a second tier test capable of measuring total homocysteine in bloodspots becomes routinely available by MS/MS or other methods; an improvement that will strengthen the inclusion of HCY in the core panel.

Hyperbilirubinemia (HPRLBIL)

Table 9 Based on the responses of seven experts asked to complete the data collection instrument, this was among the highest scoring conditions. However, the expert group determined that there was not a screening methodology that was sufficiently well validated in a large newborn population to justify mandated universal screening at this time. Although bilirubin test result nomograms have been validated in smaller studies, the current nomograms are not sufficiently reflective of the broad population. There are also risk factors for hyperbilirubinemia associated with other conditions such as G6PD deficiency that are assessed independently. Additionally, in order for bilirubin to be used as a marker of this condition, a specimen would have to be taken and testing would likely have to occur in the local nursery, because results would need to be rapidly available based on current understanding of hyperbilirubinemia. Therefore, the question is raised whether this should be a mandated newborn screen or, rather, be instituted as an appropriate standard medical practice for any newborn.³⁸ Currently, universal testing for hyperbilirubinemia is not routinely conducted in most hospitals.

Methylmalonic Acidemia

Methylmalonic acidemia (MMA) exists in several etiologic forms caused by defects of either the apoenzyme (MMA-CoA mutase) or the biosynthesis of the coenzyme (adenosyl-cobalamin). The forms associated with a coenzyme defect may overlap biochemically with acquired dietary deficiencies. The biochemical marker of MMA is propionylcarnitine. Overall, there is credible evidence of less than ideal sensitivity with the current testing technology (affected cases with normal concentration when tested at birth) and specificity (relatively high rate of false-positive results, including cases with relatively high levels that are followed up by perfectly normal plasma acylcarnitine and urine organic acid profiles). It is likely that the introduction of a second-tier test capable of measuring methylmalonic acid in bloodspots could improve the sensitivity and specificity of newborn screening for MMA and reinforce the inclusion of this condition in the core panel. Because newborn screening is considered a program that extends beyond the screening test itself, it was decided that the disorders characterized by an elevated propionylcarnitine (mutase deficiency, cobalamin A, B, C, and D deficiencies, as well propionic acidemia) should be subdivided, particularly since they have quite different natural histories and treatment options.

3-Methylcrotonyl-CoA Carboxylase Deficiency (3MCC)

Table 7 The natural history of 3MCC has been driven by the clinical ascertainment of patients presenting with severe acute episodes. However, since newborn screening with MS/MS began, several individuals have been identified with the analytes associated with the condition but without apparent clinical

manifestations. This situation includes cases where the abnormal metabolites found in the neonatal bloodspot were of maternal origin, subjects who are usually biochemically affected but symptom-free. All elements being considered, it is in the best interest of newborns affected with 3MCC that the condition be identified in all cases. 3MCC was therefore included in the core screening panel with the expectation that long term follow-up will lead to a better understanding of this condition and its clinical significance.

Tyrosinemia Type I (TYR I)

Table 7 TYR I is a condition caused by fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase deficiency that presents with severe liver and renal disease and peripheral nerve damage. If left untreated, most patients die of liver failure in the first years of life. Treatment with the drug NTBC (2-(2-nitro-4-trifluoromethylbenzoyl)-1,3,-cyclohexanedione), diet, and liver transplant are now considered to be very effective. Newborn screening is based on the detection of an elevated concentration of tyrosine. There is evidence of less than ideal sensitivity with the current testing technology (affected cases with normal concentration when tested at birth) and poor specificity (very high rate of false positive results, mostly premature babies and newborns with liver disease of variable etiology). Although the introduction of a second-tier test capable of measuring succinylacetone in bloodspots could improve the sensitivity and specificity of newborn screening for TYR-I, the question of whether affected but asymptomatic newborns are being identified with any degree of consistency remains to be answered. It is a general and accepted concern that hepatorenal tyrosinemia may not be detected by MS/MS analysis of tyrosine concentration alone. However, TYR-I is included in the core panel for historical reasons and because of the effectiveness of treatment. It remains important not to exclude the diagnosis of tyrosinemia on the basis of a screen negative result.

Limitations of methodology

Over the course of this project a number of limitations became apparent. Conditions with limited available evidence reported in the scientific literature were more difficult to score and place in one of the three categories. Some conditions had been reported in 10 or fewer families in the world, and for other conditions, there were gaps in the evidence base in the literature. Many conditions were found to occur in multiple forms distinguished by age-of-onset, severity, or other features. In most cases, decisions related to newborn screening were based on the more severe and treatable forms of the conditions.

The knowledge base about genetic diseases grows through a common pathway and, unless a condition was already included in newborn screening programs, there was a potential for bias in the information related to some criteria. The most severe forms of genetic diseases are usually those first noted. As one moves into the families of these probands, this bias toward severity is reduced. However, it is not until a large general population has been studied that the true performance characteristics of the various screening tests are appreciated. Because many of the conditions under consideration are very rare and the genetic etiologies may vary by ethnicity and other parameters, a population of considerable size is required to acquire a broad understanding of the condition.

Due to the aforementioned limitations, expert opinion that considered reasoning from first principles and the quality of the studies underlying the data contributed significantly to the placement of the conditions into particular categories.

Numerous barriers to implementing an optimal screening and follow-up program were identified. Recommended actions to overcome these barriers include the establishment of a national role in scientific evaluation of conditions and the technologies by which they are screened, standardization of case definitions and reporting procedures, enhanced oversight of hospital-based screening activities, long-term data collection and surveillance, and consideration of the financial needs of programs to allow them to deliver the appropriate services to the screened population.

Finally, there were limitations in both time and resources available to accomplish a project as broad and comprehensive as this. A large number of conditions commonly managed by differing subspecialists were assessed and, due to their rarity, it was not unusual that there may only be a handful of acknowledged experts of particular conditions in the world. It was also necessary to include a significant number of experts not directly involved in the expert group or its work groups. In order to broaden the number of individuals from whom we might draw for assistance with data collection and validation, it was necessary to consult with international experts.

In many ways, the analyses done under this project provide a current snapshot of the knowledge base from which recommendations are drawn. Decisions were made as to the adequacy of the evidence on which the recommendations are based. However, as is common for rare diseases, the acquisition of new knowledge is ongoing and long-term surveillance is needed to ensure that the evidence continues to support the recommendations.

Decision making for conditions being evaluated

A primary consideration in evaluating conditions is the availability of the test. The parameters that determine "availability" are numerous and vary considerably among conditions. It is also difficult to compare tests because of the differing "value" of a technology (e.g., multiplex capability, appropriateness of the site to conduct the screening service). The expert group considered whether the tests are amenable to a screening laboratory; for example, some tests are functional, such as those for hearing screening, and must be performed in the nursery. Other tests may have significant time constraints and are therefore better conducted in the hospital or birthing facility laboratory, as would likely be the case for bilirubin screening for kernicterus risk. It also should be noted that some of the conditions considered by the expert group did not meet the criterion that the test must be performed in the 24- to 48-hour period after birth (e.g., Wilson disease, familial hypercholes-

terolemia, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, congenital disorders of glycosylation, Turner syndrome screened by FSH levels). However, such conditions may be appropriate for screening at a later time in infancy or later in childhood. Although early and continuous screening of infants and children is a critical public health goal-as is lifelong screening-the expert group analysis was limited to conditions that should be and could be evaluated some time within the first few days of life. For the most part in the United States, the focus of traditional newborn screening programs has been on disorders detectable in the first 12 to 48 hours prior to discharge from the nursery. As such, the analyses were all predicated on testing done during this time frame. Initial screens in the neonatal period (i.e., first 28 days of life) would constitute a separate program with different costs and yields of cases and therefore should be separately analyzed.

Within this framework, the basis for decision-making as shown in Figure 9 starts with whether a screening test is available, a criterion without which decisions to screen cannot be made. Clearly, the first decision to screen is based on the availability of a sensitive and specific screening test that can be done in the 24- to 48-hour interval after birth. However, there is occasional disagreement as to whether a test is adequately validated for use in general populations. Hence, survey respondents may not necessarily give a 200-point score but may give a score between zero and 200. We defined the existence of the screening test as corresponding to a score between 100–200 points. Conditions determined to have a screening test are then evaluated with respect to the criteria.

Understanding that the evidence for each criterion needs to be evaluated, conditions with validated scores, scoring above 1,200 are considered appropriate for inclusion as primary targets in a screening program. However, the expert group distinguishes between those that are primary target conditions and those that are included in the differential diagnoses for those primary target conditions. Those with tests available and scoring between 1,000 and 1,200 are secondarily reconsidered as to whether an efficacious treatment is available and, if so, they are then reconsidered as to whether the natural history of the condition is well understood. If one of these is answered "no" but the condition is part of the differential diagnosis of a core condition, it is placed in the secondary target category. If it is not part of the differential of another core panel condition, the condition would not be considered appropriate for newborn screening at this time. Conditions falling between 1,000 and 1,200 are also considered appropriate for the secondary target category while those with an overall score under 1,000 are not considered appropriate for newborn screening at this time. At the bottom of the algorithm, the expert group acknowledges that there are currently significant research studies and clinical trials in process involving screening tests and therapeutics for diseases that might make the condition amenable to newborn screening (e.g., lysosomal disorders). The information that determined the current recommendation of the expert group is not static. Conditions not considered appropriate for the core panel at this time should be reevaluated periodically to determine if their status has changed.

The data collection instrument used in this project provides information on only one aspect of a broader decision-making process required for evaluating conditions and establishing a uniform newborn screening panel (see decision tree in Fig. 9). There are also features of tests, such as costs, that are not factored into this diagram that State newborn screening programs may take into account. The algorithm can be used prospectively as a tool to evaluate conditions for their appropriateness for addition to or removal from a screening panel (Appendix 2). Reference information about each condition the expert group evaluated and the summary information can be compared to the results of an independent assessment of a condition. Review of the scientific literature should be conducted and expert opinion should be gathered for any condition evaluated. The preference is to use data from the literature. For the most subjective criteria, expert opinion is supplemented with the views of individuals involved with newborn screening programs and child health professionals and families.

Reporting responsibilities

Many factors affect the decisions about reporting of individual test results made by laboratories and programs. Some State newborn screening programs report directly to child health professionals, while others report to designated subspecialists. Some also report test results to families. Reporting also varies according to whether the results are screen-positive or screennegative. As noted earlier, all results of likely clinical significance that are apparent in the testing platforms targeting specific conditions should be reported. As recommended by the Sickle Cell, Thalassemia and Other Hemoglobin Variants Subcommittee of CORN (1995), each screening program should develop guidelines for follow-up of carriers of all clinically significant conditions. This currently includes hemoglobinopathies and also would now apply to CF, because for both conditions the primary- or second-tier tests reveal carrier status. Similarly, second-tier testing for molecular causes of MCAD and other disorders can lead to the identification of carriers of the conditions (for autosomal recessive disorders). The differences in expectations between the conditions in the core panel and those in the secondary target category should be noted. Inherent to conditions in the core panel is the need to maximize detection in screening while minimizing excessive false positives being referred into the health care system. For conditions in the core panel that are positive on screening due to specific analytes being elevated, the secondary targets are identified in the diagnostic laboratory. It was on the basis of firm knowledge about these conditions that most decisions were made. The identification of conditions in the secondary target category is based on the fact that results are available due to the multiplex or multianalyte nature of the screening technology used. However, it does not presume that screening tests have been maximized for the detection of these conditions or that the knowledge base is sufficient to have developed an expectation of maximum health outcomes following interventions.

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Newborn screening program officials also make decisions about following patients after initial screening and reporting. For instance, false-positives are treated as true positives until proven otherwise. However, once shown to be a real falsepositive result, the State newborn screening program often treats the infant as they would a screen-negative infant, without pursuing further follow-up. The expert group believes that this situation warrants additional postconfirmation decisionmaking but acknowledges that the programs must minimally understand final diagnoses in order to discriminate false-positives from real-positives for these "secondary" targets.

State programs must decide whether the individual prevalence, costs and burdens of identifying these additional diseases-which may not be treatable and may take resources away from the treatable diseases originally targeted through these programs-can justify their inclusion in the program. They also must take into consideration the issues raised by child health professionals who will receive results about very rare conditions about which they have limited knowledge. Regardless of whether the State newborn screening program chooses to integrate secondary target cases into their full newborn screening program, it is important that an organized system of data collection and surveillance be available. The issues in newborn screening are similar to those that the FDA has faced with therapeutics for rare diseases, in which a shift toward phase IV (postmarket) surveillance during clinical trials has emerged. This shift recognizes that the most critical data about genetic diseases arise in the context of full population analysis. However, clinical data about the "normal" population is very scarce because the research focus has been on those with disease and on the diseases themselves. The significant variability inherent in genetic diseases requires significant knowledge of the expression of genetic variants in a general population before they are well understood. Such data collection has not been a priority of funding agencies.

E. Summary

Significant variability exists in the types of newborn screening available and the conditions screened across the United States. This project was intended to evaluate the scientific and medical evidence in order to identify conditions appropriate for newborn screening. After articulating overarching principles to guide decision-making, the current practices and systems in the States/regions and other countries were assessed.

All analyses were done from the perspective of national data, since one of the goals of the project was to bring standardization and uniformity to newborn screening. It is appreciated that some conditions may occur more commonly in subpopulations, such as is the case for IBG and HbE in the Hmong population, and that that may alter local screening practices.

Criteria were defined that would be used to compare the many conditions under consideration. The scientific literature related to each criterion was reviewed for each of 84 conditions and the opinions of at least three acknowledged experts for every condition was evaluated. At the first level of analysis, an assessment was made as to the availability of a screening test that had been validated in a large general population. Scores were then established for each condition and they were assigned to one of three groups:

- 1. Core Panel (shared in common a high score [≥1,200], the availability of an efficacious treatment, a knowledge of natural history adequate for inclusion in a public health screening program);
- 2. Secondary Targets ([1,000–1,200] conditions that are part of the differential diagnosis of a core panel condition); and
- 3. Not Appropriate for Newborn Screening ([<1,000] either no newborn screening test is available or there is poor performance with regard to multiple other evaluation criteria).

The scientific evidence was overlaid on an initial categorization of conditions to ensure that all conditions in the core panel had a sufficiently well understood natural history and that an efficacious treatment was available.

The expert group recommends that State newborn screening programs:

- 1. Mandate screening for all core panel conditions defined by this report;
- 2. Mandate reporting of all secondary target conditions defined by this report and of any abnormal results that may be associated with clinically significant conditions. Some are identified in screening laboratories (e.g., hemoglobinopathies) and others in the diagnostic laboratory (e.g., MS/MS screened conditions). Clinically significant conditions also include the definitive identification of carrier status;
- 3. Maximize the use of multiplex technologies; and
- 4. Consider that the range of benefits realized by newborn screening includes treatments that go beyond an infant's mortality and morbidity.

SECTION II: THE NEWBORN SCREENING SYSTEM: PROGRAM EVALUATION, COST-EFFECTIVENESS, INFORMATION NEEDS, AND FUTURE NEEDS

A. The newborn screening system

In order to successfully expand the number of mandated disorders screened for in newborns, the full breadth of the screening process and its components must be fully operational. Thus the expert group and its Diagnosis and Follow-up Work Group sought to examine the current status of screening systems throughout the United States, with particular attention paid to the diagnosis and follow-up components and their interface with the newborn screening program and primary health care professionals. In addition, the group was interested in identifying the key components of screening and highlighting some best practices that appear to improve outcomes. The six components of the newborn screening process that were assessed are:

1. Education, including prenatal education;

- 2. Screening, including specimen collection and testing;
- 3. Follow-up, including result reporting;
- 4. Diagnostic confirmation;
- 5. Management; and
- 6. Program evaluation and continuous quality improvement.

Much of the information reported in this section was obtained from a survey of State newborn screening programs conducted by the NNSGRC and reported at a November 2002 meeting sponsored by HRSA/MCHB and University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), entitled "Educating Parents and the Informed Decision-Making Process Regarding Newborn Screening Procedures and the Use and Storage of Residual Bloodspots." NNSGRC has updated this information through June 2004.

Education

As screening increases there is a growing need for education across all groups of constituents, including parents and guardians, obstetrical providers, infants' medical homes, pediatric specialists, and emergency room/labor-delivery/neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) staffs. Education should occur in several places and times in the screening system, appropriate to the needs of patients, families, and health professionals.

Newborn screening programs typically provide educational materials during the perinatal period. The materials include information about newborn screening in general and brief descriptions of the conditions that are screened. Nineteen of 50 programs indicated that distribution of their newborn screening brochures was mandatory in birthing hospitals. Only one program reported not having an informational newborn screening brochure. All but three of the 50 programs indicated that their brochures included a list of disorders screened, and all but two described the specimen collection procedures and timing. Twenty provided information about when results would be available, 31 discussed the manner in which the results were reported to physicians, and 36 indicated how parents might obtain these results. As the number of conditions included in screening continues to expand, there has been a move toward providing more general information about the types of conditions screened rather than detailed information about each condition.

Prenatal Education

Few programs actively support education programs about newborn screening during the prenatal period. Ten of 50 State programs reported that newborn screening brochures typically were distributed in obstetrical offices, and 14 of 50 indicated that there was routine distribution in birthing classes. No information was available concerning quality, readability or understanding of the brochure information. The growing number of conditions for which newborn screening can be expected, combined with the existing limitations (e.g., familiarity of child health professionals with the newborn screening system) to delivering education during the perinatal period, argues for a focus on enhanced education during the prenatal period. This area of need is currently being addressed by HRSA/MCHB through a contract with UCLA.

Screening

The timing of specimen collection and delivery to laboratories also varied. According to the NNSGRC 2000 National Newborn Screening Information Report, which included information from 28 programs at the time of this report, 74% of newborns were known to have been screened prior to 48 hours of age and 22% were screened after 48 hours. Twenty-two States reported that 2.7% of infants were screened prior to 12 hours of age, and 12.2% were screened between 12 to 24 hours of age. In several States as many as 30% to 40% of infants were screened between 12 and 24 hours of age. These timing issues may have direct implications for the predictive values of testing for some conditions.

Information about the timing of specimen delivery to laboratories was not readily available. The majority of programs rely on the United States Postal Service for specimen transport, with service varying from overnight delivery to up to a week in some areas. Most specimens arrive in the laboratories within 72 hours. However, in United States territories, such as Guam and States with relatively isolated and rural populations, delivery may take a week or more. It is suggested that specimens be transported by courier services that allow for receipt at the testing laboratories within 24 hours.

The timing of specimen collection and delivery is variably tracked. For diagnosed cases, programs generally record date of birth, date and time of specimen collection, date of receipt in the screening laboratory, date of laboratory report, and date of diagnosis. However, since establishing an etiologic diagnosis may be an iterative process that increasingly refines diagnosis, it can be difficult to define the time at which "diagnosis" is established. The date when initial diagnostic tests are ordered has been used as a substitute for date of diagnosis. Some programs monitor the date of initiation of treatment, but variations in the treatments for different conditions and the tendency to institute low-risk treatments in ambiguous, nonclassical cases renders this less useful unless viewed in the context of individual diagnoses. Most newborn screening programs presently operate on a 5-day work week. Some conditions can be life-threatening (e.g., MSUD, CAH, GALT, organic acidurias, fatty acid oxidation disorders, urea cycle disorders) within a few days after birth, so it is desirable to initiate specimen processing within 24 hours of specimen receipt in the laboratory, with a 5-day turnaround time between birth and the availability of the test results. However, it should be emphasized that detection of disease in the presymptomatic phase is one of the basic principles and values of screening.

The handling of screen-positive cases also was evaluated. Essentially, all newborn screening laboratories utilize a follow-up coordinator for reporting and tracking screen-positive results. For the most part, a positive result is reported only after the laboratory has verified the original finding through a second analysis of the original specimen. However, for some of the most time-sensitive conditions characterized by short-

term mortality and morbidity risks (e.g., CAH, galactosemia, isovaleric acidemia, MCAD, maple syrup disease, and some of the other metabolic diseases), preliminary positive results may be reported prior to repeat testing. These results are generally reported by telephone to the health professional identified by the newborn screening submittal form or by the birthing facility and/or the newborn screening consultant. The expert group recommends standardization of reporting procedures, including: the result, the reference range, the nature of the abnormality, and an indication of the speed and progression of clinical symptoms in the absence of intervention.

Screen-negative cases are often handled quite differently from the screen-positive cases. Some programs group normal results for batch reporting, waiting until all assays have been completed. Among the more significant potential problems identified in reporting of results is the risk of interpreting screening results as equivalent to diagnostic testing results. Screening results that are in the normal range may not have the same negative predictive value as is the case for diagnostic specimens obtained due to symptoms.³⁹ Additionally, it is increasingly apparent that age (developmental, chronological) and condition (acute affected, feeding status, transfusion status) of the newborn when the specimen was collected can affect the test results and their interpretation.⁴⁰

Further, the use of general terms such as "amino acids normal" or "acylcarnitines normal" in reporting of screen-negative results is an issue. The general lack of knowledge among clinicians of newborn screening programs and the screened conditions makes these types of results not useful. On the other hand, clinicians may not want to take the time to read through long, detailed, normal reports. A report indicating all that was normal in an MS/MS screening profile could require considerable information to reflect the varying degree to which different conditions had been ruled out. At the same time, it can be argued that detailed reports are necessary. For example, if an infant moves from one State to another that has a different screening panel, the results may be misinterpreted if they refer to a general group of tests rather than being delineated by condition.

The fact that two categories of screening tests and result reporting are proposed also complicates this issue. States vary in which primary-target conditions they choose to detect and the technology they use to detect them. In addition, there is variability in the testing strategies (e.g., use of second tier testing) and the cutoffs the program chooses to define cases. Diagnosis and Follow-up continues to consider these reporting issues.

Most programs report screened-negative results to the location identified on the newborn screening collection card, which in many cases is the hospital of birth and not necessarily the infant's medical home. It has been observed in NNSGRC reviews of newborn screening programs that many hospitals do not routinely track the results and when the test results arrive at the hospitals, they are simply filed in the medical records without review. In addition, the tracking of newborn screening results to ensure that results are obtained on all screened newborns, while desirable, is not a uniform hospital practice. As screening expands for the pediatric population, the medical home should consider incorporating verification status of newborn screening results and keep such records easily accessible in a manner similar to those used for posting immunization status to medical records. Recent efforts by HRSA/ MCHB to support the development of integrated and linked information systems that include newborn screening information for health care providers' direct access is an important development that may improve communication of screening results to the medical home and other appropriate health care facilities for the newborn. Additionally, national standards for the reporting of newborn screening results should be considered (similar to ACMG guidelines for prenatal DNA and other test report guidelines).

The use of second- or third-tier testing also was addressed in the work group's assessments. This practice is fairly common in newborn screening laboratories. Almost all States use a second-tier test for CH, either T4 or TSH depending on which was used in the initial screen. These second-tier tests are commonly done on the original bloodspot sample and are distinguished from repeat testing, which involves repeating the same test on the original specimen, or second tests that require a fresh sample. Some programs use a second-tier fluorometric test following an initial bacterial inhibition assay for PKU. DNA testing as a second-tier test to detect high-frequency mutations is done in some programs for CF, hemoglobinopathies, MCAD, LCHAD and galactosemia, and some are considering second-tier testing by MS/MS for CAH. With expanded newborn screening (including hearing loss screening) identifying as many as 1:250 newborns who will require diagnostic confirmation (B. Therrell, personal communication), the need to assess the capacity of the follow-up system is apparent.

Procedures for repeat testing in the newborn screening laboratory on the original bloodspot also were assessed. Essentially all newborn screening testing laboratories employ a QA step of retesting the original spot to confirm preliminary positive results. Some laboratories use a different method on second tests as a QA check. Retesting original bloodspots is distinguished from second-tier testing using a different test, and also from repeat screening, which uses a new specimen on which confirmatory testing is done. Routine repeat screening of all newborns is required in eight States, and several others strongly suggest second screening. There are specific circumstances (e.g., unsatisfactory specimens, acutely ill newborns in the NICU) under which repeat screening is commonly required. Because of the possibility of biologic false-positives, 29 States recommend/require a second specimen if tested prior to 24 hours of age and seven States require a second specimen if the newborn is tested before 48 hours of age. False-positives for CH and CAH are common in premature infants but can be dealt with through retesting when the infants are a few days older and their endocrine systems are more mature. Improved testing specificity on the initial specimen also can be achieved by using a nomogram more specific to the gestational age of the infant. False-negatives are the greater concern, since they may

not be recognized easily. Programs that mandate a second test for CH report finding 5% to 15% of their total caseload through the second test, but these cases have not been studied. This number is reduced by about 50% when TSH is used as the initial screening analyte. Over half of the cases of the classical simple virilizing form of CAH may go undetected on an initial screen due to biological factors.

Reporting and Follow-up

Follow-up is the term commonly used to describe the process of reporting abnormal screening results to the medical home, specialist, and/or guardians/parents and the initiation and tracking of the next steps in evaluation. Follow-up can be divided into two categories, short- and long-term follow-up. Short-term follow-up includes those activities that ensure all infants are screened, abnormal results are appropriately and expediently handled, and affected infants are promptly identified, appropriately referred, and treatment initiated where applicable. Long-term follow-up extends the period of follow-up substantially to monitor continuously the medical management and care coordination of those affected who require such services. Long-term follow-up also allows assessment of efficacy, sustainability, and safety of early treatment intervention, and can uncover new disease/treatment outcomes, and is valuable for demonstrating utility or limitations of screening.

Newborn dried bloodspot screening follow-up generally has functioned independently of newborn hearing screening follow-up, although many aspects of the follow-up procedures are similar and sometimes duplicative in terms of effort. Programs should minimize the number of places to which health care professionals must go to get information about their patients. Advances in information technology would allow direct and immediate access to screening test results, benefiting infants, health care professionals and screening programs. The experience of the newborn dried bloodspot programs could inform the hearing screening programs that have significant loss to follow-up of patients.

There is also some variation in how programs follow-up unsatisfactory specimens. Some State laws and program regulations place the responsibility for a satisfactory specimen on the specimen submitter. In such cases, the program tends not to pursue unsatisfactory specimens, electing to let the submitter perform its responsibility to the program. It is not clear that such practices had any impact on the liability issues that seem to have been the reason for such program practices to have arisen. In other cases, programs exercise their follow-up responsibilities in much the same way as they handle screenpositive cases. CLIA regulations require that a testing laboratory show that it has a procedure for improving specimen submissions in instances where there is unsatisfactory performance on the part of the specimen submitter.

Inadequate demographic information (e.g., patient's name, weight or age at the time of collection) also may render a specimen unsatisfactory. Most programs lack a strict enforcement policy regarding specimen rejection related to their rules governing certain demographic information. Often the initial responsibility for determining the acceptability of the specimen's demographic information falls to the clerical personnel performing the check-in process.

In order to improve the overall quality of specimens provided to newborn screening laboratories, the best approach is to minimize the number of unsatisfactory specimens and to ensure that an appropriate submitter education program is in place. It is best to have a designated person responsible for monitoring the quality of infant demographic information and for ensuring that accurate and complete information is part of a total quality management approach to laboratory operations. Compliance with requests for specimen demographic information must be monitored and action must be taken regarding noncompliance.

Most large States use computerized follow-up systems. Because these systems can be adapted to automated error surveillance, programs are encouraged to pursue routine quality checks using their computer systems. In the few States with computer generated submitter profiles, the profiles are used to improve the quality of specimens and information submission by, for example, monitoring periodic error rate reports. Those using computerized reporting and tracking systems have reported improvements on the part of submitters when profiling reports are used and submitters receive feedback from the reports.

In the event of a screen-positive result, most programs rely on information submitted with the newborn screening specimen to identify the newborn's physician or medical home. However, many newborns lack an identified child health professional at the time of release from the hospital. Often, the demographic information submitted with the specimen lists the nursery physician or on-call physician as the physician of record. Although identifying the appropriate child health professional may be a challenge, most newborn screening programs attempt to meet this challenge. Contact with the subspecialists is usually easier, since the group is smaller and is usually more intimately involved with the newborn screening program. In the interest of further closing the gaps in the system, it would be useful if hospitals were able to ensure that a follow-up appointment has been made for all newborns prior to their hospital discharge. At a minimum, the hospital nursery staff should work with families to identify the infants' medical homes and ensure that contact information for all infants is up to date.

Once the screen-positive case has been referred into the health care system, most programs have follow-up protocols that include tracking the patient until treatment has been initiated. Some programs subcontract this responsibility to regional medical centers and do not actively pursue this information, having transferred the responsibility for this in their contracts. However, this practice may complicate ready access to short- and long-term information that would be useful for program evaluation. Some States are developing systems that allow information integration and program linkage to improve tracking of screening results and patient outcomes. For example, some use bar codes that link newborn screening filter paper cards with birth certificates, and others have considered

including the newborn screening information on the face page of the medical record where vaccination information is placed to facilitate monitoring. In any case, a plan should be in place for exhaustive and documented confirmation of follow-up. Follow-up coordinators should link repeat specimens to initial specimen records, and all programs should obtain short- and long-term follow-up information.

A variety of methods of screen-positive results notification have evolved within newborn screening. In most programs, once the follow-up coordinator has provided results to the child health professional, the child health professional or a member of his or her staff informs the family of the screening results. Some programs notify both the child health professional and the family. Education is an important aspect of the notification of parents and health care professionals. Some States have developed culturally and linguistically appropriate educational materials for families but there is limited availability of similar materials for child health professionals and specialists.

Once the family is informed of the test results, the child health professional determines the need for and extent of subspecialty involvement, unless the program's follow-up is conducted directly through subspecialists. Not all conditions have similar demands for the timeliness or complexity of follow-up. The availability of informational materials for child health professionals that would facilitate their ability to participate actively in a collaborative management approach to their patients' care would be useful. Such information could include immediate management issues and relevant subspecialist referral sites. The work group on Diagnosis and Follow-up developed templates for such informational materials that have been pilot tested at limited sites. They are the basis of ongoing work developing templates for all conditions in the core panels, as well as those in the secondary target category. (Examples of these templates can be found in Appendix 3.) Although guidelines for immediate management could be readily developed, there is little standardization of parameters by which one would qualify an experienced subspecialty provider. Further, some parts of the country may have limited availability of experienced pediatric and subspecialty care health care professionals. This is particularly apparent in the area of inborn errors of metabolism; there are currently 53% fewer board certified biochemical geneticists in the United States than were practicing in 1990 and a limited number of trainees. In such circumstances, an organized system to link child health professionals with specialty care professionals would be useful. This could be accomplished through the developing HRSA/MCHB Genetics and Newborn Screening Regional Collaboratives that are intended to make national and regional services and resources accessible at the local community level.

Once confirmation of diagnosis is available to the child health professional or subspecialist, it is common for this information to be communicated promptly to the State newborn screening program. It is important that all programs obtain confirmatory outcome reports in order to fulfill their public health mandate.

Diagnosis

There is a complex relationship between the definition of screen-positive test results and the definition of the genetic condition itself. Upon identifying a screen-positive infant, algorithms through which diagnostic confirmation is obtained are followed. Some steps may involve the screening laboratory as is the case with second-tier tests while others involve the clinical and laboratory evaluations that lead to the final diagnosis. It is only after significant testing in a general population that the full breadth of the phenotype of the genetic condition in question is well understood. Hence, it becomes important to maintain communication between the health care professionals and the screening programs related to the false-positive and true-positive results. It will also be important to reconsider what constitutes a false positive result since a particular screening result may be associated with either a core condition panel or a secondary target condition. Further, it is important to develop mechanisms through which programs can be made aware of patients identified outside of the program in order to adjust program parameters to avoid "missed" cases. Finally, given that genetic tests can provide information about affected individuals and carriers, clear policies should be in place about communicating such information.

Management

Many programs do not have educational materials to facilitate and optimize patient care once a patient is diagnosed. Such information is commonly in the purview of the experts who develop guidelines for treatment. Information dissemination practices that facilitate collaborative management between the child health professionals and specialists would be useful.

Over the longer term of intervention and treatment there is usually insufficient information shared between health care professionals and the programs, and contact beyond the initial treatment phase is rare. This gap might only be filled through the development of information collection systems that facilitate the integration of program information with other health care information.

The availability of and access to therapeutic interventions varies among the States. Some States provide funding for medical foods⁺¹ either completely or on a sliding scale based on income. Costs not covered by insurance may be covered through Title V funds and Medicaid. However, they are less likely to fund genetic counseling, penicillin for sickle cell disease, or thyroid hormone replacement therapy.

A definition of the range of health care professionals considered necessary for managing a particular condition is limited. Medical and nonmedical services are generally defined by the health care professionals to whom the infants have been referred. However, because almost all programs provide no funding for health outcome evaluation, few long-term studies exist. Beyond one to three years of age, there is little coordinated or systematic monitoring by the programs.
Program Management

Programs use a mix of models for management and development of their newborn screening activities. Many States have external advisory committees, although some rely only on internal advisory groups, which may not include consumers and experts for conditions considered by the programs.

B. Program evaluation

Several of the goals of this project are aimed at standardizing language and identifying the data or information needed to evaluate newborn screening program performance. Historically, newborn screening programs have been evaluated only internally, with the exception of the screening laboratory, which generally must meet CLIA requirements even though some of the analytes may not be specifically covered. Since 1987, HRSA/MCHB has made available to the States consultative program reviews by a team composed of experts in various aspects of newborn screening activities, and this has been continued as a responsibility of the NNSGRC. Besides providing annual State data specific to the Title V Block Grant performance measure, programs voluntarily report their program performance data to the NNSGRC for compilation and publication as an annual newborn screening data report. These reports are available at the NNSGRC website and can be used for inter- and intraprogram comparison (See www.genes-rus.uthscsa.edu). Uniform performance measures, however, could enable better and more standardized comparative assessment of newborn screening programs. Performance standards should be related to the needs of those with the specific conditions identified. Uniformity of language and standardization of performance measures will allow programs to move from independent evaluation to a comparative system targeted at high quality and efficiency.

Program Standards

A fundamental goal of newborn screening is benefit to the newborn by identifying a treatable condition. Variability exists among the conditions in the core panel regarding the speed with which they must be treated in order to minimize or eliminate the negative consequences of the condition. In newborn screening programs, speed of screening and reporting results is sometimes driven by the conditions that have the most demanding time needs. For example, an elevated 17-hydroxyprogesterone indicates a high likelihood that classical CAH is present and should therefore be pursued promptly, since in some instances death can occur from salt wasting within the first two weeks of life. Similarly, an elevated C8 acylcarnitine indicates a high likelihood that MCAD is present and should therefore be pursued promptly, since in some instances death can occur within the first two weeks of life. This contrasts with the finding of hearing loss, for which the interventions can be delayed for two to three months without significantly affecting speech development. The importance of education of families and the medical home about timing and the consequences of later notifications is apparent.

Appendix 4 lists specific steps in the newborn screening program process that should be monitored. Program performance can be improved by integrating data monitoring into policies and procedures and then modifying programs as problems are identified. Furthermore, development of a uniform approach to data collection and program evaluation allows for the comparison of program performance among States.

National Programs of QA

On a national basis, there is no comprehensive QA program for newborn screening aside from that provided for screening laboratories by CDC (see Fig. 10). CDC offers a proficiency testing and quality assurance program specifically for newborn screening laboratories—the Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program. The newborn screening laboratories are regulated under CLIA of 1988. FDA provides additional oversight of manufacturers who provide testing products to newborn screening laboratories, and CDC provides a service that validates the filter paper bloodspot collection devices. The NNS-GRC, funded by HRSA/MCHB, provides consultative program reviews that include all aspects of the newborn screening system (upon the official invitation of individual State newborn screening programs), and collects and assimilates national newborn screening data.

The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospital Organizations (JCAHO) plays a role in the oversight of activities within hospitals. For several reasons, JCAHO's activities have not been specifically directed toward the hospital's role in newborn screening. Even though birth hospitals collect the vast majority of screening specimens, record demographic information, and receive newborn screening test results, hospitals have not traditionally been held accountable to JCAHO for newborn screening activities per se. Historically, hospital responsibilities for tracking newborn screening testing results have been varied, particularly since the newborns are usually not in the hospital when the screening results are completed and returned. Most State screening regulations are silent on hospitals' responsibilities, though some include specific requirements, and hospitals and administrators can in some States be held liable if newborn screening practices are improperly performed. Oversight of newborn screening has been complicated by the fact that the oversight of clinical activities is limited compared to the regulation of laboratories, which includes maintaining records of specimen submission and result reporting. In many hospitals, newborn screening specimens are collected and submitted to the screening laboratory directly from the newborn nursery, bypassing some areas of this laboratory oversight. Hospitals appear to assume greater responsibility for screening conducted within the nursery, for example, screening for hearing loss. In such circumstances, hospitals have a clear responsibility to make patients aware of any critical laboratory information stemming from their hospital stay. However, since hearing screening results are immediately available, the task of initiating notification and arranging for next steps in evaluation is simplified.

Genetics IN Medicine

Discussions are ongoing regarding the possibilities of improving the ways in which hospitals provide information to newborn screening programs to ensure that adequate information is available in a timely manner for recontacting families or health care professionals and establishing follow-up while still maintaining appropriate privacy of the patient's medical information.² At the level of diagnosis and follow-up, there are several programs that have worked toward ensuring quality. Some organizations, such as CORN, AAP, ACMG, and the Society for Inherited Metabolic Disorders (SIMD), have been involved in the development of practice guidelines for the diagnosis, treatment, and management of many of these conditions. In addition, there are programs with "deemed" status through CLIA that offer proficiency testing and inspections of the laboratories providing diagnostic services for the conditions included in newborn screening programs. However, at the present time most analytes that are screened are not included in this program, although their addition is under active discussion.

Some programs have developed internal QA programs that variably address the components of the newborn screening system. While all States tabulate the number of tests done, many cannot relate tests to birthing records in order to ascertain the percentage of newborns screened. On the other hand, programs routinely track time from birth to diagnosis and treatment, and the numbers of newborns lost to follow-up, which are extremely important aspects of the screening system. Most programs maintain records of unsatisfactory specimens but they vary in follow-up actions and educational programs to improve specimen quality. In this respect there is perhaps a role for the federal government in providing some form of national program oversight. Furthermore, there are very different forms of oversight for laboratory services than for clinical services. In order to continue to improve the quality of newborn screening programs, several actions should be taken:

- 1. There should be uniformity in the types of data collected (see Appendix 4) by programs in order to compare program performance among States. In addition, reporting to a central authority should be required.
- 2. Periodic performance reviews of all components of newborn screening programs should be required. This should be a federal responsibility.
- 3. Language and terminology should be standardized in order to better compare performance among programs.
- 4. Turnaround time in reporting screen-negative results should be improved.
 - a. At a minimum, all results from the initial screening test (some States perform a second test later) should be available less than five days after the blood sampling for the first posthospital discharge visit to be of use in this clinical visit and to facilitate awareness of lifelong screening. Most results should be available within two days of the specimen arriving in the laboratory, and specimens should arrive in the laboratories within three days of collection.

- 5. Diagnostic laboratory QA programs should be enhanced to include all conditions screened in newborns.
- 6. Organized systems to allow for the collection and analysis of data about patients are important in defining the standards to be met and improving our understanding of these typically very rare conditions. Data from populationbased screening are the optimal source of unbiased information about conditions and required reporting should be instituted.
- 7. Hospitals and JCAHO have significant roles to play, and standards need to be developed to improve quality, minimize errors, and facilitate tracking of newborns requiring active participation in testing follow-up.
- 8. All newborn screening laboratories should be CLIA-certified and should participate in CDC and CAP/ACMG proficiency testing programs or other equivalent programs as applicable.
- 9. All States should have an active system-wide newborn screening QA and total quality management program.
- 10. To bring uniformity to programs across the country and thereby create a more equitable system for all Americans, national oversight and authority must be provided with adequate resources. Consideration should be given to institutionalizing the role of the HRSA-funded NNSGRC, which currently offers on-site expert consultative reviews to the State newborn screening programs.

C. Cost-effectiveness analysis

This project focused primarily on a scientific analysis of conditions and the features that should be considered when deciding whether they should be included in a newborn screening program. However, costs often are the basis on which such decisions are made. Review of the few available cost-effectiveness studies of newborn screening suggests that often, they may be too limited in scope. Some studies have focused on the short-term costs and benefits of the screening stage and the immediate steps following the identification of a screen-positive infant. Most address tests for only a small number of disorders, and none has explored the cost savings and clinical benefits of tests such as MS/MS.^{41–46}

A basic cost-effectiveness analysis was conducted to better inform our decisions. Costs and benefits related to screening for particular conditions or groups of conditions were evaluated after mapping them over major disease outcomes (e.g., life expectancy, cerebral palsy/stroke, seizures, developmental delay, hearing loss, vision loss). Costs were obtained from the literature.^{2,42,43,47–51} Benefits were determined from expected outcomes with and without early treatment or intervention. Quality-adjusted-life years (QALYs) were then compared to costs. Where appropriate, tests capable of being multiplexed with other tests for different conditions were assessed independently and as a group. Results were found to be stable by sensitivity analysis.

The results of these analyses indicate that all newborn screening programs evaluated improved outcomes and most reduce overall costs (Carroll and Downs, in press). Screening

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

for CAH added increased cost per QALY gained, but the cost was well within the range conventionally considered cost effective. Screening for galactosemia was the only strategy that would be considered not cost effective in the base case analysis. However, under some reasonable assumptions, it can be shown to be cost effective. The identification of potentially affected individuals at such an early time in life leads to many years over which the benefits accrue and, in aggregate, the benefits outweigh the costs.

Technologies such as MS/MS further save money due to their multiplexing capability and low screening false-positive rates. MS/MS, used to screen for multiple conditions, had the greatest impact on outcomes and saved the greatest amount of money in the analysis. Virtually all screening for conditions that are treatable with significantly beneficial outcomes can be justified with benefits increasing as more conditions are included. The analysis also showed that clinical benefits and savings depend on low false positive rates and timely follow-up and treatment of positives, emphasizing the importance of an integrated screening and follow-up program.^{41–45,52}

D. Information gaps and a research agenda

Data and Analytical Needs

Screening

The evidence base for disorders potentially amenable to screening is limited and the questions that must be answered to inform our decisions about the future of our newborn screening programs are numerous and the issues complex. There are cutting edge new technologies emerging that can have a significant impact on screening programs. However, tech assessments have limited capacity to identify issues about promising technologies early in their development (e.g., is there sufficient capacity in the system to test the 4.1 million United States newborns? Are the tests adequately validated?). This raises important questions about how to implement new technologies for screening. Historically, as new technology is validated on a known cohort, it is then applied to a prospective screening cohort in a linked or unlinked (e.g., HIV screening) method, with or without reporting, and with or without randomization (e.g., CF). Many State newborn screening programs have awaited data from other State pilot or trial programs before investing in the costs of incorporating new technologies into testing and follow-up protocols. The potential for screening beyond the first few days of life is increasing. Determining how best to link existing public health activities (such as immunization) that occur at specific clinical points later in life offers opportunities to screen for additional conditions that are less amenable to screening in the first 24 to 48 hours of life. Information technology has opened up opportunities to improve the systems that support the medical home's integrated role in newborn screening and there is always the opportunity to improve informatics and communications and their integration into public health information systems and registries.

There is an ongoing and growing need to articulate a research agenda for the many conditions that are already part of newborn screening. For example, the impact on the optimal timing of screening of newborns in the neonatal intensive care unit that have received hyperalimentation or packed cell transfusions remains unclear.

Follow-Up

Many questions remain about the impact of screening for a larger number of rare disorders, as well as what the true significance is of a "false-positive" or "transiently abnormal" screening test.⁵³ These may require costly, long-term evaluation projects in order to obtain the statistical power needed to better understand these issues in rare diseases. Again, we may need a broader national approach to data collection and analysis.

Diagnosis

Considerable research potential exists in the area of diagnosis of these rare diseases. The preferred approaches and methods of diagnosis and confirmation of presumptive diagnoses remain to be determined and our understanding of the natural history of the conditions and the associated genotype-phenotype correlations can only improve. There are many questions to be answered for each of the conditions for which screening is currently offered. For instance, there is still little information available about the outcomes of infants identified in G6PD screening programs. The interrelated roles of genetic risk factors and the environmental exposures that trigger disease expression are areas where large collaborative research projects will be needed. The use of the National Children's Study as a component of newborn screening research offers a number of opportunities. Similarly, we need to understand the issues and barriers that lead to the lack of hearing screening follow-up to determine etiology.

Management

The emerging area of collaborative disease management offers many opportunities to improve our newborn screening programs. The nature of our health care system is such that the bridges between child health professionals and specialists must be strengthened. Issues of interest include: 1) how best to partner with the medical home; 2) how to facilitate the transition to adult care (childhood cancer survivorship model); and 3) what are the expected outcomes for the adults with these now chronic diseases. It is also likely that situations similar to that of maternal PKU will arise with other metabolic diseases, such as 3-MCC, or the endocrinopathies, such as CH. Long-term outcomes research will require organized systems of data collection and monitoring. There are also gaps in our understanding of treatment issues for many conditions (e.g., nonclassical CAH). We also need to elucidate the long-term behavioral and educational issues associated with children with conditions detected by newborn screening.

Evaluation

Program evaluation can also benefit from organized collaborative research programs. The creation of registries for longterm outcomes research and for system validation offers a clear pathway to improvement of the programs.

Health Systems And Outcomes Research

Our health care system continues to evolve in parallel with the evolution of the newborn screening programs. The increasing diversity of the United States population necessitates that health disparities research as relates to diagnosis, management, and long-term follow-up of patients identified in newborn screening be enhanced.

Education

The trend toward more direct consumer involvement in health care decisions and prevention indicates the need for enhanced educational programs for the public. Further, the rarity and complexity of the many conditions already screened suggests a need for improved educational programs for the professionals. Opportunities remain to improve our understanding of the primary communication and education needs related to a screen-positive result in newborn screening. Similarly, many questions remain about the issue of appropriate decision-making relative to newborn screening. There is a need to understand the issues that arise in the delivery of prenatal education and determine the best models for such education while still working to broaden overall genetics public education. There is also a need to improve our understanding of how attention to cultural diversity and literacy could contribute to effective newborn screening programs. In order to better understand the limitations of and impediments to education, best practices models related to who provides services (e.g., birth educators, obstetrician gynecologists, subspecialists) need to be identified and there is need to understand how they can be provided outside the delivery room or nursery, and when they are best provided. The role for cross-specialty education and continuing medical education for health care professionals is also an area that would benefit from study. Last, there is considerable opportunity for research into the ethical, legal, and social issues that arise with expanded newborn screening and newborn screening in general.

Health Systems As Related To Newborn Screening

A better understanding of the organization and functioning of our newborn screening related health care systems would also benefit the continued development of programs. In particular, studies of systems of care that would offer the highest quality delivery of newborn screening services would improve the programs.

Other

There are numerous ancillary issues that relate to improving newborn screening outcomes. These include: 1) expanding screening opportunities prenatally and after birth when timing of testing, identification, and intervention offer additional value for health outcomes in the pediatric population; 2) ongoing research efforts to identify better and new screening and intervention strategies for rare and common disorders; and 3) continued research into outcomes of transiently abnormal screens to determine if such test results have predictive value for later diseases as well as to measure the psychosocial impact of such results (e.g., costs of vulnerable child issues). Some of the diseases for which postnatal newborn screening is recommended may be additionally benefited by prenatal detection; however, prenatal screening is not presently universally available. We may gain a better understanding of the incidence and spectrum of diseases associated with perinatal and early childhood mortality by implementing uniform child autopsy policies and procedures which ensure availability of appropriate studies (including metabolic and genetic studies for all perinatal deaths, including stillbirths) and early unexpected childhood deaths.

E. Future needs

Hopefully all screening programs can benefit from a more robust national role and increased national standards and policies for newborn screening. Because so many of the conditions screened in newborns, or under consideration for screening, are rare, most States that undertake evaluations of the scientific basis for screening of conditions must rely on the same relatively small group of patients identified throughout the world. There is a potential national role in providing scientific evaluation of conditions and defining core condition panels. This would allow the States to apply the best science to their own considerations when determining their role in expanded screening. Practice guidelines also could be developed at a national level by interested organizations. There is also a potential expanded national role in oversight and enforcement, data collection, program evaluation, and the development of educational materials to support newborn screening.

Depending on the overall incidence of particular conditions, regional cooperatives should coordinate access to health care professionals, serve as coordinators and repositories for data collection, provide long-term follow-up capability when resources and expertise are limited, facilitate transition (and access) from pediatric to adult care, and provide education. The distribution of primary, secondary, and tertiary services is largely based on the incidence of a condition and the complexity of its short- and long-term diagnosis and management. For more common conditions with easier diagnosis and follow-up, there is likely to be sufficient local health care expertise for patient care. As incidence decreases and complexity increases-particularly for rare metabolic diseases-services become more difficult to access. Developing resources and infrastructure to ensure that health care professionals with appropriate expertise are available locally, regionally, and nationally will be important to ensuring access to high-quality services.

States also must retain their significant roles and responsibilities. They have a clear authority with regard to oversight and evaluation, as well as enforcement. There is a need to integrate the various systems of health care coverage and payment through flexible and comprehensive financing of services. Service coordination at both State and local levels must be considered, as well as program integration with the State Children's Health Insurance Plan, early intervention programs, Title V programs, Medicaid, and similar services.

In considering the national role in newborn screening, it is apparent that there are already significant barriers to the creation of a model newborn screening system in the United States. For example:

1. Financing across State and county lines is constrained by Medicaid rules;

- 2. Service delivery is fragmented on a disease basis;
- 3. There is lack of universal access and ability to access the medical home;
- 4. There is insufficient support to bridge geographic barriers;
- It is difficult to identify experienced health care professionals for complex care (e.g., centers of excellence for genital reconstructive surgery for CAH; confirmation of metabolic diagnoses);
- 6. Misinterpretation of privacy regulations (e.g., HIPAA) (see Appendix 5 for discussion and clarification of HIPAA related issues in the context of a public health program);
- 7. There is underutilization and lack of uniformity of information technology;
- 8. Collaborative management and care is constrained by systems of reimbursement;
- 9. There is variability in State mandates;
- 10. State sovereignty sometimes dictates individual approaches; and
- 11. There is variability in financing of screening programs.

F. Summary

In order for expanded newborn screening to be implemented universally, a well operating and standardized newborn screening system must be in place. At the present time there is significant variability among the State programs with regard to policies and practices employed after screening and in initial notification of health care professionals. The expert group evaluated the components of the system and their associated functions with a primary focus on the parts of the system that interface specialty care professionals with either the newborn screening program or the child health professionals.

A basic cost effectiveness study of newborn screening was conducted. The results of this analysis demonstrated that newborn screening is cost effective when compared to other recommended medical expenditures. This supports the recommendations made in Section One of this report regarding the need to expand the breadth of conditions that should be included in core screening panels and the secondary target category.

The scientific analyses and systems evaluations also identified gaps in our knowledge base and pointed to areas in which research is needed. The expert group recommends that:

- Programs continue to improve the components of the system beyond the initial screening, communication of those results, and ensuring that the newborn enters into short-term follow-up. To accomplish this:
- reporting procedures should be standardized
- reports of confirmatory results should be obtained
- There should be improved oversight (e.g., JCAHO) of the hospital-based screening activities to improve tracking of screen-positive cases;
- There should be more uniformity in the language and definition of the performance standards (e.g., repeat test, second test) monitored and reported by programs;

- The QA programs involving the diagnostic and follow-up system should be enhanced;
- National oversight and authority with appropriate resources should be provided; and
- Systems should be in place for collection of data about individuals identified as screen-positive in newborn screening programs.

References

- National Research Council, Committee for the Study of Inborn Errors of Metabolism; Genetic screening: programs, principles, and research. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, 1975.
- U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, Healthy Children: Investing in the Future, Appendix 1: Data and Methods Used in OTA'S Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Strategies for Newborn Screening, 1988. Available at: http://www.wws.princeton.edu/cgi-bin/byteserv.prl/ota/disk2/1988/8819/881919.PDF Accessed April 7, 2006.
- McCabe ERB. Principle of newborn screening for metabolic disease. Perinatol Neonatol 1982;6:63–73.
- Therrell BL, Panny SR, Davidson A, Eckman J, et al. U.S. newborn screening system guidelines: statement of the Council of Regional Networks for Genetic Services (CORN). *Screening* 1992;1:135–147.
- Therrell BL, Berenbaum SA, Manter-Kapanke V, Simmank J, Korman et al. Results of screening 1.9 million Texas newborns for 21-hydroxylase-deficient congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *Pediatrics* 1998;101:583–590.
- Pass KA, Lane PA, Fernhoff PM, Hinton CF, et al. US newborn screening guidelines II: follow-up of children, diagnosis, management, and evaluation. Statement of the Council of Regional Networks for Genetic Services (CORN). *J Pediatr* 2000;137:S1– S46.
- Dhondt JL, Farriaux JP, Sailly JC, Lebrun T. Economic evaluation of cost-benefit ratio of neonatal screening procedure for phenylketonuria and hypothyroidism. *J Inher Metab Dis* 1991;14:633–639.
- Tsevat J, Wong JB, Pauker SG, Steinberg MH. Neonatal screening for sickle cell disease: a cost-effectiveness analysis. J Pediatr 1991;118:546–554.
- Wright L, Brown A, Davidson-Mundt A. Newborn screening: the miracle and the challenge. J Pediatr Nursing 1992;7:26–42.
- Pollitt RJ, Green A, McCabe CJ, Booth A, et al. Neonatal screening for inborn errors of metabolism: cost, yield and outcome. *Health Technol Assess* 1997;1:1–202. Review.
- Guthrie R. Screening for "inborn errors of metabolism" in the newborn infant A Multiple test program. *Birth Defects* 1968;4:92–98.
- 12. Guthrie R, Susi A. A simple phenylalanine method for detecting phenylketonuria in large populations of newborn infants. *Pediatrics* 1963;32:338–343.
- Academy of Pediatrics. Serving the family from birth to the medical home: A report from the Newborn Screening Task Force convened in Washington DC, May 10-11, 1999. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:383–427.
- Wilson JM, Jungner YG. Principles and practice of screening for disease. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1968.
- Levy HL. Newborn screening by tandem mass spectrometry: a new era. Clin Chem 1998;44:2401–2402.
- Bailey DB. Newborn screening for fragile X syndrome. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 2004;10:3–10.
- 17. Weatherall DJ, Clegg JB. The thallasemia syndromes 4th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science; 2000.
- Chace DH, Kalas TA, Naylor EW. Use of tandem mass spectrometry for multianalyte screening of dried blood specimens from newborns. *Clin Chem* 2003;49:1797– 1817.
- Meredith-Malloy N. Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program: 2002 Tandem Mass Spectrometry Annual Summary Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2003.
- Rinaldo P, Tortorelli S, Matern M. Recent developments and new applications of tandem mass spectrometry in newborn screening. *Curr Opini Pediatr* 2004;16:427– 433.
- Marsden D, Larson CA. Emerging role for tandem mass spectrometry in detecting congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *Clin Chem* 2004;50:467–468.
- Willi SM, Moshang T. Diagnostic dilemmas. Results of screening tests for congenital hypothyroidism. *Pediatr Clini North Am* 1991;38:555–566.

- Lacey JM, Minutti CZ, Magera MJ, Tauscher AL, et al. Improved specificity of newborn screening for congenital adrenal hyperplasia by second-tier steroid profiling using tandem mass spectrometry. *Clin Chem* 2004;50:621–625.
- Ranieri E, Lewis B, Morris CP, et al. Neonatal screening using combined biochemical and DNA-based techniques. In: Dodge JA, Brock DJH, Widdicomb JH, editors. Cystic Fibrosis: Current Topics. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, 1996:181–206.
- Blau N, Thony B, Cotton RGH, Hyland K. Disorders of tetrahydrobiopterin and related biogenic aminesIn: Scriver CR etal, editors. The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease, 8 ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2001:1725–1776.
- Report of a WHO Scientific Group. Screening for inborn errors of metabolism. World Health Organization Tech Report Series No. 401, 1968.
- Holtzman A, Watson MS. Task Force on Genetic Testing: Promoting Safe and Effective Genetic Testing in the United States. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1997.
- Howse J, Katz M. The importance of newborn screening. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:595.–
 Frankenburg WK. Selection of diseases and tests in pediatric screening. *Pediatrics*
- Frankenburg WK. Selection of diseases and tests in pediatric screening. *Pediatrics* 1974;54:612–616.
- Therrell BL. U.S. newborn screening policy dilemmas for the twenty-first century. Molec Genet Metab 2001;74:64–74.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Medical Home Initiatives for Children with Special Needs Project Advisory Committee. The medical home. *Pediatrics* 2002;110: 184–186.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Steering Committee on Quality Improvement and Management. Classifying recommendations for clinical practice guidelines. *Pediat*rics 2004;114:874–877.
- Genetic Evaluation of Hearing Loss Expert Panel. Genetics evaluation guidelines for the etiologic diagnosis of congenital hearing loss. *Genet Med* 2002;4:162–171.
- Young SS, Kharrazi M, Pearl M, Cunningham G. Cystic fibrosis screening in newborns: results from existing programs. *Curr Opin in Pulm Med* 2001;7:427–433.
- Merelle ME, Nagelkerke AF, Lees CM, Dezateux C. Newborn screening for cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;3:CD001402. Review.
- Council of Regional Networks for Genetic Services (CORN), Sickle Cell, Thalassemia and Other Hemoglobin Variants Subcommittee. Guidelines for the follow-up hemoglobinopathy carriers detected by newborn screening, 1995.
- Refsum H, Fredriksen A, Meyer K, Ueland PM, Kase BF. Birth prevalence of homocystinuria. J Pediatr 2004;144:830–832.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Management. Clinical Practice Guidelines 2004. Management of hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn infant 35 or more weeks gestation. *Pediatrics* 2004;114:297–316.

- Eaton RB, Comeau AM, Zytkovicz TH, Larson C. Newborn screening: new developments in a proven field. *Clin Lab Sci* 2002;15:239–44.
- Larson CA. Congenital Hypothyroidism. In: Pediatric Endocrinology: A Practical Clinical Guide. Radovick S, MacGillivray MH, eds., Totowa, NJ, Humana Press, 2003:275–290.
- Panepinto JA, Magid D, Rewers MJ, Lane PA. Universal versus targeted screening of infants for sickle cell disease: a cost-effectiveness analysis. J Pediatr 2000;136:201– 208.
- Insinga RP, Laessig RH, Hoffman GL. Newborn screening with tandem mass spectrometry: examining its cost-effectiveness in the Wisconsin Newborn Screening Panel. J Pediatr 2002;141:524–531.
- Keren R, Helfand M, Homer C, McPhillips H, Lieu TA. Projected cost-effectiveness of statewide universal newborn hearing screening. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:855–864.
- Schoen EJ, Baker JC, Colby CJ, To TT. Cost-benefit analysis of universal tandem mass spectrometry for newborn screening. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:781–786.
- Venditti LN, Venditti CP, Berry GT, Kaplan PB, et al. Newborn screening by tandem mass spectrometry for medium-chain Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency: a costeffectiveness analysis. *Pediatrics* 2003;112:1005–1015.
- Pandor A, Eastham J, Beverley C, Chilcott J, Paisley S. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of neonatal screening for inborn errors of metabolism using tandem mass spectrometry: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess* 2004;8:1–121.
- PriceWaterhouseCoopers. Newborn screening programs: an overview of cost and financing, (January 2001) Available at: http://www.marchofdimes.com/files/Final_ PWC_NBS_Report2.pdf. Accessed May 18, 2006.
- Washington State Department of Health. Least burden and cost benefit analysis of newborn screening for metabolic disorders, (2003) Available at: http://apps.leg. .wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=246-650. Accessed May 18, 2006.
- Angus DC, Barnato AE, Linde-Zwirble WT, Weissfeld LA, et al. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation ICU End-of- Life Peer Group. Use of intensive care at the end of life in the United States: an epidemiologic study. *Crit Care Med* 2004;32:638–643.
- 50. Economic costs associated with mental retardation, cerebral palsy, hearing loss, and vision impairment, United States, 2003. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2004;53 (3):57–59.
- Carroll AE, Downs SM. A comprehensive cost-utility analysis of newborn screening. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:287–295.
- 52. Keren R, Helfand M, Homer C, McPhillips H, Lieu TA. Projected cost-effectiveness of statewide universal newborn hearing screening. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:855–864.
- Fearing MK, Levy H. Expanded newborn screening using tandem mass spectrometry. Adv Pedatr 2003;50:81–111.

Respondents	R-75	9 <i>L</i> -7	R-77	87-78	62-A	В- 80	I8-Я	К-82	R-83	R-84	R-85	98-A	78-A	88-A	68-Я	В-90	nsəM	Median
Incidence	75	100	75	75	75	75	0	75	100	75	25	75	75	100	75	75	78	75
Phenotype at birth	75	100	75	100	100	75	100	100	100	100	75	100	100	100	100	75	16	100
Burden if untreated	100	75	100	75	50	100	75	100	- 100	75	100	75	75	100	100	75	78	75
Method (S&S)	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	- 200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	16	100
BS or Physical	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	84	75
Throughput	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	200	200
Cost	50.	50	50	50	- 50	0	50	50	0	50	0	50	50	50	50	0	66	100
Multiple markers	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	46	50
Secondary targets	0		50	50	0	50	50	50	50	0.	50	50	50	50	50	50	31	50
Multiplex platform	200	200	200	200	0	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	0	46	50
Treatment availability	50	50	100	100	100	100	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	37	50
Efficacy	200	50	200	200	200	200	50	200	100	200	200	100	200	200	200	100	156	200
Early intervention (IND)	100	100	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	100	94	100
Early intervention (F&S)	100	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	159	200
Mortality prevention	100	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	180	200
Diagnostic confirmation	50	50	100	100	50	100	0	100	50	100	50	100	50	100	100	100	94	100
Clinical management	50	0	100	100	100	100	50	100	100	100	100	100	50	100	100	100	66	100
Simplicity of therapy	50	50	200	200	200	200	50	200	100	100	50	200	200	200	100	200	71	100
																	Sum of Mean scores	Sum of Median scores
Total score (individual)	1600	1275	2050	2050	1725	2000	1475	2075	1800	1900	1750	1950	1950	2100	1975	1525	66/1	2050

Fig. 1. Raw data for MCAD deficiency (16 of 90 total respondents)

Heappondents Image																			
75 75 76 76 75 100 75 75 100 75 75 100 76	Respondents	R-105	B-106	R-107	R-108	601-A	B-110	К-111	R-112	К-113	R-114	R-115	B-116	R-117	R-118	611-A	R-120	Mean	Median
1 10 </td <td>Incidence</td> <td>75</td> <td>75</td> <td>75</td> <td>50</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>78</td> <td>75</td>	Incidence	75	75	75	50	75	100	75	75	100	75	75	100	75	75	100	100	78	75
1 100	Phenotype at birth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	75	100	100	16	100
200 200 <td>Burden if untreated</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>75</td> <td>100</td> <td>78</td> <td>75</td>	Burden if untreated	100	100	100	75	75	100	100	100	75	100	100	100	100	100	75	100	78	75
100 100 <td>Method (S&S)</td> <td>200</td> <td>16</td> <td>100</td>	Method (S&S)	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	16	100
50 50<	BS or Physical	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	84	75
50 50<	Throughput	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50		50	50	50	50	0	50	50	200	200
50 0 50 </td <td>Cost</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>a -</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>66</td> <td>100</td>	Cost	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	a -	50	50	0	50	0	50	50	66	100
50 0 50 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>~ 50</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>- T</td> <td>50</td> <td>. 50</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>.0</td> <td>50</td> <td>46</td> <td>.50</td>			~ 50	0	50	50	0	50	50	- T	50	. 50	50	50	0	.0	50	46	.50
200 0 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 30	Secondary targets		50	0		50	50	0	50		50	50	50	50	0	0	50	31	50
100 50 100 50 50 100 50 100	Multiplex platform		200	0	200	200	200	200	200		200	200	200	200	0	.0	200	46	50
200 200 200 100 <td>Treatment availability</td> <td>100</td> <td>50</td> <td>100</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>0</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>37</td> <td>50</td>	Treatment availability	100	50	100	50	50	100	100	0	50	0	50	100	100	0	100	100	37	50
200 200 <td>Efficacy</td> <td>200</td> <td>200</td> <td>200</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>200</td> <td>100</td> <td>200</td> <td>200</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>200</td> <td>156</td> <td>200</td>	Efficacy	200	200	200	100	100	100	100	100	200	100	200	200	100	100	100	200	156	200
1 100	Early intervention (IND)	200	200	200	200	0	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	94	100
100 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 </td <td>Early intervention (F&S)</td> <td>100</td> <td>159</td> <td>200</td>	Early intervention (F&S)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	159	200
100 100 50 100 94 100 100 50 50 100 50 50 100 50 100 94 200 50 100 100 0 50 100 200 100 0 90 71 200 50 100 100 100 0 50 100 100 00 90 71 1775 1775 1525 1525 1475 1375 1475 1725 2050 1705 1475 1725 1700 1475 1663	Mortality prevention	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	100	180	200
100 100 100 50 50 100 50 50 100 50 100 100 100 90 99 200 50 100 50 100 100 100 100 200 100 100 71 200 50 100 50 0 100 200 100 200 71 201 50 100 100 0 50 100 200 70 71 201 100 50 100 100 0 200 100 200 70 71 201 100 50 100 200 200 100 200 71 201 1755 1475 1375 1475 1725 2050 1475 160 1663	Diagnostic confirmation	100	100	50	100	100	100	100	50	100	50	50	100	100	50	100	100	94	100
200 50 100 50 100 100 100 200 700 70 71 715 175 1525 1450 1700 1725 1475 1375 1475 1725 2050 1700 1475 1375 1475 1725 2050 1700 1475 1663 1663	Clinical management	100	100	100	50	50	50	100	50	100	50	50	100	50	0	100	100	66	100
Sum of Mean 1775 1775 1525 1525 1450 1700 1725 1475 1375 1475 1725 2050 1725 1100 1475 2100 1663	Simplicity of therapy	200	50	100	50	100	100	100	0	50	0	100	200	100	0	100	200	71	100
1775 1775 1525 1525 1525 1450 1700 1725 1475 1375 1475 1725 2050 1725 1100 1475 2100																		Sum of Mean scores	Sum of Median scores
	Total score (individual)	1775	1775	1525	1525	1450	1700	1725	1475	1375	1475	1725	2050	1725	1100	1475	2100	1663	1775

Fig. 2. Raw data for PKU (16 of 120 total respondents)

55S



Fig. 3a Side-by-side comparison of MCAD and PKU for each of the criteria used

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



Fig. 3c. Side-by-side comparison of MCAD and PKU for each of the criteria used

Genetics IN Medicine



Fig. 3d. Side-by-side comparison of MCAD and PKU for each of the criteria used

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



Fig. 3e. Side-by-side comparison of MCAD and PKU for each of the criteria used

Genetics IN Medicine



Fig. 4. Final scores (sum of mean scores) for all conditions



Fig. 5. Survey scores sorted by testing platforms



Fig. 6 Scores by test availability (test/no test)



Fig. 7. Scores for all conditions distinguished by screening panel category



Fig. 8. Distribution of conditions into screening panel categories



Fig. 9. Survey scores sorted by testing platforms

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

National and State Quality Assurance and Oversight for Newborn Screening



Fig. 10. National state quality assurance and oversight for newborn screening program components

EVIDENCE LEVELS (1-4) CONDITION VALIDATED BY Condition Treatment Test Diagnosis **Endocrine Disorders** Congenital adrenal hyperplasia Maria I. New, MD 1 1 1 1 Cornell University New York, NY Phyllis Speiser, MD 3 3 3 1 New York Univ. Med Center Schneider Children's Hospital Long Island Jewish Health System New York, NY 1 Congenital hypothyroidism Phyllis Speiser, MD 1 1 1 New York Univ. Med Center Schneider Children's Hospital Long Island Jewish Health System New York, NY Marvin Mitchell, MD 1 1 1 1 New England Newborn Screening Program University of Massachusetts Medical School Jamaica Plain, MA Type 1 diabetes mellitus (IDDM) Marian Rewers, MD 1 1 1 University of Colorado School of Medicine Denver, CO William Tamborlane, MD 2 2 1 1 Yale University New Haven, CT 1 2 2 2 Charles Stanley, MD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA Carbohydrate Disorders Classic galactosemia (GALT deficiency) Louis B. Elsas, MD 4 4 4 4 University of Miami Miami, FL Gerard Berry, MD 3 2 1 3 Jefferson Medical College Philadelphia, PA Galactokinase deficiency Louis B. Elsas, MD 4 4 4 4 University of Miami Miami, FL Gerard Berry, MD Jefferson Medical College 4 2 2 4 Philadelphia, PA Louis B. Elsas, MD 4 Galactose epimerase deficiency 4 4 4 University of Miami Miami, FL Gerard Berry, MD 2 2 4 4 Jefferson Medical College Philadelphia, PA Congenital disorder of glycosylation type 1b Marc Patterson, MD, FRACP 4 4 4 4 Columbia University New York, NY Donna Krasnewich, MD, PhD 2 1 4 1 National Human Genome Research Institute Bethesda, MD Primary Immunodeficiencies Adenosine deaminase Deficiency Rebecca Buckley, MD 2 N/A 1 1 Duke University Medical Center Durham, NC Jennifer Puck, MD 2 N/A 2 2 National Human Genome Research Institute

APPENDIX 1: Newborn screening fact sheet validation

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Bethesda, MD

		F	EVIDENC	CE LEVELS (1-	4)
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Severe combined Immunodeficiency	Rebecca Buckley, MD Duke University Medical Center Durham, NC	1	N/A	1	1
	Jennifer Puck, MD National Human Genome Research Institute Bethesda, MD	1	N/A	1	1
Other Genetic and Non-Genetic Conditions					
α-1-antitrypsin deficiency	Diane Cox, PhD University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, Canada	1	1		
Biliary atresia	Deborah K. Freese, MD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	2	3	2	3
	Ronald J. Sokol, MD University of Colorado School of Medicine Denver, CO	2	3	3	3
Biotinidase deficiency	Barry Wolf, MD, PhD Connecticut Children's Medical Center Hartford, CT	2	2	2	2
	E. Regula Baumgartner, MD University Children's Hospital Basel, Switzerland	2	1	1	2
	Matthias Baumgartner, MD University Children's Hospital Zurich, Switzerland	2	1	1	2
Cystic fibrosis	Phillip Farrell, MD, PhD University of Wisconsin Madison, WI	1	1	2	3
	Garry R. Cutting, MD Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Baltimore, MD	1	3		2
Duchenne (DMD)/Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD)	Jon A. Wolff, MD University of Wisconsin Madison, WI	2	2	2	2
	R. Rodney Howell, MD University of Miami Miami, FL	1	2	2	1
Familial hypercholesterolemia (heterozygote)	Joseph P. McConnell, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	2	2	1	2
	David Wilcken, MD Prince of Wales Hospital Randwick, NSW, Australia	1	1	1	1
Fragile X syndrome	Stephen Warren, PhD Emory University Atlanta, GA	1	N/A	1	1
	W. Ted Brown, MD, PhD New York State Institute for Basic Research Staten Island, NY	2	2	2	3
Hearing Loss	Cynthia C. Morton, PhD Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	1	1	2	2
	Richard Smith, MD University of Iowa Medical School Iowa City, IA	1	1	1	1

68S

Genetics IN Medicine

			EVIDENO	CE LEVELS (1-4)	
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Hyperbilirubinemia (kernicterus)	Jeffery Maisels, MD William Beaumont Hospital Royal Oak, MI	3	3	3	3
	Vinod Bhutani, MD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	3	3	3	3
Neuroblastoma	Garrett Brodeur, MD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	1	1	1	1
	Eizo Hiyama, MD Hiroshima University Hiroshima, Japan and Hiroshi Naruse, MD Quality Control Center for Mass Screening Tokyo, Japan	2	3	2	3
Smith-Lemli–Opitz syndrome	Robert Steiner, MD Oregon Health Science University Portland, OR	1	2	2	2
	Mira Irons, MD Children's Hospital Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	1	1	1	3
	Richard I. Kelley, MD, PhD Johns Hopkins Medical Institution Baltimore, MD	4	2	2	1
Turner syndrome	Virginia P. Sybert, MD Univ. of Washington Seattle, WA	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4
	Ron G Rosenfeld, MD Lucille Packard Foundation for Children Palo Alto, CA	1	3	3	2
Wilson disease	Benjamin Shneider, MD New York University Medical School New York, NY	3	3	2	2
	Sihoun Haun, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	1	2	2	1
X-Linked Adrenoleukodystrophy	Hugo Moser, MD Kennedy Krieger Institute Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD	2	2	2	2-3
	Robert Steiner, MD Oregon Health Science University Portland, OR	2	2	2	3
Amino Acid Disorders					
Argininemia	Stephen D. Cederbaum, MD Mental Retardation Research Center, UCLA Los Angeles, CA	3	3	3	3
	Mendel Tuchman, MD Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC	4	4	4	4
Argininosuccinic acidemia	Stephen D. Cederbaum, MD Mental Retardation Research Center, UCLA Los Angeles, CA	1	3	1	3
	Mendel Tuchman, MD Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC	3	3	3	3

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

			EVIDENC	CE LEVELS (1-4)
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Defects of biopterin cofactor biosynthesis	Nenad Blau, PhD University Children's Hospital University of Zurich Zurich, Switzerland	2	2	2	3
	Harvey Levy, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	2	2	2	2
Defects of biopterin cofactor regernation	Nenad Blau, PhD University Children's Hospital University of Zurich Zurich, Switzerland	2	2	2	3
	Harvey Levy, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	3	2	2	4
Carbamylphosphate synthetase deficiency	Mendel Tuchman, MD Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
	Mark L. Batshaw, MD Children's National Medical Center George Washington University Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
Citrullinemia(arginosuccinate synthase deficiency)	Mendel Tuchman, MD Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
	Mark L. Batshaw, MD Children's National Medical Center George Washington University Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
Citrullinemia type II (citrin deficiency)	Mendel Tuchman, MD Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC	3	3		3
	Toshihiro Ohura, MD Tohoku University School of Medicine Sendai, Japan	3	2	2	3
	Mark L. Batshaw, MD Children's National Medical Center George Washington University Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
Homocystinuria(cystathionine β -synthase deficiency)	S. Harvey Mudd, MD NIH/NIMH Bethesda, MD	1	1	1	4
	Vivian Shih, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	1		3	3
Hypermethioninemia(MAT 1/III deficiency)	S. Harvey Mudd, MD NIH/NIMH Bethesda, MD	1	1	1	4
	Vivian Shih, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	1	1	1	4
Maple syrup (urine) disease	Louis B. Elsas, MD University of Miami Miami, FL	3	3	1	3
	Vivian Shih, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	1	1	1	4

70S

Genetics IN Medicine

			EVIDENCE LE	EVELS (1-4)	
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency	Mendel Tuchman, MD Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
	Mark L. Batshaw, MD Children's National Medical Center George Washington University Washington, DC	3	3	3	3
Phenylketonuria (phenylalanine hydroxylase deficiency)	Nenad Blau, PhD University Children's Hospital University of Zurich Zurich, Switzerland	2	2	2	2
	Harvey Levy, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	2	2	2	2
	Vivian Shih, MD Harvard Medical School Boston, MA	1	1	2	4
Tyrosinemia type I (hepatorenal tyrosinemia)	C. Ronald Scott, MD University of Washington Seattle, WA	2	3	1	2
	Grant Mitchell, MD Hospital Sainte-Justine Montreal, Quebec, Canada	2	2/3	1	2
Tyrosinemia type II (oculocutaneous tyrosinemia)	C. Ronald Scott, MD University of Washington Seattle, WA	2	3	2	2
	Grant Mitchell, MD Hospital Sainte-Justine Montreal, Quebec, Canada	2	4	2	2
Tyrosinemia type III	C. Ronald Scott, MD University of Washington Seattle, WA	3	3	3	4
	Grant Mitchell, MD Hospital Sainte-Justine Montreal, Quebec, Canada	4	4 (sensitivity) 1 (technical)	4	4
Fatty Acid Oxidation Defects					
Carnitine: acylcarnitine translocase deficiency	Nicola Longo, MD, PhD University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT	2	2	1	2
	Charles Stanley, MD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	3	3	2	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	3	3	2	4
Carnitine palmitoyltransferase I deficiency (CPT1a)	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	3	4	3	4
	Cary Harding, MD Oregon Health Sciences University Portland, OR				
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	4	4	4	4

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

		EV	IDEN	CE LEVELS (1-4)
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Carnitine palmitoyltransferase II deficiency	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	2	4	4	3
	Georgirene D. Vladutiu, PhD Children's Hospital Buffalo, NY	4	2	4	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	2	3	2	4
Carnitine uptake deficiency(Systemic)	Nicola Longo, MD, PhD University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT	1	1	1	1
	Charles Stanley, MD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	4	3	3	4
Dienoyl-CoA reductase deficiency	Gerard Vockley, MD, PhD Children's Hospital Pittsburgh University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA	4	4	4	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	4	4	4	4
Glutaric acidemia type II	Stephen I. Goodman, MD University of Colorado Health Science Center Denver, CO	4	4	2	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	3	3	3	4
	William J. Rhead, MD, PhD Medical College of Wisconsin Madison, WI	2	2	2	4
Long-chain 3-OH acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	3	3	3	3
	Arnold Strauss, MD Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Nashville, TN	2	3	3	2
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	3	2	2	3
Medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	Arnold Strauss, MD Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Nashville, TN	2	2	2	2
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	2	1	1	1
Medium/short-chain 3-OH acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	Arnold Strauss, MD Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Nashville, TN	4	4	4	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	4	4	4	4
Medium–chain ketoacyl-CoA thiolase deficiency	Michael Bennett,PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	4	4	4	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	4	4	4	4

Genetics IN Medicine

		EV	IDENG	CE LEVELS (1-4)
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Short-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	Gerard Vockley, MD, PhD Children's Hospital Pittsburgh University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA	2	1	1	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	4	3	2	4
	Dietrich Matern, MD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	2	1	1	2
Trifunctional protein deficiency	Arnold Strauss, MD Vanderbilt Univeristy School of Medicine Nashville, TN	3	3	3	3
	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	4	4	4	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	3	2	2	3
Very long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	Arnold Strauss, MD Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Nashville, TN	2	2	2	2
	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	3	3	3	4
	Piero Rinaldo, MD, PhD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester MN	3	2	2	3
Organic Acidurias					
2-methylbutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency	Gerard Vockley, MD, PhD Children's Hospital Pittsburgh University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA	1	1	1	4
	Dietrich Matern, MD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	2	1	1	2
2-methyl 3-hydroxybutyric-aciduria	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	4	4	4	4
	Dietrich Matern, MD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	3	4	3	3
	Regina Ensenauer, MD Von Haunershes Kinderspital Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany	4	4	4	4
3-hydroxy 3-methyl glutaric aciduria (HMG)	Pinar Ozand, MD, PhD King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	4	1	1	1
	Grant Mitchell, MD Hospital Sainte-Justine Montreal, Quebec, Canada	2	4	2	3
3-Methylglutaconic Aciduria (Type 1-hydrotase deficiency)	Robert Steiner, MD Oregon Health University Portland, OR	2	2	2	2
	Richard I. Kelley, MD, PhD Johns Hopkins Medical Institution Baltimore, MD	4	2	2	4

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

]	EVIDENG	CE LEVELS (1-4	4)
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency	Matthias Baumgartner, MD University Children's Hospital Zurich, Switzerland	2	1	2	4
	Richard I. Kelley, MD, PhD Johns Hopkins Medical Institution Baltimore, MD	4	2	2	4
ß-ketothiolase deficiency	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	4	4	4	4
	Toshiyuki Fukao, MD Gifu University School of Medicine Gifu, Japan	3	3	3	3
Glutaric cademia type 1	Stephen I. Goodman, MD University of Colorado Health Science Center Denver, CO	2	2	2	3
	Pinar Ozand, MD, PhD King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	2	2	2	3
Isobutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase Deficiency	Gerard Vockley, MD, PhD Children's Hospital Pittsburgh University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA	3	1	1	4
	Dietrich Matern, MD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	2	2	1	3
Isovaleric acidemia	Gerard Vockley, MD, PhD Children's Hospital Pittsburgh University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA	1	1	1	3
	Dietrich Matern, MD Mayo Clinic College of Medicine Rochester, MN	1	1	1	1
	Regina Ensenauer, MD Von Haunershes Kinderspital Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany	1	1	1	3
Malonic acidemia	Michael Bennett, PhD Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, PA	4	4	4	4
	Pinar Ozand, MD, PhD King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	4	4	4	4
Methylmalonic acidemia (CblA,B)	David Rosenblatt, MD McGill University Montreal, Quebec, CA	4	4	4	4
	William Nyhan, MD, PhD University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA	2	1	1	2
Methylmalonic acidemia (Cbl C,D)	David Rosenblatt, MD McGill University Montreal, Quebec, CA	4	4	4	4
	William Nyhan, MD, PhD University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA	2	1	1	2

Genetics IN Medicine

		EV	IDENG	CE LEVELS (1	1-4)
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Methylmalonic acidemia (Mutase deficiency)	David Rosenblatt, MD McGill University Montreal, Quebec, CA	4	4	4	
	William Nyhan, MD, PhD University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA	2	1	1	2
Holocarboxylase synthetase deficiency	Barry Wolf, MD, PhD Connecticut Children's Medical Center Hartford, CT	3	3	3	3
	E. Regula Baumgartner, MD University Children's Hospital Basel, Switzerland	2	2	2	2
	Matthias Baumgartner, MD University Children's Hospital Zurich, Switzerland	2	2	2	2
Propionyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency	Pinar Ozand, MD, PhD King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	3	1	1	1
	William Nyhan, MD, PhD University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA	2	1	1	2
Hematology/Hemoglobinopathies					
Sickle cell anemia (Hb SS disease)	Carolyn Hoppe, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
	Elliott Vichinsky, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
Hemoglobin SC	Carolyn Hoppe, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
	Elliott Vichinsky, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
Hemoglobin S/beta-thalassemia (Hb Sß-thal)	Carolyn Hoppe, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
	Elliott Vichinsky, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
Variant hemoglobinopathies (including HbE)	Carolyn Hoppe, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
	Elliott Vichinsky, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	1	2	1	1
Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (G6PD)	Ernest Beutler, MD Scripps Research Institute La Jolla, CA	3	1	2	4
	Carolyn Hoppe, MD Children's Hospital Oakland Oakland, CA	2	2	1	4

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

			EVIDENO	CE LEVELS (1-4)	
CONDITION	VALIDATED BY	Condition	Test	Diagnosis	Treatment
Creatine Metabolism Disorders					
Guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency (GAMT)	William O'Brien, PhD Baylor College of Medicine Dallas, TX	4	4	4	4
	Robert Steiner, MD Oregon Health Science University Portland, OR	4	4	4	4
Arginine:glycine amidinotransferase deficiency(AGAT)	William O'Brien, PhD Baylor College of Medicine Dallas, TX	4	4	4	4
	Robert Steiner, MD Oregon Health Science University Portland, OR	4	4	4	4
Creatine transporter defect	William O'Brien, PhD Baylor College of Medicine Dallas, TX	4	4	4	4
	Robert Steiner, MD Oregon Health Science University Portland, OR	4	4	4	4
Lysosomal Storage Disorders					
Fabry disease	Gregory A. Grabowski, MD Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Cincinnati, OH	2	3	3	1
	Robert J. Desnick, MD, PhD Mount Sinai Medical Center New York, NY	2	3	4	1
Krabbe disease	Gregory A. Grabowski, MD Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Cincinnati, OH	3	3	3	4
Hurler, Scheie, Hurler-Scheie (MPS I)	Gregory A. Grabowski, MD Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Cincinnati, OH	3	3	4	2
Pompe disease (glycogen storage disease type II)	Gregory A. Grabowski, MD Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Cincinnati, OH	4	3	3	3/4
	R. Rodney Howell, MD University of Miami Miami, FL	1	4	1	4

Newborn screening panel and system

ENDOCRINE DISORDERS

CONE	DITION	Congenital adr	enal h	nyperplasia
TYPE of DIS	ORDER	Endocrinologic disc	order, 2	1-hydroxylase deficiency
ETH	HNICITY	Panethnic but high Zealand.	er in Sa	udi Arabia, Yupik Alaskans and in La Reunion, lower in New
SCREENING MET	HOD(S)	FIA		
NBS STATUS in	n the US	Screened for in 37	of 51 st	tates, 77% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 93		alid scores: 1,560	93%	PubMed references (August 2004): 4,318
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene CYP21A2 Locus 6p21.3 OMIM 201910
Criteria The condition		Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:25,00	00	76%	Classical (21-OH deficiency) CAH = 1:18,987 in US newborn screening based on 13,347,888 newborns screened [1].
Phenotype at birth	<50% of	cases	55%	Most females have ambiguous genitalia, if recognized. Males are usually undetected [2-4].
Burden if untreated	Profound	ł	90%	9% mortality, masculinization in females. Adrenocortical insufficiency in severe forms [3,4].
The test				
Screening test	Yes		94%	17-OHP concentration by FIA (DELFIA, RIA, ELISA) [5,6]. Second tier testing by MS/MS [7-9], repeat RIA after two weeks or genotyping [10,11]. 90-95% have one of 9 common mutations in CYP21A2 [10].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		91%	Yes, see [5].
High throughput	Yes		73%	Yes, see [5].
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		58%	\$3.00 per test [6,12].
Multiple analytes	No (lack	of consensus) (*)	29%	Second tier testing of 17-OHP, androstenedione and cortisol by MS/MS [7-9].
Secondary targets	No (lack	of consensus) (*)	34%	No.
Multiplex platform	No		24%	No.
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Widely a	vailable	91%	Pediatric endocrinologists are widely available. Neonatal detection allows steroid treatment and avoids acute adrenal crisis [2,8].
Efficacy		to prevent MOST consequences	63%	Female masculinization begins in the prenatal period so not all sequelae are avoided; normal height may not be reached when treated [2,10].
Early intervention		ence that early n optimizes outcome	95%	Neonatal detection allows steroid treatment and avoids acute adrenal crisis [2,10,13].
Early identification	Clear be society	nefits to family and	95%	Identification of at risk family members and genetic counseling [10]. Prenatal diagnosis is available [16,17]. Molecular testing of CYP21A2 is available.
Mortality prevention	Yes		99%	Mortality rates of 9% due to adrenal crises in neonates [6].
Diagn. confirmation	Widely a	vailable	87%	CYP21A2 mutation analysis has an 80 - 95% detection rate [11,18].
Acute management	Widely a	vailable	86%	Pediatric endocrinologists as part of a multidisciplinary team are widely available, though medical geneticists may be less available [8,15,17].
Simplicity of therapy	No spec	ialist involvement	57%	Requires multidisciplinary team including pediatric endocrinologist, medical geneticist, pediatric urology/surgery, psychology [8].



CONE	DITION Congenital hy	pothyr	oidism
TYPE of DISORDER Endocrinologic disc		order	
ETHNICITY Panethnic distributi		tion. Mor	e common in Hispanic and Native Americans.
SCREENING MET	HOD(S) RIA, ELISA		
NBS STATUS ir	n the US Screened for in 51	of 51 sta	ates, 100% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 84	Valid scores: 1,466	97%	PubMed references (August 2004): 2,251
			PAX8 2q12-q14 218700; 275200;
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene TSHR Locus 14q31 OMIM 218700; 275200; DUOX2 15q15.3 OMIM 607200
Criteria	Consensus	max	
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:5,000	96%	1:3,044 with primary hypothyroidism in US newborn screens of 40,214,946 newborns [1].
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	82%	About 1-5% are apparent at birth (jaundice, a nonspecific finding). Most protected by maternal thyroid hormone [2]. Usually presents after 3 months [3-5].
Burden if untreated	Profound	93%	Mental retardation (IQ = 80) and lowered subtest scores [3-7].
The test			
Screening test	Yes	100%	RIA for TSH (7 states) or both T4 and TSH (45 programs) [8-10].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	99%	Yes, see [8,9].
High throughput	Yes	78%	Yes, see [8,10].
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	65%	Overall costs vary with the use of TSH or T4 as a primary marker and the cutoffs that lead to secondary testing for TSH among those with low T4. [1,10].
Multiple analytes	No	36%	No, see [8].
Secondary targets	No (lack of consensus) (*)	39%	No, see [8].
Multiplex platform	No	27%	No, see [8].
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Widely available	98%	Pediatric endocrinologists are widely available. Primary care providers may choose to manage some cases [10].
Efficacy	Potential to prevent ALL negative consequesces	85%	Treatment resolves growth deficiency and significantly improves mental outcome [10-13].
Early intervention	Clear evidence that early intervention optimizes outcomes	98%	Treatment resolves growth deficiency and improves mental outcome [10-13].
Early identification	Clear benefits to family and society	99%	Genetic counseling available for heritable forms [14].
Mortality prevention	No (lack of consensus) (*)	38%	Not expected to be changed [10-14].
Diagn. confirmation	Widely available	100%	Pediatric endocrinologists are widely available and confirmatory algorithms are well established [10,11].
Acute management	Widely available	98%	Pediatric endocrinologists are widely available. Management guidelines are well established [10,16].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	94%	Thyroxine treatment and lifelong monitoring require pediatric endocrinologist involvement [15,17].



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

COND	ITION Insulin dependent diabetes mellitus				
TYPE of DISC	DRDER Endocrinology	Endocrinology			
ETH	NICITY Panethnic but	Panethnic but 20x higher in US than in China likely due to population-specific alleles [1].			
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) No test availab	ole at this time	9		
NBS STATUS in		n 0 of 51 state	es, 0% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 51	Valid scores: 86	68 95%	PubMed references (August 2004) 404546		
			Gene IDDM1 Locus Xp11.23-g13.3 12q24.2 OMIM 222100		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria	Consensus	% of max	6p21.3		
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	>1:5,000	85%	1:6,666 in people under 18 yrs of age [1,2,5].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	95%	No autoantibody evidence during infancy; rarely present prior to 3 months [3]. Progression is variable [4].		
Burden if untreated	Profound	82%	Diabetic ketoacidosis leading to death [5].		
The test					
Screening test	No	14%	Screening test for predisposition to diabetes by HLA DR and DQ alleles is not validated in a large general population. [6] Second tier testing by radioimmunoassays for insulin, GAD, IC512bdc/IA-2 autoantibodies are highly predictive [7,8].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	21%	Not applicable.		
High throughput	No	23%	Not applicable, though autoantibodies for GAA, ICA512AA and MUAA would be high throughput [9].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	9%	Not applicable.		
Multiple analytes	No	14%	Not applicable.		
Secondary targets	No	7%	Not applicable.		
Multiplex platform	No	12%	Not applicable.		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Limited availability	74%	No preventive treatment is available. Insulin and dietary management are required and available. Pancreatic transplants with immunosuppression in late disease continue to improve but are more limited availability [10-12].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOI negative consequences		Specific dietary treatments are investigative and transplants are improving [10-15]. Treatment leads to a transient delay in ß-cell destruction [16].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	26%	Treatment leads to a transient delay in ß-cell destruction. Specific dietary treatments are investigative and transplants are improving [10-15].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society (lack of consensus) (*)) 43%	Identifies at-risk siblings [2].		
Prevention of mortality	No	45%	Disease progression is slowed and mortality is reduced [10-12].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	83%	Hyperglyemia with relative insulin deficiency [17].		
Acute management	Widely available	92%	Insulin [2,18].		
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specia (lack of consensus) (*)	alist 34%	Periodic involvement of specialists is needed [4,6].		

Genetics IN Medicine



	American Diabetes Association). Available at: Diabetes Forum. http://www.diabetesforum.net/cgi- bin/display_engine.pl?category_id=15&content_id=230 . Accessed 8-18- 04.
2	Maclaren NK et al. Type I Diabetes. In: Scriver DR et al. Eds, The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8 ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001;1471-88.
3	Kimpimaki T, Kupila A, Hamalainen A-M, et al. The first signs of B-cell autoimmunity appear in infancy in genetically susceptible children from the general population: the Finnish Type I Diabetes Prediction and Prevention Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86:4782-4786.
4	Ziegler A-G, Hummel M, Schenker M, Bonifacio E. Autoantibody appearance and risk for development of childhood diabetes in offspring of parents with type 1 diabetes: the 2-year analysis of the German BABYDIAB Study. Diabetes. 1999;48:460-468.
5	Harris MI et al. Prevalance of diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance in US adults. The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Diabetes care 1998;21:518.
6	Stene L et al. Perinatal factors and development of islet autoimmunity in early childhood, The diabetes autoimmunity study in the young. Am J Epidemiol 2004;160:3-10.
7	Verge CF et al. Prediction of type 1diabetes in first-degree relatives using a combination of insulin, GAD, IC512bdc/IA-2 autoantibodies. Diabetes 1996;45:926-33.
8	LaGasse JM et al. Successful prospective prediction of type 1 diabetes in school children through multiple defined antibodies: an 8-year follow- up of the Washington State Diabetes Prediction Study. Diabetes Care 2002;25:505-11.
9	Maclaren N et al. Only multiple antibodies to islet cells (ICA), insulin, GAD65, IA-2, and IA2beta predict immune-mediated (Type 1) diabetes in relatives. J Autoimmun 1999;12:279.
10	Norris JM et al. Lack of association between early exposure to cow's milk protein and [beta]-cell autoimmunity: Diabetes Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). JAMA 1996;276:609-14.
11	Couper JJ et al. Lack of association between duration of breast feeding or introduction of cow's milk and development of islet autoimmunity. Diabetes. 1999;48:2145-2149.
12	Shapiro AMJ et al. Islet transplantation in seven patients with type I diabetes mellitus using a glucocorticoid-free immunosuppressive regime. N Engl J Med 2000; 343:230.
13	Ziegler AG et al. Prophylactic insulin treatment in relatives at high risk for type I diabetes. Diabetes Metab Rev 1993;9:289.
14	Diabetes Prevention Trial-Type 1 Diabetes Study Group. Effects of insulin in relatives of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 2002;346:1685-91.
15	Gale E et al. European nicotinamide diabetes intervention trial (ENDIT): a randomized controlled trial of intervention before the onset of type 1 diabetes. Lancet 2004;363:925-31.
16	Krolewski M et al. Magnitude of end-stage renal disease in IDDM: A 35- year follow-up study [published erratum in Kidney 1997; 51: 978]. Kidney 1996;50:2041.
17	Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 1997;20:1183.
18	Howey DC et al. [Lys(B28),Pro(B29)]-human insulin. A rapidly absorbed analogue of human insulin. Diabetes 1994;43;396.

Not included in uniform panel (no test)

COMMENT

Newborn screening for type I diabetes mellitus is in limited pilot testing to improve our understanding of the natural history of the condition and its relationship to possible environmental triggers that lead to autoantibody production. Potential screening tests are not yet validated in large general US populations. Neither the NIH prevention trial nor the European ENDIT Study showed that you could delay or prevent Type I DM in high risk subjects with family history and positive for autoantibodies.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement
ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

CARBOHYDRATE DISORDERS

CONDITION	Classic galactosemia (GALT)	ĩ
TYPE of DISORDER	Disorder of galactose metabolism	
ETHNICITY	1:23,500 in Ireland and 1:100,000 in Sweden.	
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Microbiologic for G-1-P and galactose and fluorometric assays for GALT acitivity	
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 51 of 51 states, 100% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 85 V	alid scores: 1,472 96% PubMed references (August 2004) 2,021	

SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene GALT Locus 9p13 OMIM 230400
Criteria	Consensus	max	
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:50,000	42%	1:53,261 in US newborns from 35,897,634 newborn screens [1].
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases (lack of consensus) (*)	76%	Majority of cases not identified in NBS manifest poor growth and feeding, and often jaundice [2-5].
Burden if untreated	Profound	91%	Bleeding diathesis and sepsis leading to shock and death. Usually fatal [2-5].

The test

Screening test	Yes	99%	Beutle Gal-1- GALK
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	100%	Yes, s
High throughput	Yes	85%	Yes, s
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	58%	No, si
Multiple analytes	No	38%	Fluore
Secondary targets	Yes	49%	GALK levels
Multiplex platform	No	21%	No.

Beutler fluorescent spot screening test for GALT activity described in 1966 [6]. Gal-1-P and Gal levels are also screened by HPLC [7] in most states [1]. GALK is not identified if only the Beutler test is done.
Yes, see [6,7].
Yes, see [6,7].
No, single condition screening [6,7].
Fluorescent spot assay and RBC Gal-1-P [6,7].
GALK and GALE are secondary targets of screening by galactose levels but not GALT activity [7].
No.

The treatment

Availability & cost	Widely available	91%	Metabolic specialists for dietary management and monitoring are of limited availability [8-10].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	45%	Poor growth and feeding, lethargy, jaundiice, vomiting and hypotonia resolve with earliest treatment but long-term complications involving brain and ovaries (in females) occur in majority of cases [2,8-10].
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	85%	Mortality significantly reduced [8,11].
Benefits of early identification	Clear benefits to family and society	88%	Genetic counseling, prenatal diagnosis and molecular testing are available [2,11].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	96%	Mortality significantly reduced [7].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Yes (lack of consensus) (*)	71%	Erythrocyte galactose-1-phosphate uridyl transferase activity and molecular testing [2,7,8].
Acute management	Limited availability	77%	Dietary management to remove galactose can prevent the life- threatening complications of classical galactosemia [2,4].
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	63%	Metabolic specialists for dietary management and monitoring are of limited availability [2].

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement





INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	s	Туре	Multiple	
2ary target of hig	gher scor	ing cond	ition?	N	lo
Final score	1473	/2100	% of max	score	70%
Rank:	0.88	%ile		_	
Observed signifi	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Primary 1	target,	inclusion	in	uniform	panel	
-----------	---------	-----------	----	---------	-------	--

COMMENT

GALT is the primary target of galactosemia screening and is detected by screening for GALT activity and/or galactose and G-1-P levels. The inability of screening to improve long-term outcome for most patients, aside from reduction in mortality, has complicated arguments to screen for galactosemia. Earlier screening in the US is useful in finding additional cases that may die undiagnosed.

COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH NBS STATUS in	DRDER Inborn error, disord NICITY Panethnic. HOD(S) Microbiologic for G	ler of ga -1-P an	ency alactose metabolism d galactose and fluorometric assays for GALT acitivity ates, 100% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 47	Valid scores: 820	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 763		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria The condition	Consensus	% of max score	Gene GALK1 Locus 17q24 OMIM 230200 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	>1:25,000	11%	Incidence is not known. Estimated at <1:100,000 [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	83%	Cataracts have been reported as early as 4 weeks of age [2-4].		
Burden if untreated	Moderate	52%	Cataracts are the only consistent clinical finding [2-4].		
The test					
Screening test	Yes	86%	Beutler fluorescent spot screening test for GALT activity first described in 1966 [5] is normal. Gal-1-P and Gal levels are also screened by HPLC in most states [6]. RBC Gal-1-P and urinary galactitol are high.		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	93%	Yes, see [5,6].		
High throughput	Yes	77%	Yes, see [5,6].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	51%	No, stand alone test.		
Multiple analytes	No	31%	Yes, Gal-1-P, Gal.		
Secondary targets	No (lack of consensus) (*)	49%	GALK and GALE are secondary targets of screening by galactose levels but not GALT activity [6].		
Multiplex platform	No	19%	No.		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Widely available	92%	Dietary management and monitoring require involvement of metabolic physician [1].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences	73%	Cataracts may be reversible if a galactose-free diet is intiated in early infancy [2-4].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	70%	Cataracts may be reversible if dietary treatment is started in early infancy [2].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society (lack of consensus) (*)	69%	Genetic counseling is available [1].		
Prevention of mortality	No	15%	Mortality is not a manifestation of this condition [2-4].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	53%	Elevated galactose and normal GALT activity with reduced galactokinase activity are diagnostic [1]. RBC Gal-1-P and urinary galactitol are high.		
Acute management	Widely available	81%	Management of cataracts is widely available [1].		
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	69%	Dietary management and monitoring require involvement of metabolic physician [1].		

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available Yes			Туре		0	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	Y	es	
Final score	1286	/2100	% of max s	score	61%	
Rank:	0.69	%ile				
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	s with literati	ure	No	

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

GALK is not detected in screening if only GALT activity is measured. GALK deficiency is a secondary target of GALT screening.

RE	REFERENCES AND WEB SITES					
1	Elsas LJ. Galactosemia. Gene Tests - Gene Clinics - Gene Reviews web site [last updated 5-2-2005].					
2	Berry GT et al. Disorders of Galactose Metabolism, In: Inborn Metabolic Diseases-Diagnosis and Treatment (4th Ed.), Fernandes J et al., eds. Springer-Verlag Inc., New York, 2005.					
3	Gitzelman R. Hereditary galactokinase deficiency: a newly recognized cause of juvenile cataracts. Pediatr Res 1967;1:14.					
4	Bosch AM et al. Clinical features of galactokinase deficiency: A review of the literature. J Inherit Metab Dis 2002; 25: 629-34.					
5	Beutler E, Baluda MC. A simple spot screening test for galactosemia. J Lab Clin Med 1966;68:137-41.					
6	Webster D, Allen D. Laboratory methods for galactose testing. In: Therrell BL, ed. Laboratory Methods for Neonatal Screening. Washington DC: Am Pub Health Assoc 1993;77-114.					

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Galactose epir	nerase	deficiency			
TYPE of DISC	ORDER Inborn error, disord	ler of car	bohydrate metabolism			
ETH	NICITY Panethnic except g	generalized deficiency only seen in two Asian families.				
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) Microbiologic for G	-1-P and	galactose and fluorometric assays for GALT acitivity			
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 51	of 51 sta	tes, 100% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 38	Valid scores: 648	95%	PubMed references (August 2004) 78			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene GALE Locus 1p36-p35 OMIM 230350			
Criteria	Consensus	max				
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Incidence is unknown. Estimated as very rare at <1:100,000			
Incidence	<1:100,000	7%	with fewer than 10 families described [1].			
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	84%	Usually asymptomatic, as there is not a severe enzyme deficiency in liver and probably other organs [1-4].			
Burden if untreated	Moderate	41%	Most cases are asymptomatic. Liver disease and failure to thrive, as in GALT deficiency, in the extremely rare generalized deficiency form [1-4].			
The test						
Screening test	Yes	75%	Beutler fluorescent spot screening test for GALT activity described in 1966 [5]. Gal-1-P and Gal levels are also screened by HPLC [6] in most states [7].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	83%	Yes, see [5,6].			
High throughput	Yes	76%	Yes, see [5,6].			
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	50%	No, stand alone assays [5,6].			
Multiple analytes	No	31%	No.			
Secondary targets	No	44%	No.			
Multiplex platform	No	18%	No.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Widely available	91%	Galactose free diet until further characterized and involvement of a metabolic disease physician. Treatment is generally not needed [2,3].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	40%	Symptomatology of extremely rare generalized form may be reduced [1-4].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome (lack of consensus) (*)	44%	Most are asymptomatic but symptomatology of extremely rare generalized form may be reduced [1-4].			
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society (lack of consensus) (*)	53%	Genetic counseling available [2].			
Prevention of mortality	No	17%	Mortality is not a significant component of phenotype [1].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	40%	Elevated galactose-1-phosphate, reduced epimerase activity but normal GALT activity is diagnostic [1,2].			
Acute management	Widely available	70%	Dietary management to remove galactose can prevent the life- threatening complications of classical galactosemia until patient is diagnosed [1-4].			
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	62%	Metabolic specialists for dietary management and monitoring are of limited availability [2].			

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement



CRITERIA OF LEAST CONSENSUS see (*) on first page



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available Yes		Туре	0	
2ary target of hig	her scoring con	dition? Y	'es	
Final score	1066	% of max score	51%	
Rank:	0.35 %ile			
Observed signific	cant discrepanci	es with literature	No	

ASSESSMENT

Secondary targe	t	
-----------------	---	--

COMMENT

GAL epimerase deficiency is confined to erythrocytes in most cases and affected individuals are asymptomatic. Generalized deficiency is very rare with only 5 cases reported as of 2001 but appears associated with developmental delay. However, consanguinity complicates determination of features solely associated with epimerase deficiency. GALE deficiency is a secondary target of GALT screening.



Genetics IN Medicine

SCREENING METH	DRDER Inborn error, disord NICITY Panethnic HOD(S) No test	der of gl			
NBS STATUS in			ites, 0% of annual births (as August 2004)		
Responses: 34	Valid scores: 570	93%	PubMed references (August 2004) 373		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria The condition	Consensus	% of max score	Gene MPI Locus 15q22-ter OMIM 602579 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,000	16%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Very rare but not known. <10 cases described though likely underdiagnosed [1-5].		
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	66%	No dysmorphology as in type 1A. Patients present between 1 month and 1 year [1-5].		
Burden if untreated Profound		89%	Variable phentotype with hyperinsullinemic hypoglyemia,		
The test					
Screening test	No	33%	No sensitive and specific screening test that is validated in a gen population exists. A method for large scale automated screening PMI and PMM activity has been described but has not been stud clinical trials [8,9].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	33%	Not applicable.		
High throughput	No	11%	Not applicable.		
Overall cost <\$1	No >\$1/test)	11%	Not applicable.		
Multiple analytes	No	10%	Not applicable.		
Secondary targets	No	19%	Not applicable.		
Multiplex platform	No	4%	Not applicable.		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Limited availability	45%	Experienced metabolic disease physicians for oral mannose delivery and monitoring to treat gastrointestinal symptoms including protein- losing enteropathy, bleeding, hypoglycemia and hypoalbuminemia are of limited availability [2,4,9-11].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	38%	Oral mannose resolves gastrointestinal bleeding and chronic diarrhea and improves mortality. Long-term administration of mannose was tolerated in control mice and in one patient for five years with continued benefit [2,4,6-8,12,15].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	44%	Resolution of gastrointestinal bleeding and chronic diarrhea improves quality of life and improves mortality [2,4,6,9,10,15].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	63%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis [5,16].		
Prevention of mortality	No	42%	Oral mannose improves mortality [2,4,6,9,10,15].		
Confirmation of diagnosis Only a few centers		28%	Isoelectric focusing of serum sialotransferrins and phosphomannose isomerase activity. Capillary electrophoresis and ESI tandem MS is replacing the original method for characterizing transferrin isoforms. Phosphomannosemutase activity of lymphoblasts and fibroblasts is available. Molecular diagnostics available [2,4,13,15,17].		
Acute management	Limited availability	42%	Symptomatic treatment and oral mannose to manage chronic diarrhea, hypoglycemia and chronic diarrhea and improve mortality [2,4,9,10,15].		
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	39%	Metabolic disease physicians are of limited availability.		



validated test. Tests under development may perform better after two weeks of life than during the 24 - 48 hr. period after birth. Mannose therapy has only been available for 5 years so long-term effectiveness and/or adverse effects are still to be determined. Continued documentation of cases on mannose therapy is needed to extablish accurate therapeutic regimens.

Genetics IN Medicine

Newborn screening panel and system

PRIMARY IMMUNODEFICIENCIES

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

CONDITION	Adenosine deaminase deficiency
TYPE of DISORDER	Genetic condition
ETHNICITY	Pan-ethnic
SCREENING METHOD(S)	No test
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 0 of 51 states, 0% of annual births (August 2004)

Responses: 20	Valid scores: 330	92%	PubMed references (August 2004) 6,145		
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene ADA Locus 20q13.11 OMIM 102700		
Criteria Consensus					
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [Reference:		
Incidence	>1:75,000	21%	Unknown, estimated between 1:200,000 and 1:1,000,000 [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	88%	All are normal at birth. Transplacentally transferred maternal IgG protects infants for first few weeks of life. SCID presents in the first weeks to few months of life with thrush, pneumonia and failure to thrive [2].		
Burden if untreated	Profound	25%	For the 85 - 90% of cases with the more severe SCID presentation, it is usually fatal in the first year of life from opportunistic infections if not treated [3].		

The test

Screening test	Yes	60%
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	61%
High throughput	No	33%
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	27%
Multiple analytes	No	13%
Secondary targets	No	0%
Multiplex platform	No	0%

No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting. Enzyme activity can be measured from filter-paper blood spots [4,5]. T cell leukopenia should discriminate SCID patients [6]. A new PCR test for T cell circular DNA is being developed [1,4].
Yes [4,5].
Not applicable.

The treatment

Availability & cost	Not available	24%	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	46%	
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	50%	
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	58%	
Prevention of mortality	Yes	74%	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers (lack of consensus) (*)	35%	
Acute management	Only in a few centers	26%	
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	11%	

available \$40,000; [7,8] Enz	I centers for bone marrow transplant are available; subsequent follow-up is widel . Bone marrow transplant prior to infection complications on the order of subsequently patient may be cured or may need IVIG monthly for some years syme replacement with PEG-modified ADA provided clinical and immunologic nent in 100 patients [9,10,11].
and the second se	% survival; around 50% are completely cured [7,13,15]. Enzyme replacement 6-modified ADA provided clinical and immunologic improvement in 100 patients
\$40,000	I and better immune restoration [12,13]. Transplant cost would about D if done early vs. cost for care of infections plus transplant of about 000 after symptoms. [14].
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available]. DNA testing is available [6,18,19].
availabili	ell depleted bone marrow transplantation is preferred treatment but is of limited ty and high cost [7,8]. Enzyme replacement with PEG-modified ADA provided nd immunologic improvement in 100 patients [9,10,11].
ADA ac laborato	tivity to show very low to absent activity is available through reference ories. Over 50 mutations have been described in the ADA gene but testing is adequate [6,18,19].
parent of	arrow transplantation, either from HLA-matched sibling, half-matched or matched unrelated donor with cost of care for infections reaching 000 or more [14,20].
Similarl	eatment, BMT, complex; follow-up by pediatric immunologists. y, enzyme replacement therapy is complex and of very limited lity [7-11].

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

CONDITION Severe combin		ned im	munodeficiency (SCID)				
TYPE of DISORDER Genetic condition, a			at least	9 different types			
ETHNICITY No known ethnic di			differenc	es			
SCREENING METHOD(S) No test available a				at the present time			
NBS STATUS in	n the US	Screened for in 0	of 51 sta	ates, 0% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 69		alid scores: 1,187	96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 3,106			
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene SCID1 Locus 8q11 OMIM 202500 & others			
Criteria		Consensus	max				
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]				
Incidence >1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)		38%	Unknown; estimates of 1:100,000 are low, missing undiagnosed affected infants who die of infections [1].				
Phenotype at birth Almost never		86%	Patients are asymptomatic at birth. Transplacentally transferred maternal IgG protects infants for first few weeks of life. [2] SCID presents in the first year of life [3].				
Burden if untreated	Profoun	d	98%	Thrush, diarrhea, failure to thrive; infections with bacteria, fungi, viruses, and generally fatal in first weeks of life [3].			

The test

Screening test	Yes	67%	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	55%	
High throughput	No	9%	
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	5%	
Multiple analytes	No	6%	
Secondary targets	No	0%	
Multiplex platform	No	0%	

for T cell ci	eukopenia should discriminate SCID patients [6]. A new PCR test rcular DNA is being developed [1,4]. No test has been validated in eral population in a public health setting.
Not avail	able evidence at the present time.
Not avail	able evidence at the present time.
Not avail	able evidence at the present time.
No.	
No.	
No.	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	38%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	51%
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	86%
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	89%
Prevention of mortality	Yes	93%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available (lack of consensus) (*)	73%
Acute management	Limited availability	44%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	9%

	Regional centers for bone marrow transplant are available; subsequent follow- up widely available. Bone marrow transplant prior to infection complications on the order of \$100,000; subsequently patient may be cured or may need IVIG monthly for some years [3].			
	Up to 95% survival; around 50% are completely cured, with others requiring IVIG [3,5,6,7].			
	Survival and better immune restoration [3,5,6,7]. Transplant cost would be \$40,000 if done early vs. cost care for infections and transplant of about \$1,000,000 after symptoms.			
Genetic counseling, carrier detection and prenatal diagnosis available [8,9,10,11].				
	Yes [5].			
	Cell surface markers to enumerate T and B cells widely available; pediatric immunology centers needed for specific phenotype and genotype determination [10].			
	Bone marrow transplantation, either from HLA-matched sibling, half-matched parent or matched unrelated donor [11].			
	Initial treatment, BMT, complex; follow-up by pediatric immunologists. IVIG can be admistered at home with immunologist guidance; self administered subcutaneous immunoglobulin is gaining favor in US, already widely used in Europe [10,12].			



ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform panel (no test)

1047 /2100

0.33 %ile

Observed significant discrepancies with literature

% of max score 50%

Yes

COMMENT

Final score

Rank:

SCID includes 9 conditions (IL-7Ra, CD45, JAK3, RAG1, RAG2, Artemis, ADA deficiency and XL-SCID) [9]. New methodologies are in trials for screening by way of PCR test for T cell circular DNA but this test is not yet validated in a general population. Significant discrepancies between literature and surveys involved availability of a test.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

97S

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

OTHER GENETIC AND NON-GENETIC CONDITIONS

COND	ITION	Alpha-1-Antitry	ypsin	deficiency		
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Genetic condition Found predominantly in Caucasians.				
ETH	NICITY					
SCREENING METH		Isoelectric focusing; fluorometric enzyme inhibition assays				
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 0 c	of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 18	V	alid scores: 285	88%	PubMed references (August 2004) 9,770		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene PI Locus 14q32.1 OMIM 107400		
Criteria		Consensus	max			
The condition		14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	>1:25,00	00	74%	PI ZZ genotype is 1/7000 Caucasians; 1/3,000 Scandinavians. Studies in the US showed a prevalence of 1/2,857 - 1/5,0097. Rare in Blacks and Asians. The PI S allele is also associated with A1AT deficiency. (Not a disease incidence) [1-3].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	83%	Jaundice is only rarely appreciated in neonates (though 10% may have it) with PI ZZ genotype. Liver or lung disease have later onset [4-9].		
Burden if untreated	Moderate		54%	Highly variable. About 17% of infants with PI ZZ will show clinically recognizable abnormalities of liver function. About 10% of these may have a poor prognosis. Major risk is for later onset obstructive lung disease [4-9]. Small risk of hepatoma [4,5].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		61%	Isoelectric focusing and silver staining was used in Sweden [10-12].		
Doable in DBS or by	Yes		59%	Yes, see [1,10].		
physical method High throughput	No		29%	Yes, see [1-3].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1	(test)	23%	No, stand-alone assay.		
Multiple analytes	No		0%	Yes, multiple PI variants are detected [12].		
Secondary targets	No		0%	No.		
Multiplex platform	No		0%	No.		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited : consens	availability (lack of us) (*)	44%	The "treatment" in response to screening positively for PI ZZ is avoidance of smoking by children [10]. The liver disease seen in 2-3% of cases cannot be prevented. However, liver transplantation for severe disease is available. A1AT augmentation therapy is of limited availability [4,5,18,20].		
Efficacy of treatment		to prevent SOME consequences	25%	Avoidance of smoking significantly delays the onset of chronic obstructive lung disease [1,6,18].		
Benefits of early intervention	interventi	nce that early on optimizes outcome	15%	Avoidance of smoking significantly delays the onset of chronic obstructive lung disease [1,7,16].		
Benefits of early identification		enefits to family	44%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [4,7].		
Prevention of mortality	1		7%	About 2.5% of individuals with A1AT deficiency die of cirrhosis by age 18 yrs. Preventive measures related to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease lengthen life span [3,9].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely a	vailable	85%	PI typing by isoelectric focusing and molecular diagnostics [10].		
Acute management	Limited	availability	62%	The range of liver and pulmonary disease in individuals with Pi ZZ requires multiple specialists and may be restricted to centers. Liver and lung transplant for severe cases. Human α 1AT therapy in some clinically affected cases [1,3,11,13].		
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	40%	The range of liver and pulmonary disease in individuals with PI ZZ requires multiple specialists and may be restricted to centers where transplantation and specialized therapies are available [3].		



COND	ITION Biliary atresia		
TYPE of DISC	DRDER May be final comm	non endr	point for a variety of infectious, genetic or congenital disorders
	NICITY Panethnic		
SCREENING METH	IOD(S) No test		
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 0	of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 15	Valid scores: 237	88%	PubMed references (August 2004) 2,266
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene EHBA Locus unknown OMIM 210500
Criteria	Consensus	max	
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:50,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	43%	1:500 - 2,500 have hyperbilirubinemia due to cholestatic hepatobiliary disease and 1:10,000 - 20,000 due to extrahepatic biliary atresia. About 1:8,000 in total [1,2,3].
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases (lack of consensus) (*)	65%	Jaundice is very common in neonates with 2.4 - 15% remaining jaundiced beyond 14 days [4,5].
Burden if untreated	Profound	93%	Life threatening bleeding or brain damage from vitamin K malabsorption [6]. Most all would die of complications of biliary atresia without surgery (portoenterostomy) or liver transplant [11].
The test			
Screening test	No	15%	No general-population vaildated screening test for bilirubin is available[7,8]. MS/MS for bile acids at three weeks of life had inadequate sensitivity [9].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	17%	Not applicable.
High throughput	No	0%	Not applicable.
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	9%	Not applicable.
Multiple analytes	No	0%	Not applicable.
Secondary targets	No	10%	If screened by bilirubin, there would be many potential etiologies.
Multiplex platform	No	10%	Not applicable.
The treatment			Surgery for biliary atresia prior to 60 days of life is widely
Availability & cost	Not available	27%	available and includes 'Centers of Excellence.' Liver transplants are widely available, though limited by cost and access [10-14].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	34%	Surgery prior to 60 days resolves jaundice in 50-75% of cases, 87% of which have 15+ yrs. survival. Most ultimately need transplant due to progressive biliary cirrhosis even if biliary drainage is established [10-14].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	50%	When portoenterostomy is done prior to 60 days of life while native liver is still present, survival is significantly improved. Most patients experince medical problems after surgery [10-16].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	63%	Bilirubin screening would identify disorders with biliary atresia and other heritable conditions (e.g., α-1-antitrypsin deficiency, G6PD deficiency, Allagille syndrome) that would inform family members of risks [2,14].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	71%	Surgery prior to 60 days resolves jaundice in 50-75% of cases. 80 - 90% of which have 15+ yrs. survival versus death by age 1 [10,12-14].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	79%	There is an extensive differential diagnosis depending on ascertainment. Some diagnostic procedures and tests are less widely available [11-14].
Acute management	Limited availability	44%	Surgery for biliary atresia is of moderately limited availability [11-14].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	7%	Involvement of specialist, particularly following liver transplant is needed [11-14].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



102S

Genetics IN Medicine

COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH NBS STATUS in	DRDERInborn error of meNICITYHighest incidenceHOD(S)Colorimetric assay	tabolism in Cauc (incons			
Responses: 68	Valid scores: 1,198	98%	PubMed references (August 2004): 349		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria The condition	Consensus	% of max score	Gene BTD Locus 3p25 OMIM 253260 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	>1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	31%	1:61,319 in US newborn screens of 12,754,403 newborns [1]. Profound (<10%) and partial (10-30%) defects of serum activity have been described in nearly equal proportions [2].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	96%	Presentation is usually between 3 and 6 months. Non- penetrant cases have been described [2,3].		
Burden if untreated	Profound	84%	Developmental delay, hypotonia, hearing loss, optic atrophy myoclonic seizures, skin rash, alopecia, ataxia and death [3,4,12,14].		
The test					
Screening test	Yes	98%	Semi-quantitative or qualitative colorimetric assay [3,5,7].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	99%	Yes [5].		
High throughput Yes		86%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [5,6,7].		
Overall cost <\$1	Overall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		Ranges from \$0.30 - \$1.00 [8].		
/lultiple analytes No		20%	No.		
Secondary targets No		19%	No. Cases with holocarboxylase synthetase deficiency (MCD) have normal biotinidase activity [11].		
Multiplex platform No		19%	No. Anecdotal reports of cases detected by MS/MS acylcarnitine profiling.		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Widely available	99%	Biotin treatment is widely available and inexpensive (\$100 - \$300 per year) [8].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent ALL negative consequences	85%	Rapid and usually complete regression of symptoms. Hearing loss and optic atrophy are less reversible [9,10,11,12].		
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcomes	88%	Complete prevention of clinical manifestations [9,10,11,12].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family & society	92%	Identification of other at-risk family members; genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [9].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes	82%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life- threatening events [9,10].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	64%	Serum biotinidase assay, urine organic acids (3-OH isovaleric acid), plasma and urine acylcarnitines (C5-OH). Stability and heat-sensitivity of biotinidase activity could be an issue.		
Acute management	Widely available	80%	Rapid regression of symptoms with biotin treatment [3,13].		
Simplicity of therapy	Primary care, family level	82%	5-20 mg/day of biotin po [3].		



CONDITION Cystic fibrosis						
TYPE of DISORDER Genetic condition						
ETH	NICITY	and the state of the state of the second	ntly in Caucasians of Western European ancestry and seems to be less Americans and Hispanics; rare in Asians and Asian-Americans.			
SCREENING METHOD(S) Immunoreactive try		ypsinogen (IRT) plus 2nd tier DNA				
NBS STATUS in			of 51 states, 7% of annual births (August 2004)			
100 017100 11	the 00	Screened for in 5 c	51 51 514			
Responses: 65	V	alid scores: 1,086	96%	PubMed references (August 2004): 23,628		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene CFTR Locus CFTR OMIM 219700		
Criteria		Consensus	max	Sussellation and set of the lot of the set of the		
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	>1:5,000)	95%	CF occurs in 1:3,721 in 1,459,834 screened US newborns [2]. 1:2,500 Caucasians, 1:8,000 Hispanics, 1:15,300 African Americans, 1:32,000 Asian Americans [1,3,4].		
Phenotype at birth	<25% of	cases	76%	Fetuses and newborns with meconium ileus may be detected prior to or at birth [5].		
Burden if untreated	Profound	d	84%	3 Late diagnosis is associated with more severe pulmonary disease [6, 7].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		92%	The screening test algorithm involves IRT followed by IRT or DNA (mutation distribution varies) testing [8].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		90%	IRT/DNA done in dried blood spots [9].		
High throughput	Yes		68%	IRT is high throughput; DNA testing is moderate throughput [10].		
Overall cost <\$1	No		38%	Wide variability in costs per birth [10].		
Multiple analytes	No		25%	No.		
Secondary targets	No		23%	No.		
Multiplex platform	No		24%	Not for IRT; second tier multiplex DNA testing is available.		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited a consens	availability (lack of us) (*)	67%	Improved nutritional support [11]. Most CF centers are at academic medical centers so they are of moderate availability.		
Efficacy of treatment		I to prevent SOME consequences	32%	Early identification improves growth over the short term and reduces infections. Morbidity reduction increases lifespan. Mortality is reduced in early childhood [11].		
Benefits of early intervention	interventio	dence that early n optimizes individual ack of consensus) (*)	50%	Interventions ameliorate and/or delay onset of some features [10].		
Benefits of early identification		DME benefits to family d society		Genetic counseling, molecular testing and prenatal diagnosis are available [3].		
Prevention of mortality	/ No		31%	Mortality delayed, but not normal. Benefit apparent in some studies (Wales) but not in others (Australia) suggesting reduced mortality in infants in screened populations [13].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely a	Videly available		Sweat testing is widely available and DNA testing is readily accessible [10].		
Acute management	Limited a	availability	72%	Pulmonology and infectious disease management widely available. CF Centers are distributed nationally [3].		
	Regular	involvement of	26%	Varies with symptoms [3].		



Primary target, inclusion in uniform panel

COMMENT

Cystic fibrosis screening is supported by a growing body of evidence. Nutritional benefits shown by improved growth were less pronounced after 5 years than they appeared in the first 1 - 2 years but do persist for many years. However, recent evidence suggests that nutritional benefits may have a positive influence on cognitive abilities and also have a positive influence by improving pulmonary function, though the data was not published at the time we ceased collections (February, 2004). CF screening should be reevaluated based on this evidence that is expected to improve its rating.

Genetics IN Medicine

Duchenne (DMD) and Becker (BMD) muscular dystrophy

TYPE of DISORDER ETHNICITY

CONDITION

Genetic condition Panethnic

SCREENING METHOD(S) NBS STATUS in the US Creatine kinase by fluorescent spot assays where screening is done

Screened for in 0 of 51 states, 0% of annual births (August 2004)

Responses:	20	Valid scores:	491	92%

Criteria	Consensus	max
The condition	Consensus	score
Incidence	>1:25,000	71%
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	93%
Burden if untreated	Profound	83%

The test

Screening test	Yes	52%
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	62%
High throughput	No	52%
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	30%
Multiple analytes	No	4%
Secondary targets	No	22%
Multiplex platform	No	9%

The treatment

Availability & cost	Not available	30%
Efficacy of treatment	Treatment efficacy not proven	10%
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	14%
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society (lack of consensus) (*)	53%
Prevention of mortality	Not available	4%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	73%
Acute management	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	53%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	15%

PubMed references (August 2004) 5184

Gene	DMD BMD	Locus	Xp21.2 12q21	OMIM	310200; 300376
	BWD				300376

Birth incidence in northern England of DMD is 1:5,618 males and of BMD is 1:18,540 [1], 1:3,000 overall [2].

DMD usually presents in early childhood [2,3].

DMD progresses rapidly to being wheelchair bound by 12 yrs., cardiomyopathy in late teens and death in third decade. BMD progresses more slowly to a mean age of death in the 40s [3,4].

Creatine kinase is used in countries that screen [5].	
Yes, see [5].	
No.	
No, stand-alone assay.	
No.	
No.	
No.	

No definitiv [4,8,12].	e treatment currently exists for DMD and BMD
No definitiv [4,8,12].	e treatment currently exists for DMD and BMD
	e treatment currently exists for DMD and BMD. It can improve quality of life and can prolong life [4,8,12]
Genetic co 10].	unseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [4,6-
Survival ca	n be prolonged but treatment is not curative [4].
Clinical fea	tures [4,11] and molecular diagnostics [6,7].
Neuromus available [4	cular and neurogenetic physicans are not readily I.
	cular and neurogenetic physicans are not readily Specialist involvement is ongoing [4].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Yes		Туре		0	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?		No	
Final score	776	/2100	% of max score		37%	
Rank:	0.12	%ile				
Observed signification	nt discrer	ancies w	vith literature		No	

ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform panel (test available)

COMMENT

Lack of benefits of treatment contributed most to the low score for screening. Innovative therapies are in clinical trials [13].

RE	FERENCES AND WEB SITES
1	Bushby KM et al. Prevalence and incidence of Becker muscular dystrophy. Lancet 1991;337:1022-4.
2	Worton et al. The X-linked muscular dystrophies. In: Scriver et al. eds. The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 7th Ed. New York, McGraw Hill, New York, 1994, p 1495.
3	Worton RG et al. The Muscular Dystrophies. In: Scriver et al. eds. The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8th Ed. New York, McGraw Hill, New York, 2001;5493-523.
4	Korf BR et al. Dystrophinopathies. (as of 8-3-04) Gene Reviews http://geneclinics.org/
5	American Academy of Pediatrics. Newborn Screening fact sheets: Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Pediatrics 1996;98:498- 9.
6	Multicenter Study Group. Diagnosis of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies by polymerase chain reaction. JAMA 1992;267:2609-15.
7	Prior TW et al. Spectrum of small mutations in the dystrophin coding region. Am J Hum Genet 1995;57:22-53.
8	Fenton May J et al. Screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Arch Dis Child 1994;70:551-2.
9	Brennan J et al. Family adjustment in cystic fibrosis - implications for management. Austral Psychologist 1987;22:82.
10	Pollitt RJ et al. Neonatal screening for inborn errors of metabolism: cost, yield, and outcome. Health Technology Assessment 1997;1:63-4.
11	Jennekins FG et al. Diagnostic criteria for Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy and myotnic dystrophy. Neuromusc Disord 1991;1:389-91.
12	Bogdanovich S et al. Therapeutics for Duchenne muscular dystrophy: current approaches and future directions. J Mol Med 82:102-15.
13	Aartsma-Rus A et al. Comparative analysis of antisense oligonucleotide analogs for targeted DMD exon 46 skipping in muscle cells. Gene Therapy 2004;11:1391-8.

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Familial hypor	ahalaat	torolomia (hotorozygota)	
		cholesi	terolemia (heterozygote)	
TYPE of DISC	DRDER Genetic Condition	Genetic Condition		
ETH	NICITY Panethnic but high	er in Frei	nch Canadians in Quebec, Afrikaners and Lebanese.	
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) No test			
NBS STATUS in		f 51 etat	es, 0% of annual births (August 2004)	
100 017100 11	Screened for in 0 c	1 01 State		
Responses: 25	Valid scores: 393	87%	PubMed references (August 2004) 4849	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene <i>FHC</i> <i>LDLR</i> Locus 19p13.2; 1q21- <i>q23; 9q22-</i> OMIM 143890	
Criteria	Consensus	max		
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]	
Incidence	>1:5,000	90%	Heterozygotes are 1:500; homozygotes are 1:1,000,000 [1,2].	
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	96%	Heterozygotes have cholesterol levels of 350 - 550 mg/dl but little other phenotype during the first decade [2,3].	
Burden if untreated	Moderate	53%	Tendon xanthomas in 2nd decade and coronary heart disease in 4th decade [4].	
The test				
Screening test	No	43%	No sensitive and specific test that is validated in a general population exists. Blood spot assays have been described [5,6]. Specificity is poor [7].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	29%	Assays not validated in general populations [7].	
High throughput	No	33%	Assays not validated in general populations [7].	
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	22%	Assays not validated in general populations [7].	
Multiple analytes	No	17%	Assays not validated in general populations [7].	
Secondary targets	No	29%	Assays not validated in general populations [7].	
Multiplex platform	No	19%	Assays not validated in general populations [7].	
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Widely available	86%	Low-saturated fat and low cholesterol diets. Statins can lower cholesterol levels by 10 - 20% and are widely available [8].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	34%	Statins can lower cholesterol levels by 10 - 20% and are widely available [8]. Pravastatin induces regression of carotid atherosclerosis in children with FH with no adverse effects on growth, sexual maturation or hormone levels. Slowing of progression of coronary atherosclerosis [9].	
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	30%	Statins slow the progression of coronary atherosclerosis [8,9].	
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	46%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis available. Identification of other at-risk family members [10].	
Prevention of mortality	Yes	58%	Slowing of progression of coronary atherosclerosis prolongs life [9].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	84%	Elevated plasma LDL usually shown by elevated cholesterol without hypertriglyceridemia is widely available. LDL receptor function tests less widely available [1,2,11].	

Cholesterol lowering statins are widely available. HMG CoA reductase available. LDL apheresis for homozygotes is available [2,10].

Dietary management and monitoring require periodic involvement of specialists [2].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

Widely available

specialist

Periodic involvement of

Acute management

Simplicity of therapy

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

88%

52%



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	No		Туре	No test	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	lition?	N	lo
Final score	1038	/2100	% of max score 4		49%
Rank:	0.3	%ile			
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	es with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Not included	in uniform panel	(no test)
--------------	------------------	-----------

COMMENT

A screening test for familial hypercholesterolemia heterozygosity has not been validated in large general US population. It is clear that the elevated LDL associated with this disorder results in development and significant progression of atherosclerosis at an early age. Treatment can prolong life for many years. Studies of cholesterol and apolipoprotein B testing in newborn dried blood spots or at times early in childhood is required.

diagnosis of homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. Arteriosclerosis 1985;5:440. 12 Goldstein JL et al. Receptor-mediated endocytosis of LDL in cultured cells. Methods Enzymol 1983;98:241. No hax score 49%

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION	Fragile X synd	rome		
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Genetic condition			
ETH	NICITY	Panethnic [1].			
SCREENING METH	HOD(S)	No test available a	t preser	nt time	
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 0 c	of 51 sta	ites, 0% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 35	V	alid scores: 613	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 3356	
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene FMR1 Locus Xq27.3 OMIM 309550	
Criteria		Consensus	max		
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]	
Incidence	>1:5,000)	88%	1:4,000 males; 1:8,000 females [2].	
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	90%	Non-specific and often subtle phenotype in newborns [3].	
Burden if untreated	Severe		73%	Moderate-severe mental retardation with behavioral abnormalities in males [4]. Average IQs of 75-90 in full mutation females [5,6].	
The test					
Screening test	No		36%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting. No screening test available for FMR1 repeat expansions.	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No		36%	No.	
High throughput	Yes		16%	No.	
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1	/test)	0%	% Not applicable.	
Multiple analytes	No		3%	Not applicable.	
Secondary targets	No		3%	No.	
Multiplex platform	No		6%	Not applicable.	
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Limited consens	availability (lack of sus) (*)	44%	Symptomatic interventions to maximize vision and hearing, speech and language therapy, early learning intervention [6-8].	
Efficacy of treatment	Treatme proven	nt efficacy not	12%	Symptomatic interventions are proven. Early intervention should optimize but not normalize long-term cognitive outcome [9].	
Benefits of early intervention	interventi	vidence that early on optimizes l outcome	26%	Early intervention can improve intellectual function, behavioral techniques assist with some behavioral problems [7].	
Benefits of early identification	SOME band soci	enefits to family ety	71%	Average age of diagnosis is 30-34 months. Early identification allows for family planning [10].	
Prevention of mortality	No		6%	Life expectancy is not markedly reduced in fragile X syndrome [6,7].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely a	available	81%	Molecular testing for FMR1 repeat amplification is widely available [11].	
Acute management	Limited	availability	63%	Symptomatic treatment of seizures, otitis media, etc. is generally available though coordination of care by an experienced professional is useful [6,7].	
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	26%	Multidisciplinary care is required [6,12].	

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



ASSESSMENT

the second se					
Not include	4 1	uniform	nanal	Ina tan	41
Not include	1 111	unitorni	Dariel	tho tes	u

Observed significant discrepancies with literature

COMMENT

There is no screening test available currently. Survey respondents indicated two areas of benefit from identification. Early intervention programs can improve intellectual outcome, though not normalize outcome. There was value placed on the knowledge of the disorder in an offspring to the family that was able to consider this in reproductive planning since most families have completed child-bearing by the time the first diagnosis of fragile X syndrome in an offspring is made.

Genetics IN Medicine

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

No

CONDITION	Hearing loss	
TYPE of DISORDER	Multiple types (syndromal 15%)	
ETHNICITY	Ethnic differences in incidence and mutation distribution of specific genetic forms.	
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Audiometry (TEOAE, BAER, OAE)	
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 42 of 51 states, 88% of annual births (August 2004)	

Responses: 42	Valid scores: 740	98%	PubMed references (August 2004):	1,854	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene Many Locus Many	OMIM Many	
Criteria	Consensus	max			
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVID	ENCE [References]	
Incidence	>1:5000	95%	% Profound hearing loss occurs in 1:1,000 US newborns [1, 2, 3		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	83%	May not be apparent in neonates with non-syndromal forr (85%) [1,4].		
Burden if untreated	Severe	74%	Severe hearing loss [3, 5].		

The test

Screening test	Yes	89%	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes (Audiometry)	80%	
High throughput	No	13%	
Overall cost <\$1	No	10%	
Multiple analytes	No	3%	
Secondary targets	No	16%	
Multiplex platform	No	3%	

First available in mid-1960s [3, 6, 7].	
See [6, 7].	
Test is functional and done on each newborn [3].	
\$10 - \$24 per newborn varying by test format chose	n [8].
No.	
May detects many etiologic forms of hearing loss [9].
No.	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	70%	Habilitation Language. A intervention
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	47%	Varies with t significantly
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	68%	Educational
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	91%	Identification
Prevention of mortality	No	8%	Mortality ma issue in mos
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	83%	Confirmation determination
Acute management	Widely available	77%	Varies if syn
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of a specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	43%	Varies with t

	options are cochlear implants, American Sign Availability and cost relates to invasiveness of n [10, 11].
	treatment chosen. Educational performance y improved [5].
Educationa	I performance significantly improved [5].
Identificatio	on of relatives [3, 12,13,14].
Mortality m	ay be prevented in syndromal cases [15]. Not an ost forms.
	on of hearing loss is widely available but ion of genetic etiology is less widely available [16].
Varies if sy	ndromal or nonsyndromal [1].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement





Genetics IN Medicine

CON	DITION Hyperbilirubir	nemia (kernicterus)	
TYPE of DISORDER Multifactorial and p		polygenic		
rubinometer in clinical trials [1,2].				
NBS STATUS in	n the US Screened for in 0	of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 6	Valid scores: 108	10%	PubMed references (August 2004) 1066	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene many Locus many OMIM many	
Criteria	Consensus	max		
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]	
Incidence	>1:25,000	58%	1:10,000-15,000 newborns have extremely high bilirubin (>30mg/dl) levels [3,4]. Current incidence of kernicterus is not known but is estimated at 1:27,000.	
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	63%	Jaundice may be apparent but the severity of the jaundice may be difficult to recognize in some infants [3,4].	
Burden if untreated	Profound	100%	The clinical features of kernicterus vary, and up to 15 percent of infants have no obvious neurologic symptoms. Mortaility rate is 4% [5].	
The test				
Screening test	Yes	83%	No sensitive and specific test has been validated in a large general population [1,2,6-9].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	83%	Tests currently being validated are in-nursery measures of bilirubin.	
High throughput	Yes	80%	No sensitive and specific test that is validated in a large general population is available [1,2,6,7].	
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	80%	No. Based on the cost of reagents.	
Multiple analytes	No	0%	No.	
Secondary targets	No	0%	Hyperbilirubinemia is associated with a number of disorders.	
Multiplex platform	No	0%	No.	
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Widely available	100%	Treatment for hyperbilirubinemia (phototherapy, breast-feeding) is widely available, though treatment for other features seen in forms with specific etiologies may be less widely available [3,4,7,8].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent ALL negative consequences	83%	Hyperbilirubinemia is treatable with normal outcome [3,4,7-9].	
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	100%	The great majority of etiologies of hyperbilirubinemia are treatable with normal outcome [3,4,8].	
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	92%	Normal outcomes maximize the potential of individuals to contribute to society.	

100%

100%

100%

88%

Significant reduction in mortality rates [3,5,8].

Diagnostic protocols are widely available [3].

Management guidelines are widely available [3,11].

Management of the great majority of cases is simple [3].

Prevention of mortality

Confirmation of

Acute management

Simplicity of therapy

diagnosis

Yes

Widely available

Widely available

Primary care, family level



Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Neuroblasto	ma	
TYPE of DISORDER Genetic Condition		n	
ETHNICITY Panethnic			
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) No test		
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in (0 of 51 sta	ates, 0% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 14	Valid scores: 242	96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 21550
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene NBS Locus 1p36.3-p36.2 OMIM 256700
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max	
	4.05.000	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:25,000	61%	1:7,000 children [1,2,3].
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	84%	Median age at diagnosis of this well described condition is 22 months [1].
Burden if untreated	Severe	70%	Varies with stage of disease. Stages 3 and 4 have a two-year disease-free survival range of 30-40% [1,4,5].
The test	T		
Screening test	No	38%	No (due to poor test performance). 5 - 10% of cases lack elevated urinary catecholamines at age 3 weeks [6,7]. Test lacks sensitivity for those with the most severe forms [6,8] and identifies many with tumors that spontaneously regress [9,10].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	15%	Yes, but test lacks sensitivity [6,8].
High throughput	No	15%	Yes, but test lacks sensitivity [6,8].
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	8%	Not applicable.
Multiple analytes	No	8%	Not applicable.
Secondary targets	No	8%	Not applicable.
Multiplex platform	No	0%	Not applicable.
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Limited availability	46%	Chemotherapy, surgery, radiation, bone marrow transplant and stem cell therapy [1,11,12].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOMI negative consequences	41%	Screening at 3 weeks and 6 months of age [6] and at 1 yr. [8] had no effect on mortality. In 7 million Japanese screened newborns, a marginal decrease in mortality was seen [14]. There was no decrease in advanced disease in older children [9-12].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	50%	Screening at 3 weeks and 6 months of age [6] and at 1 yr. [8] had no effect on mortality. There was no decrease in advanced disease in older children [9-12].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	61%	Some rare forms of familial cancer may be identified [1].
Prevention of mortality		61%	Screening does not reduce neuroblastoma-associated mortality [6,8]. In 7 million Japanese screened newborns, a marginal decrease in mortality was seen [14].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	64%	Tumor histology showing neural origin or differentiation and staging of tumors requires specialists [11,12], and NMYC testing is widely available through COG affiliated programs.
Acute management	Limited availability	64%	Tumor staging and chemotherapy, surgery, radiation and other treatments are not widely available [1,11-14].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	29%	Regular involvement of pediatric oncologists is required for management [1].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



Genetics IN Medicine

CONDITION Smith-Lemli-C		Dpitz s	yndrome			
TYPE of DISC		rder of cholesterol biosynthesis				
		Northern Europeans and less common in Asia and Africa.				
SCREENING METHOD(S) No test available			at the present time			
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 0	of 51 sta	ates, 0% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 45	Valid scores: 784	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 462			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene SLOS Locus 11q12-q13 OMIM 270400; 268670			
Criteria	Consensus	max				
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	>1:75,000	38%	1:20,000 - 40,000 [1-3].			
Phenotype at birth	<50% of cases	44%	Newborns may have clefts and other dysmorphology, congenital heart disease. Males may show genital anomalies [4].			
Burden if untreated	Profound	87%	Mental retardation in 95-97% of patients [5]. More than 90% have microcephaly [4,5]. Frequent early lethality in type II [6].			
The test						
Screening test	No (lack of consensus) (*)	45%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting. Determination of cholesterol and 7-dehydrocholesterol in dried blood spots is technically feasible by MS/MS and may be applicable to newborn screening [7-10].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	37%	Not applicable.			
High throughput	No	20%	Not applicable.			
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	15%	Not applicable.			
Multiple analytes	No	15%	Not applicable.			
Secondary targets	No	17%	Not applicable.			
Multiplex platform	No	21%	Not applicable.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availability	63%	Dysmorphology expertise is of limited availability. Experience with SLO diagnosis, complications and treatment is needed [4,5].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	16%	Clefts, Hirschsprung disease and congenital heart disease can be treated surgically [11].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	29%	Dietary cholesterol supplementation improves behavior, growth and intestinal motility [11-13] but may not enhance developmental progress [14].			
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	60%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [4,15,16].			
Prevention of mortality	No	18%	Treatment of severely affected patients with cholesterol supplementation may improve initial neonatal mortality. Survival is decreased in those with multiple major malformations [4-6].			

Confirmation of

Acute management

Simplicity of therapy

diagnosis

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

44%

46%

32%

Limited availability

Limited availability

(lack of consensus) (*)

Regular involvement of specialist

Plasma/serum 7-DHC and cholesterol levels are the gold standard in

can be useful for family studies and genetic counseling [16-19].

disease and other features is of limited availability [4].

combination with clinical phenotype to establish diagnosis. Molecular testing

SLOS infants are at risk of acute adrenal insufficiency, overwhelming infection and acute respiratory distress syndrome and poor post-surgical wound healing.

Metabolic specialist is required for dietary management [4]. Medical

Experienced surgical management of genital anomalies, congenital heart

management is complex and requires experience in SLOS.




COND	ITION Turner syndro	ome						
TYPE of DISC	ORDER Genetic condition							
FTH	NICITY Panethnic.							
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) No test							
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 0	of 51 sta	ttes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)					
Responses: 36	Valid scores: 625	96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 5193					
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene NA Locus NA OMIM NA					
Criteria Consensus		max						
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]					
Incidence	>1:5,000	85%	1:2,500 - 3,000 female births with 45,X and variants (50+% of cases) [1].					
Phenotype at birth	<50% of cases	54%	20 - 33% are diagnosed as newborns with puffy feet or redundant nuchal skin [2].					
Burden if untreated Moderate			Varies with karyotype. Short stature, hypoplastic left heart or coarctation of aorta can be lethal; 10% developmentally delayed, 7- 30% risk of gonadoblastoma in 5% of cases who are Y mosaics [2,3,4].					
The test								
Screening test No		46%	No studies of TS screening at 24 - 48 hrs post-birth with follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) have been reported. Studies at 5 days and 9 months of age are reported. Some mosaics may achieve menarche and, hence, may be false positive in screening [5,6].					
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	24%	Yes [5,6].					
High throughput	No	9%	Yes [5,6].					
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	3%	Not published.					
Multiple analytes	No	16%	No.					
Secondary targets	No	19%	No.					
Multiplex platform	No	13%	No.					
The treatment								
Availability & cost	Limited availability	50%	Generally available through pediatric endocrinologists [2]. Cost of GH is estimated at \$15,000 - \$29,000 per centimeter of gained final height. Management of renal and cardiac malformations, recurrent otitis media [7].					
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	30%	Recombinant human growth hormone improves growth and may, therefore, reduce psychosocial problems. However, evidence of efficacy is inconsistent and not well studied before age 4 yrs. [8,9,10].					
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome (lack of consensus) (*)	36%	Improvement in final height in GH treated cases has been variable. Most adults with Turner syndrome cope successfully with the short stature [2,11,12].					
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society (lack of consensus) (*)	53%	Some improvement in final height in many [8-11].					
Prevention of mortality	No	23%	Death from cardiac causes is significant and monitoring is recommended [2,4,13].					
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	89%	Chromosome testing is widely available. Mosaicism can complicate predictions of severity [2]. Identification of Y chromosome material is needed to consider gonadoblastoma risk [14].					
Acute management	Limited availability	79%	Management varies with the severity of cardiac defects [16] and renal malformations, diabetes and presence of neoplasia. Well established health supervision protocols exist [2,15].					
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	32%	Simplicity varies with the severity of the associated syndromal features in the patient.					

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

122S



CONDITION Wilson disease		9						
TYPE of DISC	RDER	Genetic condition						
ETH	NICITY	Panethnic.						
SCREENING METH	IOD(S)	No test available at	the pre	esent time				
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 0 d	of 51 Sta	ites, 0% of annual births (August 2004)				
Responses: 25	Va	alid scores: 421	94%	PubMed references (August 2004) 3,395				
SURVEY SCORES Criteria		Consensus	% of max	Gene ATP7B Locus 13q14.3-q21.1 OMIM 277900				
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]				
Incidence	>1:50,00	00	51%	1:30,000 worldwide [1,2]. 1:10,000 in Japan, China and Sardinia [3].				
Phenotype at birth	Almost n	never	91%	Patients typically present with either liver disease (between 10 - 13 yrs in mos cases) or neuropsychiatric disease (usually presenting in the 3rd decade) [2,4,5].				
Burden if untreated Severe		79%	Neurological form progresses to movement disorders or rigid dystonia and widely variable psychiatric disorders including depression. Hepatic form can lead to liver failure [2,5-10].					
The test								
Screening test No		48%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting. Determination of ceruloplasmin in dried blood spots is technically feasible using an ELISA method and may be applicable to population screening. Pilot studies are in progress in the US and Japan [10,11].					
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	1	10%	Yes, but still in pilot testing.				
High throughput	No		19%	Yes, but still in pilot testing.				
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1	/test)	14%	No.				
Multiple analytes	No		0%	No.				
Secondary targets	No		0%	No.				
Multiplex platform	No		0%	No.				
The treatment								
Availability & cost	Limited a	availability	61%	Copper chelating agents and zinc to stimulate metallothinein [1,5,6,9,12,13].				
Efficacy of treatment		I to prevent MOST consequences	55%	Can prevent disease development in the asymptomatic patients and reduce severity in symptomatic cases. However, there is limited data available from those who are treated as newborns or early childhood [1,5,6,9,12,13].				
Benefits of early intervention	interventio	dence that early n optimizes individual lack of consensus) (*)	46%	Can prevent disease development in the asymptomatic patients and reduce severity in symptomatic cases [1,5,6,9,12,13].				
Benefits of early identification	SOME b and soci	enefits to family iety	60%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnostics are available [6,13,14].				
Prevention of mortality	Yes (lac	k of consensus) (*)	56%	Lethal in cases with fulminant hepatic failure if not transplanted [5,6].				
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited a	availability	69%	Clinical evaluation including slit lamp to identify Kayser-Fleisher ring reduced ceruloniasmin: increased liver and urine copper [1,5,6], DN				
Acute management	Limited a	availability	58%	Liver transplantation may be required for fulminant hepatic failure [18,19] and chelating agents and surveillance require involvement of specialists. Well established emergency protocols [6].				
Simplicity of therapy	Regular specialis	involvement of	36%	Management of copper levels requires the involvement of specialists [6].				

124S



COND	ITION X-Linked adre	noleuk	codystrophy				
TYPE of DISC	RDER Inborn error of per	oxisoma	al fatty acid oxidation				
ETH	NICITY Panethnic.						
SCREENING METH	IOD(S) No test available a	at the pre	esent time				
NBS STATUS in			ates, 0% of annual births (August 2004)				
Responses: 38	Valid scores: 668	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 1,386				
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene ABCD1 Locus Xq28 OMIM 300100				
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score					
Incidence	>1.50.000	43%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] 1:17,000 for US males and females combined; 1:20,000 in the				
Incidence	>1:50,000	43%	US, Canada and France, combined [1].				
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	93%	In childhood form, onset is between 4 - 8 yrs; adrenomeloneuropathy (AMN) form presents in late 20's; Addison only form presents between age 2 and adulthood [2,3].				
Burden if untreated Profound		92%	In childhood form, progression to total disability within 2 yrs. AMN form shows progressing paraparesis. Significant varability in expression ranging from asymptomatic to severe childhood form [3,4].				
The test							
Screening test	No	27%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting. Determination of very long chain fatty acids in dried blood spots is technically feasible but hampered by the presence of VLCFA in filter paper.				
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	32%	No available evidence at the present time.				
High throughput	No	17%	No available evidence at the present time.				
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	11%	No available evidence at the present time.				
Multiple analytes	No	22%	No available evidence at the present time.				
Secondary targets	No	22%	No available evidence at the present time.				
Multiplex platform	No	17%	No available evidence at the present time.				
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Not available	32%	Corticosteroid replacement for adrenal insufficiency. Bone marrow transplantation is useful if initiated before or at onset of cerebral manifestations [6,7].				
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	19%	92% five-year survival. However, there is severe disability in most cases [4]. Therapeutic efficacy of Lorenzo's oil continues to be evaluated and debated. It has been reported to have a preventive effect in asymptomatic patients.				
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	25%	Corticosteroid replacement for adrenal insufficiency; bone marrow transplantation for early cerebral disease; and supportive care. Lorenzo's oil may be preventive of cerebral disease [2-4,14,15].				
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	62%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [2,8-10].				
Prevention of mortality	Not available	25%	Corticosteroid replacement for adrenal insufficiency may be life-saving. Bone marrow transplantation shows improved 5-year survival [13].				
Confirmation of diagnosis		51%	Serum VLCFA by GC/MS or MS/MS. Should be done by labs with experience in the biochemical diagnosis of X-ALD. DNA testing may be informative and is available and reliable [2,3,8,9].				
Acute management	Limited availability	40%	Corticosteroids for adrenal insufficiency; bone marrow transplant is not usually part of acute management since it is of limited benefit after onset of cerebral disease [3].				
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	13%	Corticosteroids can be managed by most physicians. Bone marrow transplantation requires specialized teams. Supportive care and coordination require specialist involvement [2].				

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement





126S

tested.

therapeutic agents, but their clinical efficacy has not been

Newborn screening panel and system

AMINO ACID DISORDERS

CONDITION Argininemia						
TYPE of DIS	DISORDER Inborn error, disorde			nino acid metabolism (Urea Cycle Disorder)		
ETH	INICITY	Panethnic, no kno	wn ethic	differences.		
SCREENING MET	HOD(S)	Tandem mass spe				
NBS STATUS ir	n the US	Screened for in 16	of 51 st	tates, 23% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 54	V	alid scores: 950	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 39		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene ARG1 Locus 6q23 OMIM 207800		
Criteria Consensus		max				
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	<1:100,000		2%	Not known; estimated at 1:360,000 births [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never		89%	Variable age of onset of severe symptoms; and usually after neon period though many are suspicious as neonates [2,3].		
Burden if untreated	Burden if untreated Severe		83%	Elevated arginine leading to progressive spastic quadriplegia and mental retardation; hyperammonemic episodes are rarer and milde than in other urea cycle disorders [1,4-6].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		78%	Amino acid profiling by MS/MS may not be of adequate sensitivity prior to 48 hrs. after birth [7].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		83%	See [7].		
High throughput	Yes		73%	500-1,000 specimens per day [7].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1	/test)	49%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [8].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		60%	Yes, arginine and arginine:ornithine ratio are elevated but may not be adequately sensitive in the 48 hrs. after birth.		
Secondary targets	No		45%	No.		
Multiplex platform	Yes		53%	For comprehensive review, see [5].		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	50%	Protein restricted diet and sodium benzoate or phenylbutyrate available but at high cost [4,5,9-12].		
	D / /	1.00115				

6	Natura	I history	with	treatment	is poorly	understood	[5,9].
---	--------	-----------	------	-----------	-----------	------------	--------

Treatment is expected to reduce neurological dysfunction [5,9-12].

Identification of affected relatives; genetic counseling available; prenatal diagnosis available [5,13].

See [5,9]. Plasma amino acid analysis showing markedly elevated arginine and urine orotic acid analysis (markedly elevated). Arginase assay in RBC is of limited availability [5,14].

Metabolic specialist needed. See [4,5].

Restriction of protein intake and supplementation with mixtures of amino acids excluding arginine; lysine and ornithine supplementation, conjugating agents [4,12].

Availability & cost	Limited availability	50%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	37%
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	58%
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	75%
Prevention of mortality	No (lack of consensus) (*)	49%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	62%
Acute management	Limited availability	49%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	22%

128S



Arginase deficiency is a clinically significant condition detected by MS/MS. On the basis of a limited knowledge of natural history, it is considered a secondary screening target. Some experts involved in validation considered that treatment efficacy was similar to that of argininosuccinate synthase deficiency such that it should be a primary target of newborn screening.

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

129S

CONDITION Argininosuccin		nic aci	demia				
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Inborn error, disord	ler of ar	nino acid metabolism (urea cycle defect)			
ETH	NICITY	Panethnic.					
SCREENING METH	HOD(S)	Tandem mass spec	ectrometry (MS/MS)				
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 21	of 51 st	ates, 31% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 60	Va	alid scores: 1,053	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 242			
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene ASL Locus 7cen-q11.2 OMIM 207900			
Criteria The condition	Criteria Consensus		max score				
Incidence	<1:100,0 consens	000 (lack of us) (*)	16%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Not known; estimated at 1:70-180,000 births.			
Phenotype at birth	<25% of		74%	Rarely presents in first 48 hrs. [2, 3].			
Burden if untreated	Burden if untreated Profound		92%	Rapid onset hyperammonemia leading to lethargy, seizures and to coma and death, though less commonly than other urea cycle disorders [2-6].			
The test							
Screening test Yes		78%	Amino acid profiling by MS/MS for citrulline, SRM scan for argininosuccinic acid [7].				
Doable in DBS or by physical method			84%	Yes, see [7].			
High throughput	High throughput Yes		73%	500-1,000 specimens per day [7].			
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		55%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen only for a few diseases [8].			
Multiple analytes	Yes		60%	Citrulline, argininosuccinic acid [7].			
Secondary targets	No		49%	Citrullinemia, citrin deficiency [7].			
Multiplex platform	Yes		58%	For comprehensive review see [7].			
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Limited a	availability	48%	Special formulas are relatively expensive. Arginine supplementation [1-6,9].			
Efficacy of treatment	and the second second	to prevent SOME consequences	42%	Natural history with treatment is poorly understood. Mortality is improved but morbidity remains significant, particularly in neonatal onset cases [6].			
Benefits of early intervention	and the second	lence that early n optimizes outcome	75%	Mortality is improved but morbidity remains significant, particularly in neonatal onset cases [6].			
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR I and soci	penefits to family ety	81%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [2,10].			
Prevention of mortality	Yes		85%	Acute episodes are potentially life-threatening [2,3,9].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited a	availability	64%	Amino acid analysis is generally adequate for diagnoses. Red cell AS lyase enzymology is of limited availability [1,2,5]. Metabolic physicians are of limited availability.			
Acute management	Limited a	availability	48%	Requires metabolic specialist and multidisciplinary team [2, 6,9].			
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of a specialist nsensus) (*)	23%	Metabolic specialists in a multidisciplinary team[2,6,9].			

130S

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	s	Туре	MS	/MS
2ary target of hig	her scor	lition?	N	lo	
Final score	1263	/2100	% of max	score	60%
Rank:	0.65	%ile			
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Primary target, inclusion in uniform panel

COMMENT

Argininosuccinic acidemia meets the criteria for inclusion in the uniform panel. The test is sensitive and specific, secondary targets can be detected, and treatment is available to reduce morbidity and mortality

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

COND	ITION Defects	of biopterin	cofactor biosynthesis				
TYPE of DISC	ORDER Inborn erro	or, disorder of a	der of amino acid metabolism				
ETHNICITY BH4 abnormalitie			common in Saudi Arabia, Brazil, Taiwan and Turkey [1].				
SCREENING METH			ometry (MS/MS), fluorometry and enzyme assays				
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened f	for in 51 of 51 s	states, 100% of annual births (August 2004)				
Responses: 60	Valid scores:	1,047 97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 3,132				
			Gene GCH1 PTS Locus 14q22; 1-q22.2; 11q22.3-q23.3 OMIM 233910; 261640				
SURVEY SCORES Criteria	Consensus	% of	F10 11422.5425.5				
The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]				
Incidence	<1:100,000	3%	Incidence not known [1,2].				
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	90%	Symptoms usually manifest at about 4 months [1,2]. Low birth weight in 6-pyruvoyltetrahydropterin synthase (PTPS) [3].				
Burden if untreated	Profound	92%	80% of cases severe [1,2,4-6].				
The test							
Screening test Yes		85%	MS/MS for hyperphenylalaninemia-associated types [7,8].				
Doable in DBS or by physical method Yes		81%	Yes, see [7,8].				
High throughput	Yes	67%	Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [8].				
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	49%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [9].				
Multiple analytes	Yes	59%	Yes, see [8].				
Secondary targets	Yes	62%	Yes, see [8].				
Multiplex platform	Yes	60%	Yes, see [8].				
The treatment							
	Limited availability	42%	BH4 to control hyperphenylalaninemia and neurotransmitter replacement. Diet management/monitoring require metabolic disease physician [1,2].				
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent negative consequen	39%	Slows neurological deterioration and reduces mortality [10-12].				
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that earl intervention optimizes inc outcome		Slows neurological deterioration and reduces mortality [10-12].				
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to fa and society	mily 78%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis available [13]. Molecular testing is available [15].				
Prevention of mortality	No	48%	No mortality [1,2,12].				
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only in a few centers of consensus) (*)	s (lack 38%	Diagnostic tests (pterins and dihydropteridine reductase to confirm) for HPA are to distinguish benign hyperphenylalaninemia from clinically significant forms [14]. Limited laboratory availability. Metabolic disease physicians for diet management and monitoring.				
Acute management	Only in a few center	s 38%	Dietary management and monitoring as well as neurotransmitter replacement require metabolic physicians and other specialists [1,2].				
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of s (lack of consensus) (*)	pecialist 23%	Dietary management and monitoring as well as neurotransmitter replacemen require metabolic physicians and other specialists [1,2].				



CONDITION Defects of bio			pterin cofactor regeneration				
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Inborn error, disord	der of ar	nino acid metabolism			
ETH	NICITY	Panethnic.					
SCREENING METH	HOD(S)	BIA, DELFIA, tand	dem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)				
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 51	of 51 st	ates, 100% of annual births (August 2004)			
		L					
Responses: 58		alid scores: 1,011	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 3132			
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene QDPR Locus 4p15.31 OMIM 261630 264070			
Criteria		Consensus	max				
The condition	and the second second		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	1%	Incidence not known [1, 2].			
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	88%	Transient neurologic impairment may be apparent in PCD [3]. Symptoms usually appear around 4 months of age [4-7].			
Burden if untreated Profound		90%	No significant long-term abnormalities in PCD. Seizures and neurodegeneration in DHPR as in GTPCH and PTPS [4-7].				
The test							
Screening test Yes		86%	MS/MS for HPA associated types [8, 9].				
Doable in DBS or by physical method Yes		88%	Yes, see [8, 9].				
High throughput	ligh throughput Yes		66%	Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [9].			
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1	/test)	45%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1 - 3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10].			
Multiple analytes	Yes		56%	Yes, see [9].			
Secondary targets	Yes		58%	Yes, see [9].			
Multiplex platform	Yes		55%	Yes, see [9].			
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	41%	BH4 for DHPR to control hyperphenylalaninemia. Dietary management and neurotransmitter replacement. Monitoring of HPA and BH4 require metabolic disease physician [11-12].			
Efficacy of treatment	negative	I to prevent SOME consequences	38%	Slows neurological deterioration and reduces mortality [11-12].			
Benefits of early intervention		dence that early n optimizes individual	64%	Slows neurological deterioration and reduces mortality [11-12].			
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR and soci	benefits to family ety	75%	Genetic counseling, DNA testing and prenatal diagnosis available [13,14].			
Prevention of mortality	No		49%	Reduces mortality [11-12].			
Confirmation of diagnosis		a few centers (lack ensus) (*)	36%	HPA diagnostic tests distinguish benign hyperphenylalaninemia from clinically significant forms. [12] Limited availability of lab and metabolic physicians.			
Acute management	Only in a	a few centers	39%	Dietary management and monitoring as well as neurotransmitter replacement require metabolic physicians and other specialists [1, 2].			
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	21%	Dietary management and monitoring as well as neurotransmitter replacement require metabolic physicians and other specialists [1, 2].			



ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

Simplicity of therapy

specialist

COND	CONDITION Carbamylphos		synthetase deficiency			
TYPE of DISC	ORDER Inborn error of me	tabolism	a, amino acid disorder			
ETH	NICITY No known ethnic o	lifferences				
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) No sensitive and s	pecific t	est			
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 0	of 51 sta	ates, 0% of annual births (as August 2004)			
Responses: 55	Valid scores: 969	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 515			
Responses: 55	Valid scores. 909	90%				
SURVEY SCORES	C	% of	Gene CPS1 Locus 2q35 OMIM 608307			
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	<1:100,000	14%	1:62,000 [1].			
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	68%	Early neonatal onset is common [2, 3].			
Burden if untreated	Irden if untreated Profound		Developmental delay and mental retardation due to hyperammonemia. Lethal without liver transplantation in neonatal-onset cases [3,4].			
The test						
Screening test	reening test No		No. Monitoring of low citrulline levels lacks sensitivity and specificity.			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	29%	No test.			
High throughput	No	22%	No test.			
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	18%	No test.			
Multiple analytes	No	19%	No test.			
Secondary targets	No	23%	CPS, NAGS and OTC deficiency have identical biochemical phenotypes by amino acid analysis.			
Multiplex platform	No	21%	No test.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availability	38%	Protein restricted diet [6,7]; sodium benzoate, phenylacetate or phenylbutyrate [8,9].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	38%	Natural history with treatment is poorly understood. Reduced morbidity and mortality [1,3].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	55%	Natural history with treatment is poorly understood. Mortality improved but morbidity remains significant, particularly in neonatal onset cases [1,3].			
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	80%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [3, 10,11].			
Prevention of mortality	Yes	83%	Yes, with liver transplantation in severe cases [1,3-5,12].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	45%	Plasma amino acid analysis (high GLN and ALA, low CIT) and urine orotic acid. Enzyme assay in liver, rectum, and duodenal tissue [5].			
Acute management	Limited availability	44%	Requires metabolic specialist and multidisciplinary team [3, 5,9].			
Simplicity of thoropy	Regular involvement of	170/	Motobolic specialists in a multidisciplinary team [2.5.0]			

17%



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	N	0	Туре М		test
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	No	test
Final score	833	/2100	% of max score		40%
Rank:	0.17	%ile			
Observed signific	ant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

T OF A STATE						
Not in	ncluded	in	uniform	panel	(no	test)

COMMENT

The amino acid profile by MS/MS cannot detect this condition consistently. Although four states (IA, MS, ND, and PA) have included CPS in their program (none have included OTC deficiency), there is no objective evidence at this time in support of the availability of a screening test. However, if a newborn is found to have significantly low citrulline, CPS and OTC deficiency are clearly clinically significant conditions and as such should be reported as soon as possible. There is a high false positive rate associated with low citrulline levels due to low protein intake in neonates.

-	FERENCES AND WEB SITES
1	Brusilow SW et al. Urea cycle enzymes. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly W, Valle D, editors, The metabolic and molecular basis of inherited disease, 8th ed. New York; McGraw-Hill, 2001:1909-63.
2	Batshaw ML. Hyperammonemia. Current Problems in Pediatrics. 1984; 14: 1 - 69.
3	Summar M, Tuchman M. "Urea Cycle Disorders Overview", www.geneclinics.org
4	Brusilow SW. Urea cycle disorders: clinical paradigm of hyperammonemic encephalopathy. Prog Liver Dis 1995; 13: 293 - 309.
5	Summar M. In: Proceeding of a Consensus Conference for the Management of Patients with Urea Cycle Disorders. Washington, DC, April 27 - 29. J Peds Suppl 2001; 138: S30 - 39.
6	Leonard J et al. The nutritional management of UCDs. In: Proc. of a Consensus Conf. for the Management of Patients with Urea Cycle Dis. Washington, DC, April 27 - 29. J Peds Suppl 2001; 138: S40 - 5.
7	Brusilow S et al. Urea cycle disordersL diagnosis, pathophysiology and treatment. Adv. Pediatr 1996; 43: 127 - 70.
8	Brusilow S et al. Treatment of episodic hyperammonemia in children with inborn errors of urea synthesis. New Eng. J. Med. 310: 1630-1634, 1984.
9	Tuchman M, Batshaw M. Management of inherited disorders of ureagenesis. Endocrinologist 2002; 12: 99 - 109.
10	Finckh U et al. Prenatal diagnosis of carbamoyl phosphate synthetase I deficiency by identification of a missense mutation ir CPS1. Hum Mutat 1998; 12: 206-11.
11	Aoshima T et al. Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase I deficiency: molecular genetic findings and prenatal diagnosis. Prenatal Diagnosis 2001; 21: 634-7.
12	Saudubray JM et al. Liver transplantation in urea cycle disorders. European Journal of Pediatrics 1999; 158 Suppl 2:S55-9.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

137S

COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH NBS STATUS in	DRDER Inborn error of met NICITY Panethnic. HOD(S) Tandem mass spe	abolism ctromet	nosuccinate synthase deficiency) a, amino acid disorder (urea cycle defect) ry (MS/MS) tates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 63	Valid scores: 1,111	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 286		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria The condition	Consensus	% of max score	Gene CTLN1 Locus 9q34 OMIM 215700 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	17%	1:57,000 births [1].		
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	71%	Newborns are usually asymptomatic in first 24-72 hrs. [2,3].		
Burden if untreated	Burden if untreated Profound		Hyperammonemia and encephalopathy leading to coma and death in most undiagnosed cases. Variability based on residual enzyme activity [3].		
The test					
Screening test	Yes	81%	MS/MS neutral loss scan of m/z 102 or MRM m/z 119 for amino acid profiling. Primary marker is citrulline [4].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method		87%	Yes, see [5].		
High throughput	Yes	77%	500-1,000 specimens per day [5].		
Overall cost <\$1	overall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen only for a few diseases [6].		
Multiple analytes	alytes Yes		ARG, ASA, CIT-II, but only for the purpose of differential diagnosis [4,5].		
Secondary targets	No	48%	Citrin deficiency, argininosuccinic aciduria [4].		
Multiplex platform	Yes	62%	For comprehensive review see [4].		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Limited availability	50%	Special formulas are relatively expensive. Arginine supplementation. Treatment with sodium benzoate, phenylacetate and phenylbutyrate [3].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	40%	Outcome largely dependent on neurologic damage prior to treatment and level of metabolic control [7].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	74%	Reduction of morbidity and mortality by aggressive treatment of acute episodes [7,10,11].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR evidence of benefits to family & society	77%	Identification of relatives; genetic counseling available; prenatal diagnosis available in a few centers [1].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes	80%	Acute episodes are potentially life-threatening [7].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	60%	Plasma amino acids, in vitro assay of argininosuccinate synthetase activity. DNA analysis possible, allelic heterogeneity in US [5].		
Acute management	Limited availability	50%	Conjugating agents for acute episodes of hyperammonemia requires a multidisciplinary team [2,3].		
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	21%	Requires metabolic specialist and multidisciplinary team that can be of limited availability [2,3].		



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	es	Туре	MS/MS	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	ю
Final score	1266	/2100	% of max	score	60%
Rank:	0.66	%ile			
Observed signifi	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	Yes

ASSESSMENT

Primary	target.	inclusion	in unifo	orm r	oanel	
1 minuty	unger,	monusion	in units		Junei	

COMMENT

Citrullinemia meets the criteria for inclusion in the uniform panel. The test is sensitive and specific, secondary targets can be detected, and treatment is available to reduce morbidity and mortality.

CON	NOITION	Citrullinemia ty	ype II (citrin deficiency)
TYPE of DIS	ORDER	Inborn error of met	abolism	, amino acid disorder
ETI SCREENING MET NBS STATUS ii		Tandem mass spe	ctrometr	cases are from Japan [1]. y (MS/MS) ates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 38		alid scores: 638	93%	PubMed references (August 2004) 20
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene CTLN2 Locus 7q21.3 OMIM 603471 605814
Criteria The condition		Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	4%	Incidence unknown. Most cases from Japan where the incidence is estimated at 1:100,000 though carrier testing suggests an incidence of 1:20,000 [1,3,11,14].
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	86%	Neonatal form usually presents between 1 - 5 months. [2] Adult form usually presents between ages 11 - 64 yrs. [1, 3].
Burden if untreated	Severe ((*)	lack of consensus)	62%	Neonatal form is managed by protein restriction and may resolve[4]. Adult-onset form progresses to death [1].
The test				
Screening test	Yes		58%	MS/MS neutral loss scan of m/z 102 for amino acid profiling. SRM detection is also used. Primary marker is citrulline [5].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		69%	Yes, see [5].
High throughput	Yes		63%	500 - 1,000 specimens per day [5].

		-
Yes	63%	500 -
No (>\$1/test)	46%	Cost li diseas
Yes	59%	Yes, s
No	46%	Yes, s
Yes	54%	Yes, s
	No (>\$1/test) Yes No	No (>\$1/test) 46% Yes 59% No 46%

MS/MS neutral loss scan of m/z 102 for amino acid pro SRM detection is also used. Primary marker is citrulline	
/es, see [5].	
500 - 1,000 specimens per day [5].	
Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen only for a diseases [6].	few
/es, see [5].	
/es, see [5].	
Yes, see [5].	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	47%	Liver transplantation in adult-onset form is less available and more costly than protein restricted diet of neonatal form [7, 8].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	36%	Dietary treatment is of unknown benefit. Liver transplantation improves mental outcomes [7, 8, 9].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes outcome	40%	Liver transplantation improves mental outcomes and reduces mortality [7, 8, 9].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	54%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [10].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	56%	Liver transplantation significantly reduces mortality [7, 8, 9].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	53%	Elevated citrulline. Mutation analysis is not widely available [3, 11].
Acute management	Limited availability	46%	Hyperammonemia requires metabolic specialist for protein restricted diet and control of ammonia levels [1,13].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	21%	Dietary management and monitoring requires metabolic specialist [1,13].

140S

Genetics IN Medicine



ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

Neonatal and late childhood to adult-onset forms are described. Newly discovered condition, very limited knowledge of natural history. This is a clinically significant condition detected by acylcarnitine profiling to be included in the differential diagnosis of primary targets.

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

CONDITION	Homocystinuria cystathionine β-synthase deficiency	
TYPE of DISORDER	Inborn error, disorder of amino acid metabolism	
ETHNICITY	Higher incidence in Ireland, Australia, Great Britain; lower in Japan.	
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 30 of 51 states, 51% of annual births (August 2004)	

esponses:	Valid scores:	80	1,372	95%	PubMed references (August 2004)	1437
-----------	---------------	----	-------	-----	---------------------------------	------

Gene CBS

SURVEY SCORES	% of	
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score
Incidence	<1:100,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	13%
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	91%
Burden if untreated	Profound	78%

ore	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
3%	1:343,650 in US newborn screens in 12,027,751 newborns [1]. However, molecular studies indicate an incidence of 1:6,000-83,000 due to missed B6-responders [2-4].
1%	Ectopia lentis is rarely apparent in neonates but may become apparent near two years of age [5-7].
3%	Thromboembolism, developmental delay and mental retardation (about 50%) are typical [5-7].

21q22.3

Locus

OMIM

236200

The test

Screening test	Yes	81%	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	95%	
High throughput	Yes	82%	
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	65%	
Multiple analytes	Yes	69%	
Secondary targets	Yes	57%	
Multiplex platform	Yes	63%	

MS/MS [8,9]. test.	Homocysteine can be detected in a second tier
Yes, see [9].	
Up to 500-1,0	00 specimens per day [9].
	her if MS/MS is used to screen for 1 - 3 ly (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10].
No, only meth	ionine [9].
of genetic defe methylation of	BS deficiency, homocystinuria may be due to a variety cts affecting 5-methyltetrahydrofolate-dependent homocysteine [11]. Elevated methionine is also hypermethioninemia [6].
Yes, see [9].	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	71%	Establish pyridoxine responsiveness. Amino acid monitoring and dietary management require a metabolic disease physician [14]. Betaine as an adjunct [6,13].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	46%	Risk of thromboembolic events are reduced. Occurrence of mental retardation appears reduced. Long-term outcome studies have been reported [7,12,13].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes outcome	68%	Long-term outcome studies have been reported. Risk of thromboembolic events are reduced. Occurrence of mental retardation seems to be reduced [7,12,13].
Benefits of early identification	김 사람들은 그렇게 잘 잘 들었다. 그는 것을 가지만 가지만 것을 가지 않는 것 같아. 나는 것을 가지 않는 것을 수 있다.		Genetic counseling is available. At risk carrier relatives are identified [6].
Prevention of mortality	Yes (lack of consensus) (*)	60%	Reduction of thromboembolism risk improves mortality [13].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	76%	Plasma and urine amino acid analysis requires a metabolic disease physician [14, 15]. CBS activity can be measured. Mutation analysis is available.
Acute management	Limited availability	61%	Pyridoxine treatment to prevent thromboembolism [6].
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	40%	Dietary management, betaine administration, and monitoring require metabolic physician [6].

142S

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

0.0110	TION					
COND				(MAT I/III Deficiency)		
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Inborn error of met	abolism	, amino acid disorder		
ETHNICITY Panethnic.						
SCREENING MET	SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spe		ctrometr	y (MS/MS)		
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 0 o	f 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 45	V	alid scores: 732	ores: 732 90% PubMed references (August 2004) 59			
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene MAT1A Locus 10g22 OMIM 250850		
Criteria		Consensus	max			
The condition	-		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	11%	Incidence not known. Great majority of cases were found through newborn screening for homocystinuria [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	94%	Not apparent at birth. Great majority of cases were found through newborn screening for homocystinuria [1].		
Burden if untreated	Mild		29%	Mild MAT I/III deficiencies (e.g. R264H heterozygotes) show no associated clinical manifestation. There is evidence of brain demyelination later in life [2].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		86%	Initially done by BIA [3] MS/MS [4,5].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		91%	Yes, see [4,5].		
High throughput	Yes		81%	Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [5].		
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		63%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1 - 3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [6].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		67%	Methionine [5].		
Secondary targets	Yes	ð - 1	65%	Yes. Cystathionine ß-synthase deficiency; glycine N-methyltransferase deficiency, S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase deficiency, and tyrosinemia I. Generalized liver disease may also be identified [2,5,7-9].		
Multiplex platform	Yes		71%	Yes, see [4,5].		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	70%	S-adenosylmethionine and monitoring of methionine levels require specialist [1].		
Efficacy of treatment		I to prevent SOME consequences	34%	Outcome data is limited to determine if brain demyelination is preventable/reversible with early treatment [2,10,12].		
Benefits of early intervention	Benefits of early NO evidence that early inte		23%	Outcome data is limited to determine if brain demyelination is preventable/reversible with early treatment [2,10,12].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME b and soci	penefits to family iety	44%	Genetic counseling and testing of other family members is available.		
Prevention of mortality	No		15%	Mortality is not a significant component of the condition. [1,2].		
Confirmation of diagnosis		availability	56%	Mat1A mutation analysis; plasma S-adenosylmethionine levels; MAT activity in liver biopsies is now done less frequently since patients are infrequently affected [1,2].		

Neurologic and metabolic disease physicians needed [2]. Monitoring of methionine and S-adenosylmethionine requires specialist involvement [2].

Acute management

Simplicity of therapy

Limited availability

specialist

Periodic involvement of

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

58%

45%

mutations and clinical variations. Am J Hum Genet 2000;66:347-355.



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	s	Туре	MS	/MS
2ary target of high	gher scori	ng condi	tion?	Y	es
Final score	1121	/2100	% of max	score	53%
Rank:	0.37	%ile			

ASSESSMENT

Secondary	target
-----------	--------

COMMENT

The great majority of cases of MATI/III deficiency have been ascertained through screening of newborns for cystathionine ß-synthase deficiency. There is limited outcome data available from treated patients. Since the condition is found as by-product of screening for other core panel conditions, those involved in diagnostic confirmation make the programs aware of the diagnosis and follow-up cases as needed.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

145S

CONI	DITION	Maple syrup (u	irine) c	lisease				
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		der of an	nino acid metabolism					
ETHNICITY No ethnic variability			y though	more common in selected population.				
SCREENING MET	SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spec		ctrometry (MS/MS)					
NBS STATUS in	n the US	Screened for in 32	of 51 st	ates, 57% of annual births (August 2004)				
-				[]				
Responses: 84		alid scores: 1,478	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 877				
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene BCKDHA BCKDHB, DBT, DLD Locus 19q13.1-13.2 OMIM 608348; 248611; 248610; 248611; 246900				
Criteria		Consensus	max					
The condition	21.100 (000 (lack of	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] 1:230,028 in US newborn screening based on 13,801,657				
Incidence	consens		15%	newborns screened [1]. 1:176 in Old Order Mennonites [2].				
Phenotype at birth	<25% of	cases	79%	Nonspecific symptoms at 4-7 days of life; usually affected by 2 yrs [3].				
Burden if untreated	Profoun	d	98%	Coma and death in the more common and severe classic form. Intermittent episodes of metabolic decompensation in intermediate form [3].				
The test								
Screening test	Yes		98%	BIA available. MS/MS neutral loss scan of m/z 102 for amino acid profiling. Primary markers are ILE/LEU and VAL, first reported in 1995 [4].				
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		100%	Yes, see [4].				
High throughput	Yes		86%	500-1,000 specimens per day [5].				
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	2	68%	Cost likely higher if only a few conditions are screened [6].				
Multiple analytes	Yes		75%	Leucine/isoleucine (isomers detected together) and valine [4].				
Secondary targets	Yes		62%	E3 deficiency, BCAA transaminase [3].				
Multiplex platform Yes			68%	For comprehensive review see [5].				
The treatment								
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	62%	Requires metabolic disease specialist and dietician to reduce leucine in diet [8]. Thiamine responsiveness should be assessed.				
Efficacy of treatment	and the second sec	I to prevent MOST consequences	52%	Outcome is improved but not fully normalized [3,7].				
Benefits of early intervention		idence that early n optimizes individual	90%	Outcome is improved but not fully normalized [3,7].				
Benefits of early identification		benefits to family iety	92%	Genetic counseling available [8].				
Prevention of mortality	Yes		93%	Death is common without treatment [3].				
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited	availability	77%	Plasma amino acids, urine organic acids. Alloisoleucine and cellular enzyme diagnosis of BCKD by overall oxidation of 14C-labeled leucine to 14CO2 [3]. Mutation analysis is of limited availability.				
Acute management	Limited	availability	56%	Well established protocols; metabolic specialist are of limited availability [7].				
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	30%	Dietary management and frequent monitoring require metabolic disease specialist and dietician [8].				

146S

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available Yes			Туре	e MS/N	
2ary target of hig	her scori	ng condi	tion?	N	lo
Final score	1483	/2100	% of max	score	71%
Rank:	0.89	%ile			
Observed signific	cant disci	repancie	s with literatu	ire	No

ASSESSMENT

Primary tar	get, inclusion i	in uniform	panel
-------------	------------------	------------	-------

COMMENT

Maple syrup (urine) disease had one of the highest scores of the panel of conditions included in the survey. This condition clearly meets the criteria for inclusion in the uniform panel.

1	NNSGRC, personal communication from Brad Therrell, 2004.
2	Marshall L and DiGeorge A. Maple syrup urine disease in the old order Mennonites. Am J Hum Genet 1981;33:139A.
3	Chuang DT and Shih V. Maple Syrup Urine Disease (Branched- chain ketoaciduria. In: Scriver CR et al. (eds) The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8 ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001;971-2005.
4	Chace DH et al. Rapid diagnosis of maple syrup urine disease in blood spots from newborns by tandem mass spectrometry. Clin Chem 1995;41:62-8.
5	Chace DH et al. Use of mass spectrometry for multianalyte screening of dried blood specimens from newborns. Clin Chem 2003;49:1797-817.
6	National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center. Current newborn conditions by state (as of 07-05-04), http://genes- us.uthscsa.edu/.
7	Yoshino M et al. Management of acute metabolic decompensation in maple syrup urine disease: a multicenter study. Pediatr Int 1999;41:132-7.
8	Seashore M. The organic acidemias: an overview. (as of 12-09- 03) Gene Reviews http://geneclinics.org.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

147S

CONDITION	Ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency	
TYPE of DISORDER	Inborn error, disorder of amino acid metabolism (urea cycle disorder)	
ETHNICITY	Panethnic; no known ethnic differences.	
SCREENING METHOD(S)	No sensitive and specific test	
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 0 of 51 states, 0% of annual births (August 2004)	

Responses:	64	Valid scores: 1,123	97%	PubMed references (August 2004)	2,384	
SURVEY SCO	RES		% of	Gene OTC Locus Xp21.1	OMIM	300461
Criteria	а	Consensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVID	ENCE [References]
Incidence		>1:75,000 (discrepancy with literature)	38%	1:14,000 [1].		
Phenotype at t	oirth	<25% of cases	71%	Early neonatal onset in affected males is relatively common [2,3].		
Burden if untre	ated	Profound	94%	Developmental delay and mental retardation due to hyperammonemia. Usually lethal in symptomatic male newborns [3,4].		

The test

Screening test	No	25%
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	31%
High throughput	No	25%
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	20%
Multiple analytes	No	20%
Secondary targets	No	23%
Multiplex platform	No	26%

No, monitoring of low citrulline l specificity.	evels lacks sensitivity and
No.	
No.	
Not applicable.	
Not applicable.	
OTC, CPS and NAGS deficiency h phenotypes by amino acid analysis OTC deficiency.	
Not applicable.	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	40%	Protein restricted diet [7,8]; sodium benzoate, sodium phenylacetate or phenylbutyrate [9].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	37%	Natural history with treatment is poorly understood. Mortality improved but morbidity remains significant, particularly in neonatal onset cases [1, 6].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes outcome	78%	Aggressive treatment may prevent serious morbidity and mortality if it includes liver transplantation [1, 6].
Benefits of early identification	Clear benefits to family and society	79%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [3].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	83%	Yes, with liver transplantation in severe cases [1, 3-5,10].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	53%	Plasma amino acid analysis and urine orotic acid. Liver biopsy for enzyme assay may still be required in cases with inconclusive genotyping. Mutation analysis is available [5].
Acute management	Limited availability	43%	Requires metabolic specialist and multidisciplinary team [3,5].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of a specialist	16%	Metabolic specialists in a multidisciplinary team [3, 5].

148S

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	N	0	Туре	No	test
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	lo
Final score	942	/2100	% of max score		45%
Rank:	0.27	%ile			
Observed signific	ant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform panel (no test)

COMMENT

The amino acid profile by MS/MS cannot detect this condition consistently. There is no objective evidence at this time in support of the availability of a screening test. However, if a newborn is found to have significantly low citrulline, CPS and OTC deficiency are clearly clinically significant conditions and as such should be reported as soon as possible. There is a high false positive rate associated with low citrulline levels due to low protein intake in neonates.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

14**9**S

COND	ITION Phenylketonu	ria (phe	enylalanine hydroxylase deficiency)	
TYPE of DISC	ORDER Inborn error of me	tabolism	, amino acid disorder	
ETHNICITY Panethnic.				
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) BIA, fluorometric,	enzyme,	tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 51	of 51 st	ates, 100% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 120	Valid scores: 2,083	96%	PubMed references (August 2004): 5,522	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene PAH Locus 12q24.1 OMIM 261600	
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score		
Incidence	>1:25,000	79%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] 1:19,079 by historical US NBS data [1], highest among Caucasians and Hispanic births.	
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	98%	Affected infants usually become apparent by 6 months of age with signs of mental retardation [2].	
Burden if untreated	Profound	95%	Epilepsy (25%), IQs <35 (50%), 36 – 67 (50%), >68 (5%). Microcephaly, delayed or absent speech and behavioral abnormalities are common features [3].	
The test				
Screening test	Yes	99%	BIA available since 1963 [4]. MS/MS neutral loss scan of m/z 102 for amino acid profiling. Primary marker is PHE [5].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	99%	BIA and MS/MS doable in dried blood spots [4,5].	
High throughput	Yes	89%	Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [6].	
Overall cost <\$1	Yes	70%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1 - 3 condition only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [7].	
Multiple analytes	Yes	71%	PHE, TYR, PHE/TYR ratio [5].	
Secondary targets	Yes		Biopterin cofactor biosynthesis and regeneration defects [8].	
Multiplex platform	m Yes (lack of consensus) (*)		For comprehensive review see [9].	
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Limited availability, relatively expensive	79%	Medical foods for PKU are generally available and relatively expensive, though cost effective [10].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent ALL negative consequences	72%	Normalization of phe and tyr in blood prevents cognitive deficits that are attributable to PKU [11,12].	
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	97%	Normalization of phe and tyr in blood prevents cognitive deficits that are attributable to PKU [11,12].	
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR evidence of benefits to family & society	99%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis available [13].	
Prevention of mortality	No	31%	Significant morbidity if untreated but no early increase in mortality [3].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	90%	Diagnostic tests for PKU are to distinguish benign hyperphenylalaninemia from clinically significant forms [3]. Molecular testing is available [14].	
Acute management	Limited availability	78%	Well established protocols [3].	
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	47%	Maintenance of Phe levels in the range of 1-6 mg/dL [2, 11].	



ASSESSMENT

Primary	target	inclusion	in	uniform	nanel
r minun y	unger,	monuoion		unitorini	punci

COMMENT

PKU had the third highest score of the panel of conditions included in the survey. This condition clearly meets the criteria for inclusion in the uniform panel. Differential diagnosis of secondary targets needs to be considered.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

151S

TYPE of DISC		Po. (.	nepatorenal tyrosinemia)	
	TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		nino acid metabolism	
ETH	INICITY Highest in French	Canadia	an (Quebec) at 1:12,500 [1]; 1:100,000 in Northern Europe [2].	
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) Tandem mass spe	ctromet	ry (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 21	of 51 st	tates, 30% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 68	Valid scores: 1,183	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 150	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene FAH Locus 15Q23-Q25 OMIM 276700	
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]	
Incidence	<1:100,000	9%	1:100,000 - 1:120,000 in Northern Europe (Scandinavia) [2].	
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	84%	Liver failure in infancy in acute form (most of those with Type 1) but rarely prior to screening [3].	
Burden if untreated	Profound	93%	Protracted course of liver disease and bleeding as well as hepatocellular carcinoma and death in acute and chronic forms [3, 4].	
The test				
Screening test	Yes	63%	MS/MS [5]. However, the majority of cases are likely missed. Screening by succinylacetone in Quebec proved to be sensitive and specific but was not high throughput [3,6].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	82%	Yes, see [5,6].	
High throughput	Yes	70%	Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [5].	
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	48%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1 - 3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [7].	
Multiple analytes	Yes	52%	Tyrosine, succiniylacetone, methionine [5].	
Secondary targets	Yes	50%	Yes, see [5].	
Multiplex platform	Yes	50%	Yes, see [5].	
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Limited availability	44%	Metabolic physicians are of limited availability; NTBC markedly reduces risk of hepatic or neurologic decompensation [4].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences	49%	NTBC is of clear short-term benefit in management of acute crises. Data are limited on long-term benefits and risks [8,9].	
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes outcome	79%	NTBC has greatly improved survival of patients with acute tyrosinemia and has reduced need for liver transplants in early childhood [8-11].	
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	85%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis available. Molecula testing available [4].	
Prevention of mortality	ortality Yes		NTBC is of clear short-term benefit in management of acute crises. Data are limited on long-term benefits and risks [8-11].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Il imited availability		Hypertyrosinemia and abnormal urinary levels of tyrosine metabolites requires metabolic disease physician involvement. [4,11,12] Fumarylacetoacetase hydroxylase activity can be measured.	
Acute management	Limited availability	54%	Dietary management and NTBC treatment require involvement of metabolic disease physicians, who are of limited availability [4].	
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	30%	Dietary management and NTBC treatment require involvement of metabolic disease physicians, who are of limited availability [4].	

152S

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

153S

CONDITION	Tyrosinemia type II (oculocutaneous tyrosinemia)	
TYPE of DISORDER	Inborn error, disorder of amino acid metabolism	
ETHNICITY	No known ethnic differences. Half of reported cases are of Italian descent.	
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 17 of 51 states, 25% of annual births (August 2004)	

Responses: 57	Valid scores: 975	95%	PubMed references (August 2004)	95	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene TAT Locus 16q22.1-q22.3	OMIM 276600	
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDE	NCE [References]	
Incidence	<1:100,000	5%	Not known (case reports).		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	93%	Variable age of onset. Ocular manifestation may rarely appear at birth. Skin finding usually seen after first year of life [1].		
Burden if untreated	Moderate	64%	Ophthalmologic and skin findings in most. Variable levels of mental retardation [2-5].		

The test

Screening test	Yes	75%	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	93%	
High throughput	Yes	80%	
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	60%	
Multiple analytes	Yes	62%	
Secondary targets	Yes	56%	
Multiplex platform	Yes	67%	

MS/MS [6].	
Yes, see [6].	
Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [6].	
Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [7].	1 - 3
Tyrosine.	
Yes, see [6].	
Yes, see [6].	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	69%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences	59% 54%
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes outcome	
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	71%
Prevention of mortality	No	25%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	55%
Acute management	Limited availability	55%
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	40%

	y management of tyrosine and phenylalanine levels as a metabolic disease physician [3].
Eye ar	nd skin lesions resolve after a few weeks [3, 8].
Eye ar	nd skin lesions resolve after a few weeks [3, 8].
Geneti	c counseling is available.
Reduc	ed mortality is not a significant component of condition.
metabo	yrosinemia and abnormal urinary levels of tyrosine olites with normal phenylalanine require metabolic e physician involvement [4].
Dietary	/ management of tyrosine and phenylalanine levels a metabolic disease physician [3]
	/ management of tyrosine and phenylalanine levels and ring require a metabolic disease physician [3].

154S

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Yes		Туре	MS/MS	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	Y	es
Final score	1249	/2100	% of max score 59		59%
Rank:	0.61	%ile			
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

Transient tyrosinemia of the newborn is the most common amino acid disorder in humans. Metabolic disease physicians are valuable in discriminating among causes of hypertyrosinemia and in dietary management. Newborn screening is based on the detection of an elevated concentration of tyrosine. Elevated methionine may also be present. There is evidence of lower sensitivity with the current testing technology (affected cases with normal concentration when tested at birth) and poor specificity (high rate of false positive results, mostly premature babies and newborns with liver disease of variable etiology).

TE	FERENCES AND WEB SITES		
1	Gounod N et al. Tyrosine oculo-cutanée de type II. Ann Dermatol Venereo 1984;111; 697-8.		
2	Colditz P et al. Tyrosinemia type II. Med J Aust 1984;141:244.		
3	Mitchell G et al. Hypertyrosinemia. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS Valle D (eds) The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8th Ed. McGraw Hill, NY 1777-1805, 2001.		
4	Cerone R. Case report: pregnancy and tyrosinaemia type II. J Inherit Metab Dis 2002;25:317-318.		
5	Tallab, T.M. Richner-Hanhart syndrome: importance of early diagnosis and early intervention. J Am Acad Dermatol 1996;35:857-859.		
6	Chace DH et al. Use of tandem mass spectrometry for multianalyte screening of dried blood specimens from newborns. Clin Chem 2003;49:1797-1817.		
7	National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center: Current newborn conditions by state (as of 07-05-04), http://genes-r- us.uthscsa.edu/.		
8	Fraser N et al. Tyrosinemia type II (Richner-Hanhart syndrome): Report of two cases treated with etretinate. Clin Exp Dermatol 1987;12:440.		

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

155S
CONDITION Tyrosinemi		nemia type	e III (4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase def.)
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		disorder of an	nino acid metabolism
ETHNICITY No known ethnic d		nnic differenc	es.
SCREENING MET	HOD(S) Tandem mas	s spectromet	ry (MS/MS)
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for	in 0 of 51 sta	ates, 0% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 42	Valid scores:	724 96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 189
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene HPD Locus 12q24-qter OMIM 276710
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max	
	-1-100.000	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References
Incidence	<1:100,000	7%	Not known (case reports).
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	86%	Rarely [1,2].
Burden if untreated	Moderate	51%	Metabolic acidosis and failure to thrive in infancy. Neurologic abnormalities in most. Mental retardation in >50% [1-4].
The test			
Screening test	Yes	76%	MS/MS [5].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	93%	Yes, see [5].
High throughput	Yes	78%	Up to 500 - 1,000 specimens per day [5].
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	60%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1 - 3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [6].
Multiple analytes	Yes	62%	Tyrosine [5].
Secondary targets	Yes	54%	Yes, see [5].
Multiplex platform	rm Yes		Yes, see [5].
The treatment	<u> </u>		
Availability & cost	Limited availability	73%	Dietary management of tyrosine and phenylalanine levels requires a metabolic disease physician [7,8].
Efficacy	Potential to prevent SC negative consequence		Limited experience. Tyrosine restriction seems to improve behavioral problems, though not mental retardation (see comment) [7,8].
Early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individ outcome	dual 41%	Limited experience. Tyrosine restriction seems to improve behavioral problems, though not mental retardation (see comment) [7,8].
Early identification	SOME benefits to fami and society	ly 54%	Genetic counseling is available [2].
Mortality prevention	No	26%	Not a significant component of the condition [2].
Diagn. confirmation	Limited availability	54%	Metabolic disease physicians needed for the discrimination between type 1 and other types [2].
Acute management	Limited availability	59%	Reduction of tyrosine with low protein diet [2].
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement o specialist	f 42%	Metabolic disease physicians are needed periodically for monitoring [2].

156S

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Yes		Туре	MS	MS
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	Y	es
Final score	1149	/2100	% of max	score	55%
Rank:	0.47	%ile			

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target,	report only
COMMENT	

Few cases are reported. It is likely that the condition is relatively benign. There is evidence of ascertainment bias for patients previously reported with mental retardation. Transient tyrosinemia of the newborn is the most common amino acid disorder in humans. Metabolic disease physicians are valuable in discriminating among causes of hypertyrosinemia and in dietary management. Newborn screening is based on the detection of an elevated concentration of tyrosine. Elevated methionine may also be present. There is evidence of lower sensitivity with the current testing technology (affected cases with normal concentration when tested at birth) and poor specificity (high rate of false positive results, mostly premature babies and newborns with liver disease of variable etiology).

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

157S

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

FATTY ACID OXIDATION DEFECTS

COND	ITION Carnitine: acv	Icarniti	ine translocase deficiency
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord			2011년 1월 2011년 1월 2011년 1월 2 1 일
ETHNICITY No known populati			
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) Tandem mass spe	ectromet	ry (MS/MS)
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 18	3 of 51 st	ates, 28% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 38	Valid scores: 643	94%	PubMed references (August 2004) 1,726
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene CACT Locus 3p21.31 OMIM 212138
Criteria	Consensus	max	
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	<1:100,000	10%	First reported in 1992 [1], approximately 30-50 cases described worldwide, likely underdiagnosed.
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	68%	Multiple reports of severe neonatal decompensation (hypoglycemia, hyperammonemia) and sudden unexpected death in newborns [2].
Burden if untreated	Profound	91%	Mortality is 30-50% at first episode [3]. Milder cases (with higher residual enzyme activity) have been reported [4].
The test			
a se a cost de la	Yes	74%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling.
Screening test	Tes	1470	Primary markers are C16-C18 species [5].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	83%	See [6].
High throughput	Yes	74%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [6].
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	41%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [7].
Multiple analytes	Yes	74%	C16-C18 saturated and unsaturated acylcarnitines [6].
Secondary targets	rgets Yes		Differential diagnosis with CPT II deficiency [8].
Multiplex platform	Yes	62%	For comprehensive review see [6].
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Limited availability	57%	Avoidance of fasting, MCT oil supplementation, night time corn starch. Conjugating agents for hyperammonemia [3,8,9,10,11].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	34%	Early diagnosis and treatment may not prevent mortality due to arrhythmias [3,9,11]. No long-term data available.
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	55%	Some prevention of mortality [3,8,9,10,11].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	68%	Genetic counseling, retrospective diagnoses of sudden death cases, prevention of costs for care of episodes [3,8,9,11].
Prevention of mortality	ality Yes		Prevention of sudden and unexpected death is hindered by life- threatening episodes of arrhythmias [3,9].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers	28%	Plasma acylcarnitines and urine organic acid analysis [11,12,13]; enzyme assay; genotyping available only in a few laboratories [10,14].
Acute management	Limited availability	45%	Standard emergency protocols for long-chain fatty acid oxidation disorders are effective [3,8,9,10,11].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	24%	No special food or orphan drug required [3,8,9,10,11].



ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

The incidence and natural history of CACT deficiency are poorly understood. Avoidance of fasting and dietary treatment do not seem to prevent mortality due to unpredictable episodes of arrhythmia. Specificity and sensitivity of NBS by acylcarnitine profiling are undetermined. For these reasons, CACT is not recommended for inclusion in the uniform panel. However, a profile suggestive of a possible diagnosis of CACT deficiency is clinically significant and should be reported when detected.

Genetics IN Medicine

OMIM

600528

Carnitine palmitoyltransferase I deficiency (CPT-1a) CONDITION

TYPE of DISORDER

ETHNICITY

Founder effect in North American Hutterites.

Inborn error, disorder of fatty acid metabolism

SCREENING METHOD(S)

40

Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), DNA-based in selected population

Gene CPT1A

NBS STATUS in the US Screened for in 11 of 51 states, 13% of annual births (August 2004)

> Valid scores: 96% PubMed references 690

> > Locus

(August 2004)	8,278
(August 2004)	0,210

11q13

SURVEY SCORES		% of
Criteria Consensus		max
The condition	score	
Incidence	<1:100,000	10%
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	75%
Burden if untreated	Profound	89%

First reported in 1981 [1], anecdotal reports wo diverse ethnicity. 1:1,200 births in Hutterites [2]	
Veonatal onset of hypoketotic hypoglycemia, c coma, renal tubular acidosis, and Reye-like epi reported [3,4].	onvulsions,
Acute episodes are life-threatening [3,4,5]; tendency requency and severity of attacks with time and fastin Maternal complications could be severe (AFLP) [6].	

Th	e	te	st
	-		_

Responses:

Screening test	Yes (MS/MS)	53%	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	67%	
High throughput	Yes	58%	
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	44%	
Multiple analytes	Yes	56%	
Secondary targets	No	41%	
Multiplex platform	Yes	54%	

MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary markers is calculation of [C0/(C16+C18)] ratio [7]. See [7,8]. Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [8]. Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [9]. Free carnitine (elevated), C16 and C18 (low) [7]. CPT lb deficiency, although confirmed cases have not been reported to date [10] For comprehensive review see [8].

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	64%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	46%
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	62%
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	69%
Prevention of mortality	Yes	82%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers (lack of consensus) (*)	31%
Acute management	Limited availability	51%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	33%

	e of fasting, MCT oil supplementation, aggressive t of intercurrent illnesses [3,4,10,11,16].
	agnosed by NBS may remain asymptomatic with e of fasting [7]. No long-term data available [16].
	ion of normal growth and development. Prevention of [3,4,10,11].
	ctive diagnosis of sudden death cases [7], prevention for care of episodes [4].
Preventio	on of sudden and unexpected death [3,4,10,11].
overlooke organic ac	arnitine and acylcarnitines [12,13]; chances of being d if work-up is limited to plasma acylcarnitines and urine cids (negative); enzymology and genotyping available only ir ratories [14-16].
	emergency protocols for long-chain fatty acid disorders are effective [3,4,10,11].
No speci	al food or orphan drug required [3,4,10,11].



Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Carnitine paln	nitoyltr	ansferase II deficiency		
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord			tty acid metabolism		
ETHNICITY No known populati					
SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spe					
NBS STATUS in			ates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)		
1403 517105 11		2 01 01 31			
Responses: 45	Valid scores: 772	95%	PubMed references (August 2004) 2067		
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene CPT2 Locus 1p32 OMIM 600650		
Criteria	Consensus	max	Carl and the second states of		
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] First reported in 1973 [1], >200 cases described worldwide; lack of		
Incidence	<1:100,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	20%	consensus reflects clinical impression of a relatively common disorder [2].		
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	67%	<20 cases reported with the severe, usually lethal, neonatal presentation associated with congenital anomalies [2,3].		
Burden if untreated	Severe	76%	Episodes of muscle pain and weakness are transient. Life- threatening complications include renal failure due to rhabdomyolysis with massive myoglobinuria and respiratory insufficiency [4-6].		
The test					
Screening test	ning test Yes		MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary markers are C16-C18 species. First prospectively diagnosed case was reported in 2001 [7,8].		
Doable in DBS or by	Yes	84%	See [7].		
physical method					
High throughput	Yes	74%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [9].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	49%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10].		
Multiple analytes	Yes	73%	C16-C18 saturated and unsaturated acylcarnitines [9].		
Secondary targets	No	55%	Differential diagnosis with CACT deficiency [11].		
Multiplex platform	Yes		For comprehensive review see [9].		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Limited availability	72%	Avoidance of fasting, prolonged exercise, cold exposure, and other stressors [6]; bezafibrate is effective in vitro [12].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	41%	Treatment is usually effective to prevent acute episodes [6].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	44%	Some prevention of mortality [4,6,12,13].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	63%	Genetic counseling, prevention of costs for care of episodes [6].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes (lack of consensus) (*)	53%	CPT II deficiency is not a significant cause of mortality, early onset cases are usually lethal despite treatment [5,6,13].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers	36%	Plasma acylcarnitines [3,4]; genotyping available only in a few laboratories [6,15,17,18]; enzyme assay [15,17].		
Acute management	Limited availability	48%	Standard emergency protocols for long-chain fatty acid oxidation disorders are effective [4,6,13].		
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	31%	No special food required [4,6], and experimental drug (bezafibrate) is under investigation [12].		



CONDITION Carnitine uptake deficiency (systemic) TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disorder of fatty acid metabolism ETHNICITY Panethnic. SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) NBS STATUS in the US Screened for in 0 of 51 states, 0% of annual births (August 2004) PubMed references (August 2004) Responses: 46 Valid scores: 810 98% 171 OMIM Gene SLC22A5 212140 Locus 5q33.1 SURVEY SCORES % of Criteria Consensus max The condition score LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] <1:100,000 (lack of Inherited defect in membrane transport was first reported in 1988 Incidence 19% [1]. Incidence is not known; 1:40,000 in Japan [2]. consensus) (*) 50% of reported cases presented between age 3 months and 2.5 yrs. 82% Phenotype at birth Almost never with metabolic decompensation including cardiomyopathy in some. Others present later with cardiomyopathy [3,4]. Hypoketotic hypoglycemia, hyperammonemia, cardiomyopathy in some 88% Burden if untreated Profound progressing to coma and death. Sudden infant death has been observed [3-7]. The test MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Yes 55% Primary marker is free carnitine. Anecdotal observations of Screening test possible low sensitivity when done in the first 24 hrs [8,9]. Doable in DBS or by Yes 64% Yes [8,9]. physical method 51% Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [8]. High throughput Yes Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 Overall cost <\$1 No (>\$1/test) 36% conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10]. Multiple analytes No 42% Free carnitine, low acylcarnitine levels. Secondary targets No 39% Severe nutritional deficiency [8,9]. Multiplex platform Yes 48% For comprehensive review see [8]. The treatment Availability & cost Widely available 82% Carnitine, avoidance of fasting [4,11-14,17].

			the second se
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences	68%	Cases diagnosed by NBS may remain asymptomatic with carnitine supplementation [2]. Treatment is effective in preventing episodes but long-term data is lacking [4,11-14,17].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	70%	Expectation of normal growth and development. Prevention of mortality [4,12-14,17].
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	78%	Genetic counseling, prenatal diagnosis, prevention of costs for care of episodes [4,5,12].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	87%	Prevention of sudden and unexpected death [4,15].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers (lack of consensus) (*)	39%	Carnitine uptake assay and genotyping are of limited availability [16].
Acute management	Limited availability	70%	Standard emergency protocols for long-chain fatty acid oxidation disorders are effective [4,11,12].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	68%	No special foods or orphan drugs are required [4,11,12].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION	Dienoyl-CoA r	educta	ase deficiency		
		der of fatty acid metabolism				
		ican-American is described.				
SCREENING METH	HOD(S)	Tandem mass spe	ctrometry (MS/MS)			
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 2 of	of 51 states, 4% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 18		alid scores: 289	89%	PubMed references (August 2004) 9		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene 1-Dec Locus 8q21.3 OMIM 222745		
Criteria		Consensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	8%	Only one case has been described [1,2]. Incidence not known.		
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	lever	81%	Hypotonia, small VSD, short extremities and microcephaly at birth though the relationship of phenotype to the disorder is not known [1].		
Burden if untreated	Profound	1	84%	Patient became septic. Unresponsive respiratory acidosis led to demise [1,2].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		77%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary marker is C10:2 (2-trans,4-cis-C10:2) [1].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		82%	Yes [1,3].		
High throughput	Yes		76%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [3].		
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		53%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [4].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		65%	No [1].		
Secondary targets	Yes		56%	No.		
Multiplex platform	Yes		72%	Yes, see [3] for comprehensive review.		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited a	availability	69%	Not known [2].		
Efficacy of treatment	1	to prevent SOME consequences	27%	Not known.		
Benefits of early intervention		dence that early n optimizes individual	43%	Not known.		
Benefits of early identification	SOME b and soci	enefits to family ety	57%	Not known.		
Prevention of mortality	No (*)		50%	Not known.		
Confirmation of diagnosis (*)	Only a fe	ew centers	22%	Gene is cloned [5] but original patient has not been studied at molecular level. Confirmatory MS/MS is available in fewer than 20 laboratories [6].		
Acute management	Limited a	availability	44%	Metabolic physicians are of limited availability.		
Simplicity of therapy	Regular specialis	involvement of t	29%	Routine involvement of metabolic physicians is expected [2].		



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	s	Type MS		S/MS	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	lo	
Final score	1119	/2100	% of max	score	53%	
Rank:	0.36	%ile				
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No	

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

A single patient has been described with this condition [1]. Questions remain as to whether the anomalies noted are coincidental or disease associated. The sensitivity and specificity of the primary marker are also unknown and could represent an interpretive challenge to less experienced laboratories. For these reasons, dienol-CoAreductase deficiency (DERED) is not recommended for inclusion in the uniform panel. However, a profile suggestive of a possible diagnosis of DERED deficiency is clinically significant and should be reported when detected

168S

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION	Glutaric acider	nia ty	pe II		
			tty acid and amino acid metabolism			
ETHNICITY No known ethnic va						
SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spec						
NBS STATUS in				ates, 32% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 52		alid scores: 899	96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 519		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene ETFA ATFB ETFDH Locus 15q23-q25 19q13.3 4q32-qter OMIM 231680; 130410; 231675		
Criteria		Consensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,0 consens	000 (lack of us) (*)	17%	Unknown but relatively rare. In 300,000 newborn screens in Wisconsin, 1 severe neonatal case and 1 mild case were detected [1-3].		
Phenotype at birth	<25% of	cases	70%	Three forms: 1) neonatal with congenital anomalies that presents in first 24-48 hrs; 2) neonatal without congenital anomalies (rare) that is less apparent at birth; 3) a milder late-onset form [1-3].		
Burden if untreated	Burden if untreated Profound		94%	The neonatal forms are generally lethal in the first week of life. The late-onset form is quite variable in its course with episodes of hypoketotic hypoglycemia and hepatic dysfunction but asymptomatic cases are known [1-8].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		94%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. C4-C18 species are primary markers [9,10].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		94%	See [10].		
High throughput	Yes		85%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [10].		
Overall cost <\$1	st <\$1 No (>\$1/test)		54%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [11].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		88%			
Secondary targets	Yes		68%	MCAD [10].		
Multiplex platform	form Yes		78%	For comprehensive review see [10].		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited a	availability	57%	Dietary management and monitoring and specialized treatments require involvement of a metabolic specialist [1].		
Efficacy of treatment		I to prevent SOME consequences	29%	Infant onset form has not been successfully treated. Low protein and fat diets with carnitine supplementation and riboflavin treatment have been more successful in the late onset and milder forms [1,12-14].		
Benefits of early intervention		dence that early n optimizes individual	52%	Low protein and fat diets with carnitine supplementation and riboflavin treatment have been more successful in the late-onset and milder forms [1,12-15].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME b and soci	enefits to family ety	67%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [16,17].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes (lac	k of consensus) (*)	46%	Lethality is high in neonatal severe forms and may be reduced in the rare riboflavin responsive forms [1,18].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a fe	ew centers	38%	Urinary organic acids reveal characteristic pattern in infantile onset form [1,9]. Late-onset form may only show characteristic patterns during metabolic episodes [1,6,9]. Enzyme diagnosis is difficult and not widely available, and involvement of three different genes complicates molecular diagnostics [1,19].		
Acute management	Limited a	availability	44%	Management of metabolic crisis requires metabolic specialists that are not widely available [1-9].		
Simplicity of therapy	apy Regular involvement of specialist		23%	Supportive care, treatments and monitoring are complex and require involvement of specialists [1-9, 16,18].		

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement



Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION	Long-chain 3-0	OH acy	vI-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency		
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disorde		ler of fa	tty acid metabolism			
ETHNICITY Panethnic.						
SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spec		ctromet	ry (MS/MS)			
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 22	of 51 st	ates, 33% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 58	Val	id scores: 1,015	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 52		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene HADHA Locus 2p23 OMIM 600890		
Criteria	C	onsensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] 1:50,000 to 1:200,000. There is an apparent discrepancy between the		
Incidence	>1:75,000 consensu		26%	number of cases diagnosed clinically and the low rate of detection by NBS, raising the possibility of undetected false negative results.		
Phenotype at birth	Almost ne	ver	83%	The presence of maternal acute fatty liver of pregnancy and hemolysis elevated liver enzymes, low platelet count (HELLP) may be indicative of an LCHAD pregnancy [1,2] Rarely apparent in		
Burden if untreated	Profound		88%	Clinical signs include acute and chronic liver failure, cardiomyopathy and skeletal myopathy. There is a high mortality at presentation but developmental delay/MR are not cardinal features [3,4,5,6].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		98%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. C16-18 OH acylcarnitine species are elevated [7,8,9]. Visual evaluation of profile is critical to recognize minor abnormalities.		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		96%	See [7]. 2nd tier DNA analysis of DBS is also available [10].		
High throughput	Yes		89%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [7].		
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		57%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [11].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		87%	С16-ОН, С18:1-ОН, С18-ОН [8,9].		
Secondary targets	Yes		67%	Trifunctional protein (TFP) deficiency.		
Multiplex platform	Yes		75%	For comprehensive review see [7].		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited av	vailability	78%	Frequent feedings, dietary restriction of long-chin fatty acids, high carbohydrate, MCT oil and carnitine plus dietary supplements require metabolic specialists of limited availability [2,12].		
Efficacy of treatment	and the second	o prevent SOME consequences	43%	Few patients treated prospectively with long-term outcome assessment have been reported. 30% continue to have episodes of metabolic decompensation [2,12,13].		
Benefits of early intervention		ence that early optimizes individual	70%	Few patients treated prospectively with long-term outcome assessment have been reported. 30% continue to have episodes of metabolic decompensation [2,12,13].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR be and socie	enefits to family ty	85%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [14]. Identification of families at-risk for LCHAD offspring allows for monitoring for acute fatty liver of pregnancy [1,3].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes		89%	Despite recurrence of metabolic decompensation with treatment, mortality rate is improved [2,12,13].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited av	vailability	53%	Assay of three activities of TFP enzyme complex (L-3-OH acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, 2-enoyl-CoA- hydratase, and 3-oxoacyl-CoA thiolase) to distinguish from TFP deficiency [2]. 60-70% of cases are homozygous 1528G->C [2,10,14,15].		
Acute management	Limited av	vailability	56%	Well established emergency protocols [2].		
Simplicity of therapy	Regular invo (lack of cons	olvement of specialist sensus) (*)	35%	No special food or orphan drug required [2].		

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



172S

CONDITION	Medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency					
TYPE of DISORDER	Inborn error of metabolism, fatty acid oxidation disorder					
ETHNICITY	Predominantly Caucasians of northern european ancestry; less frequent in Hispanics; rare in African-Americans; very rare in Orientals.					
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)					
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 31 of 51 states, 53% of annual births (August 2004)					
Responses: 90 V	/alid scores: 1,556 96% PubMed references (August 2004): 801					

SURVEY SCORES	% of	
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score
Incidence	>1:25,000	78%
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	91%
Burden if untreated	Profound	84%

GeneACDMLocus1p31OMIM201450LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]MCAD deficiency occurs in 1:10,000-1:15,000 US newborns;
higher in Northern European ancestry [1].Reports of severe neonatal decompensation and sudden
unexpected death in exclusively breast-fed newborns [2].Mortality is 30-50% at first episode [3].

The test

Screening test	Yes (MS/MS)	100%	First reporte
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	99%	See [4]. 2nd
High throughput	Yes	92%	Up to 500-1,
Overall cost <\$1	Yes (lack of consensus) (*)	63%	Cost likely h conditions o
Multiple analytes	Yes	92%	C6, C8, C10
Secondary targets	Yes	74%	GA2 (multipl
Multiplex platform	Yes	78%	For compret

First reported in 1990 [4].	
See [4]. 2nd tier DNA analysis of DBS is also available	[5].
Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [6].	
Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [7].	-3
C6, C8, C10:1, C10 acylcarnitines [1,3,4,8,9].	
GA2 (multiple defects), M/SCHAD, MCKAT [8].	
For comprehensive review see [6].	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Widely available	94%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent ALL negative consequences	80%
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	90%
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefit to family & society	94%
Prevention of mortality	Yes	99%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	71%
Acute management	Limited availability	80%
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	77%

Avoidance of fasting, aggressive treatment of intercurrent illnesses; carnitine supplementation may be useful [3,9,11].
Most cases diagnosed by NBS remain asymptomatic with avoidance of fasting [12,13]. Still limited long-term data [14].
Expectation of normal growth and development. Significant prevention of mortality [1,3,8,9,11,14,15].
Identification of affected relatives [16], prevention of costs for care of episodes [1,3,9,13] dismissal of abuse allegations [17].
Prevention of sudden and unexpected death [2,3,8,11,17].
Plasma acylcarnitines and urine acylglycines [18]; genotyping (~20 labs offer testing for 985A>G; <5 labs provide complete gene sequencing) [18-19].
Well established emergency protocols [3,9,11].
No special food or orphan drug required [3,9,11].



Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Medium/short	t-chain	L-3-OH acyl-CoA DH deficiency			
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord						
ETHNICITY No known ethnic v						
SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spe						
NBS STATUS in			ates, 8% of annual births (August 2004)			
NB3 31A103 III	Screened for in o	01 51 512	ates, 8% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 21	Valid scores: 335	89%	PubMed references (August 2004) 11			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene HADHSC Locus 4q22-q26 OMIM 607008			
Criteria	Consensus	max				
The condition	100 K. (100 K.)	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Not known; very rare with fewer than 5 cases with two			
Incidence	<1:100,000	9%	documented mutations in the known M/SCHAD gene [1-3]. Symptoms in patients with SCHAD enzyme deficiency include			
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	97%	infection-induced hypoglycemia in combination with mild to absent ketosis [1-6].			
Burden if untreated	Severe	76%	Stress induced hypoglycemia in most cases [1,2]. One case presented as SIDS [3].			
The test						
Screening test	Yes (*)	61%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary marker is C4-OH [1,5,7,9].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	83%	See [7].			
High throughput	Yes	78%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [7].			
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	47%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [8].			
Multiple analytes	Yes	67%	C4OH, C8-OH, C8 [5-7].			
Secondary targets	Yes	56%	MCAD, GA-II, MCKAT [5-7].			
Multiplex platform	Yes	67%	See [7] for comprehensive review.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availability	67%	Treatment is supportive. Avoidance of fasting is likely to be beneficial, aggressive treatment of intercurrent illnesses. Metabolic specialists should be involved in care [4-6].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	49%	The rarity of M/SCHAD complicates determination of efficacy [1-6].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	50%	Expected to improve outcomes [4-6].			
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	68%	Genetic counseling is available [10].			
Prevention of mortality	Yes	69%	Limited evidence of prevention of mortality.			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers (*)	33%	DNA mutations have been identified [8].			
Acute management	Limited availability	50%	Well established emergency protocols for FAO disorders are applicable [4].			
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	48%	No special foods or orphan drugs are required. Metabolic specialists are of limited availability [4].			



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	s	Туре		/IS/MS	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	Y	es	
Final score	1223	/2100	% of max	score	58%	
Rank:	0.58	%ile				
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No	

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

Only a few confirmed cases have been reported. Obviously, the natural history of MSCHAD is not understood, treatment options are similar to other FAO disorders. Specificity and sensitivity of acylcarnitine profiling are undetermined, not a single case has been detected prospectively. For these reasons, M/SCHAD is not recommended for inclusion in the uniform panel. However, a profile suggestive of a possible diagnosis of M/SCHAD is clinically significant and should be reported when detected.

Genetics IN Medicine

			Newborn screening panel and
TYPE of DIS	ORDER Inborn error, disord HNICITY One Japanese pat HOD(S) Tandem mass spe	der of fa ient has ectromet	cyl-CoA thiolase deficiency tty acid metabolism a been described [1]. ry (MS/MS) ates, 1% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 23	Valid scores: 853		PubMed references (August 2004) 23
SURVEY SCORES Criteria The condition	Consensus	% of max score	Gene MCKAT Locus unknown OMIM 602199 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	<1:100,000	9%	One case has been described [1].
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	93%	Patient presented at day 2 with vomiting, dehydration, metabolic acidosis, liver dysfunction and terminal rhabdomyolysis with myoglobinuria [1].
Burden if untreated	Severe	83%	The one patient died on day 13 of life [1].
The test			
Screening test	Yes	65%	MS/MS; precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary marker is C8 [1,2].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	84%	Yes [2].
High throughput	Yes	84%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [3].
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	53%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [4].
Multiple analytes	Yes	74%	C10, C12 acylcarnitines [1].
Secondary targets	Yes	67%	M/SCHAD.
Multiplex platform	Yes	74%	Yes, see [2] for comprehensive review.
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Limited availability	63%	Avoidance of fasting; aggressive treatment of intercurrent illnesses and other generic measures applicable to FAO disorders [3].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	43%	Unknown.
Benefits of early	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual	42%	Unknown.

Emodely of treatment	negative consequences	4070
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	42%
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	62%
Prevention of mortality	No (lack of consensus) (*)	44%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers (lack of consensus) (*)	27%
Acute management	Limited availability	41%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	39%

Avoidance of fasting; aggressive treatment of intercurrent Inesses and other generic measures applicable to FAO lisorders [3].	
Jnknown.	
Jnknown.	
Jnknown.	
Jnknown.	
Plasma acylcarnitines, urine organic acids and acylglycines [1]. Enzymology is only option in vitro until the gene is identified.	
Avoidance of fasting; aggressive treatment of intercurrent Inesses.	
Metabolic physicians would be needed and are of limited availability.	



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Ye	s	Туре	MS	/MS
2ary target of hig	her scori	ng cond	ition?	Y	es
Final score	1170	/2100	% of max	score	56%
Rank:	0.52	%ile			
Observed signific	cant disci	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Secondary	target
-----------	--------

COMMENT

Only one confirmed case has been reported. Obviously, the natural history of MCKAT is not understood, treatment options are similar to other FAO disorders. Specificity and sensitivity of acylcarnitine profiling are undetermined, not a single case has been detected prospectively. For these reasons, MCKAT is not recommended for inclusion in the uniform panel. However, a profile suggestive of a possible diagnosis of MCKAT is clinically significant and should be reported when detected.

1	Kamijo, T et al. Medium chain 3-ketoacyl-coenzyme A thiolase
	deficiency: a new disorder of mitochondrial fatty acid beta-oxidation. Pediat Res 1997;42:569-576, 1997.
2	Chace DH et al. Use of tandem mass spectrometry for multianalyte screening of dried blood specimens from newborns. Clin Chem 2003;49:1797-1817.
3	National Newborn Screening & Genetics Resource Center: Current newborn conditions by state (as of 07-05-04), http://genes-r- us.uthscsa.edu/.
4	GeneTests Laboratory Directory, http://www.geneclinics.org/; or UCSD Biochemical Genetics Test List, http://biochemgen.ucsd.edu/ucsdw3bg/.

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Short-chain ac	yl-Co	A dehydrogenase deficiency			
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		der of fa	tty acid metabolism			
ETH	NICITY Panethnic.	Panethnic.				
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) Tandem mass spe	Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)				
NBS STATUS in		of 51 st	tates, 29% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 51	Valid scores: 289	31%	PubMed references (August 2004) 129			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene ACLDS Locus 12q22-ter OMIM 201470			
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	>1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	40%	1:40,000 - 100,000 [1,2,3,4].			
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	88%	Most cases present in the first 3 months of life [1].			
Burden if untreated	Moderate (lack of consensus) (*)	47%	The phenotype is variable. 50% of cases present with hypotonia and developmental delay. Others may have seizures, acidosis, vomiting, and failure to thrive. One of 20 cases was a demise. Asymptomatic cases have been identified [1,5].			
The test						
Screening test	Yes	92%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary marker is C4 [9,10].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	98%	See [10].			
High throughput	Yes	90%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [10].			
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	59%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [11].			
Multiple analytes	Yes	87%	Other species are required for differential diagnosis.			
Secondary targets	econdary targets Yes		IBG, GA2, ethylmalonic encephalopathy [9,10].			
Multiplex platform	Yes	76%	See [10] for a comprehensive review.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availability	76%	Treatment is supportive [1]; avoidance of fasting is likely to be beneficial; low fat diets and riboflavin have not helped; metabolic specialists should be involved in care.			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	32%	The highly variable phenotype including asyptomatic individuals and the rarity of SCAD complicates determination of efficacy [8].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	39%	Anecdotal reports of response to supportive treatment see lack of consensus on criterion "burden if untreated above."			
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	48%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available but rarely requested.			
Prevention of mortality	No	47%	Limited evidence of prevention of mortality.			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers	40%	Measurement of acyl-CoA dehydrogenase activities with MCAD activity blocked is of very limited availability. Fibroblast acylcarnitine profiling and DNA sequencing are available. Elevations of ethylmalonic acid and methyl succininc acid are seen in the classic form [12,13].			
Acute management	Limited availability	55%	Sodium bicarbonate for acidosis and dextrose/glucose for hypogycemia are part of the emergency protocols available for SCAD [1].			
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	43%	Metabolic specialists are required for management.			

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



ASSESSMENT

Secondary 1	target
-------------	--------

COMMENT

Evidence is accumulating that classic SCAD is distinguished from variant SCAD with the mild or asymptomatic phenotype by both screening cut-offs and DNA mutations and variants. Gene polymorphisms of unknown clinical significance are common [14].

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Trifunctional p	orotein	deficiency			
		der of fatty acid metabolism				
		Panethnic.				
SCREENING METH						
		Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)				
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 11	of 51 s	tates, 25% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 42	Valid scores: 719	95%	PubMed references (August 2004) 26			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene HADHB Locus 2p23 OMIM 600890 143450			
Criteria	Consensus	max	143450			
The condition	Consensus	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	<1:100,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	14%	Unknown. Fewer than 20 cases have been described [1-5].			
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	83%	Rarely apparent in the neonatal period but early onset has been reported [6].			
Burden if untreated	Profound	93%	Hypoketotic hypoglycemia leading to cardiomyopathy and neuromuscular disease. A Reye-like syndrome and sudden death can ensue. Milder phenotypes are now being appreciated [1-10].			
The test						
Screening test	Yes	96%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. C16-18 OH acylcarnitine species are elevated [7,8,9]. Visual evaluation of profile is critic to recognize minor abnormalities [7,11,12,13].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	95%	See [7,9]. 2nd tier DNA analysis of DBS is also available and can distinguish between LCHAD and TFP [7,12].			
High throughput	Yes	88%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [12].			
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [14].			
Multiple analytes	Yes	85%	C16-OH, C18:1-OH, C18-OH, C16, C14, C14:1 [11,12].			
Secondary targets	Yes	65%	LCHAD, VLCAD.			
Multiplex platform	Yes	72%	See [12] for comprehensive review.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availability	81%	Frequent feedings; dietary restricition of long-chain fatty acids; high carbohydrate; MCT oil and carnitine plus dieatary supplements require metabolic specialists of limited availability [15,16].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	42%	Few patients have been reported who are treated prospectively with long-term outcome asessment [15].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	75%	Few patients who are treated prospectively with long-term outcome assessment have been reported [15].			
Benefits of early identification	Clear benefits to family and society	85%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available.			
Prevention of mortality	Yes	85%	Appropriate management of intercurrent illness and ongoing treatment minimize lethality [12, 13].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	45%	Demonstration of significantly decreased activity of two of the three enzymes of the TFP complex. DNA testing is available [7].			
Acute management	Limited availability	54%	Well established emergency protocols [15, 16].			
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist		No special food or orphan drug required [15,16].			

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement





CONDITION Very long-chai		n acyl	-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency		
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		der of fatty acid metabolism			
ETHNICITY Panethnic.					
SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spe		ectrometry (MS/MS)			
			ates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 58	V	alid scores: 1,019	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 269	
SURVEY SCORES	_		% of	Gene ACADVL Locus 17p11.2-p11.1 OMIM 201475	
Criteria The condition		Consensus	max		
The condition	>1:75.00	00 (lack of	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Unknown [1]. Detection rate by NBS higher than expected	
Incidence	consens		26%	from clinical ascertainment [8].	
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	85%	The infantile (50% of cases) form presents with nonketotic hypoglycemia, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and skeletal myopathy. Infants have rarely presented in the first 24 hrs. A later presenting infantile form (30% of cases) lacks cardiac involvement. 20% (though proportion is increasing as more cases are found) present as adolescents or adults with muscle fatigue, myoglobinuria and rhabdomyolysis [1-9].	
Burden if untreated	treated Profound		87%	Untreated infants with the infantile form die in first year. The late infantile hepatic form is also lethal if not treated [1]. Asymptomatic adults have been described [8].	
The test					
Screening test	Yes		98%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Primary marker is C14:1 [10,11].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		96%	See [6,7]. Allelic heterogeneity precludes molecular testing.	
High throughput	Yes		89%	Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [11].	
Overall cost <\$1	rall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		56%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [12].	
Multiple analytes	Yes		88%	C14:1, C14, C16, C16:1 and C18:1 [11].	
Secondary targets	Yes		68%	LCHAD, TFP [11].	
Multiplex platform	Yes		73%	For comprehensive review see [11].	
The treatment		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	82%	Avoidance of fasting, aggressive treatment of intercurrent illnesses, carnitine supplementation, diet high in carbohydrates and medium chain triglycerides [1,13,15,17].	
Efficacy of treatment		I to prevent Most consequences	50%	Clear evidence of reduced lethality and successful treatment of cardiomyopathy [13,17].	
Benefits of early intervention		idence that early n optimizes individual	75%	Identification of affected relatives [8], prevention of costs for care of episodes [1,13,17] dismissal of abuse allegations.	
Benefits of early identification	Clear be society	enefits to family and	85%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available.	
Prevention of mortality	Yes		94%	Long-term survival following presymptomatic treatment has been documented [13,14].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited	availability	54%	DNA testing may discriminate a milder later-onset form that preserves some enzyme activity from the more severe infantile form [14,16].	
Acute management	Limited	availability	57%	Well established emergency protocols [3,9,11].	
Simplicity of therapy Periodic involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)		42%	No special food or orphan drug required [3,9,11].		

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement



Genetics IN Medicine

Newborn screening panel and system

ORGANIC ACIDURIAS

COND	ITION	2-Methylbutyr	yl-CoA	A dehydrogenase deficiency
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, diso			der of or	rganic acid metabolism
ETH	NICITY	High incidence in I	Hmong	population.
SCREENING METH	IOD(S)	Tandem mass spe	ctromet	ry (MS/MS)
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 17	of 51 s	tates, 28% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 27	Va	alid scores: 400	82%	PubMed references (August 2004) 8
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene ACADSB Locus 10q25-q26 OMIM 600301
Criteria	(Consensus	max	
The condition Incidence	<1:100,0	000	score 13%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Rare in general US population (case reports only); high incidence in Hmong population [1, 2, 3].
Phenotype at birth	Almost r	never	95%	Severe neonatal decompensation reported. Some cases are asymptomatic [1,2,3].
Burden if untreated	Moderat consens	e (lack of us) (*)	53%	Natural history poorly understood. [1,2,3].
The test				
Screening test	Yes		82%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling; differential diagnosis of elevated C5 is required [3,4,5].
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		93%	Yes [3,5].
High throughput	Yes		85%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [5].
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		52%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [6].
Multiple analytes	Itiple analytes Yes		68%	Isolated elevation of C5 acylcarnitine (representing primarily 2- methylbutyrylcarnitine in this disorder) [3].
Secondary targets	Yes		58%	Primary target is IVA [3,8].
Multiplex platform	Yes		73%	Yes [4,5].
The treatment				
Availability & cost		availability	58%	Protein restricted diet; carnitine supplementation; avoidance of fasting less clear [1,2,3].
Efficacy of treatment		o prevent SOME onsequences (lack of 6) (*)	33%	Outcome is dependent on early identification and treatment [1,2,3].
Benefits of early intervention		ence that early intervention dividual outcome	36%	Outcome is dependent on early identification and treatment [1,2,3].
Benefits of early identification	SOME b and soci	enefits to family ety	50%	Genetic counseling and identification of at-risk family members is available, dismissal of abuse cases [3,8].
Prevention of mortality	No		31%	Unknown but expected to improve mortality [9].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited a	availability	42%	Urine acylglycines, urine organic acids, plasma acylcarnitines; cell- based in vitro studies in fibroblast cultures; specific enzyme assay and molecular genetic analysis available on a research basis only [3,7,8].
Acute management	Limited a	availability	42%	Well established emergency protocols [9].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular specialis	involvement of st	32%	Dietary management requires involvement of metabolic specialists who are of limited availability [9].

186S

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Test available	Yes		Туре	MS	/MS	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	lition?	Y	es	
Final score	1124 /2100		% of max score		54%	
Rank:	0.39	%ile				
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	es with litera	ture	No	

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

Newly discovered condition, very limited knowledge of natural history. This is a clinically significant condition detected by acylcarnitine profiling to be included in the differential diagnosis of primary targets.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

187S

CONDITION 2-Methyl 3-hyd		roxy l	butyric aciduria				
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		ler of or	ganic acid metabolism				
			described worldwide.				
SCREENING METH	HOD(S)	Tandem mass spec					
NBS STATUS in				ites, 8% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 18	Valid scores: 313		97%	PubMed references (December 2004) 7			
Criteria			% of	Gene HADH2 Locus 11q22.3-q23.1 OMIM 300256; 300438			
Criteria		Consensus	max				
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	Incidence <1:100,000		6%	The first case was described in 2000 [1]. Seven cases have been described [1-3,5,6,10].			
Phenotype at birth	Phenotype at birth Almost never		94%	Rarely. One patient presented with metabolic acidosis on day 2 of life [1].			
Burden if untreated	Burden if untreated Severe (*)		74%	Psychomotor retardation in all. Loss of mental and motor skills in 5 (all males). One report of a female and a male with developmental delay but without regression. Epilepsy and blindness in 4 cases [1-5,10].			
The test							
Screening test Yes		65%	MS/MS is presumed to identify patients but none have been identified prospectively (retrospective analysis of the reported patient's original NBS cards was not attempted/reported) [6].				
Doable in DBS or by	Yes		88%	Yes [6].			
physical method High throughput	Yes		71%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [6].			
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)		41%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [11].			
Multiple analytes	Yes		59%	C5:1-carnitine (representing tiglylcarnitine) and C5-OH-carnitine may be mildly elevated (representing primarily 2-methyl 3- hydroxybutyrylcarnitine) [1-3,5,6,12].			
Secondary targets Yes		59%	Primary target for C5-OH acylcarnitine: 3MCC. Other secondary targets: HMG-CoA lyase deficiency, biotinidase deficiency, beta-ketothiolase deficiency, 3-methylglutaconic acid hydratase deficiency, 3-methylglutacor aciduria type I, biotinidase deficiency, and ß-ketothiolase deficiency [6].				
Multiplex platform Yes		59%	Yes [6].				
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	64%	Low protein, high carbohydrate diet with isoleucine restriction [1,10].			
Efficacy of treatment	cacy of treatment Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (*)		35%	Presumed to be effective, no case has been detected prospectively so far. In 5 of 7 cases, treatment has been reported, and clinical status has been stabilized [1,2,5,10].			
Benefits of early intervention	Intervention ontimizes individual		50%	Presumed to be effective; no case has been detected prospectively so far. The first patient reported [1] has died since being reported; other patients have shown variable to no improvement [2,9].			
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society		69%	Genetic counseling is available and prenatal diagnosis is feasible but not yet done [8,9].			
Prevention of mortality	Yes		53%	Not known. No patients have been identified prospectively. 5 of 7 cases have been treated [2,4,10].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited	availability	44%	Urine acylglycines, urine organic acids, and plasma acylcarnitines allow decision whether NBS is false positive. Confirmation by specific enzyme assay and HADH2 gene sequencing is of limited availability on a research basis only [2,9].			
Acute management	Limited	availability	50%	Symptomatic. Emergency protocols as established for other organic acidemias [2,4,8,10].			
Simplicity of therapy	Regular specialis	involvement of st	29%	Metabolic physicians are required for dietary management and care coordination in collaboration with PCP [1,2,8].			

188S

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

Rank:

ASSESSMENT Secondary target COMMENT 0.41 %ile

Observed significant discrepancies with literature

Newly discovered condition, very limited knowledge of natural history. This is a clinically significant condition detected by acylcarnitine profiling to be included in the

differential diagnosis of primary targets.

189S

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

No

COND	ITION	3-hydroxy 3-m	ethyl g	glutaric aciduria (HMG)			
			orn error, disorder of organic acid metabolism				
		Panethnic; higher i					
		Tandem mass spec					
		of 51 states, 33% of annual births (August 2004)					
1463 517105 11	the 05	Screened for in 21	01515	ales, 55% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 28	V	alid scores: 482	96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 8			
SURVEY SCORES		0.000	% of	Gene HMGCL Locus 1pter-p33 OMIM 246450			
Criteria		Consensus	max				
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Rare; no population data available. Higher in Saudi Arabia			
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	11%	[1,2].			
Phenotype at birth	Almost never		91%	20 - 50% presented in the first week; most of the rest by age 2 yrs [1-4].			
Burden if untreated	ted Severe		84%	Severe hypoketotic hypoglycemia and acidosis, hyperammonemia and epilepsy leading to death in 20% [2-4].			
The test							
Screening test	Yes		89%	MS/MS. Reported in 1990 [5,6].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		93%	Allelic heterogeneity limits molecular second tier tests [7].			
High throughput	Yes		74%	Up to 500-1,000 tests per day [6].			
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)		50%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS is used to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) h [8].			
Multiple analytes	tiple analytes Yes		64%	C5-OH, C6-OH/DC, C6-DC methyl-glutaryl carnitine [6,9].			
Secondary targets Yes		60%	2M3HBA, 3MGL [6].				
Multiplex platform Yes		65%	For comprehensive review see [6].				
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	76%	Acute management of lactic acidosis with IV glucose and bicarbonate. Leucine restriction; avoidance of protein rich and ketogenic diets [2,10,11].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)		57%	Early diagnosis and treatment prevents abnormal development [2,10,11].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome		69%	Significant prevention of mortality [2,10,11].			
Benefits of early identification			79%	Genetic counseling, identification of relatives, prevention of costs for care of episodes, prenatal diagnosis, dismissal of abuse allegations [10].			
Prevention of mortality	Yes		89%	Significant prevention of mortality [2,10,11].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited	availability	56%	Plasma AC (~20 labs in the US) urine OA (>50 labs in the US) [12].			
Acute management	Limited	availability	59%	Well established emergency protocols [2,10,11,13].			
Simplicity of therapy	Simplicity of therapy Periodic involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)		50%	No special food or orphan drugs [2,10,11,13].			

Genetics IN Medicine



Test available	Yes		Туре	MS	/MS
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	Y	es
Final score	1420	/2100	% of max score		68%
Rank:	0.82	%ile			

ASSESSMENT

Primary target, inclusion in uniform panel

COMMENT

Few cases are described in the US. Based on the generic treatment of other conditions treated for lactic acidosis and leucine restriction, this condition was placed in the core condition panel.

1	Ozand PT et al. 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-
1	CoA) lyase deficiency in Saudi Arabia. J Inherit Metab Dis 1991;14:174-88.
2	Mitchell GA et al. Inborn Errors of Ketone Body Metabolism. In: Scriver CR et al. (eds) The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease, 8th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001;2327- 56.
3	Wysocki SJ, Hahnel R. 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme a lyase deficiency: a review. J Inherit Metab Dis 1986;9:225-33.
4	Gibson KM, Breuer J, Nyhan WL. 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl- coenzyme A lyase deficiency: review of 18 reported patients. Eur J Pediatr 1988;148:180-6.
5	Millington DS et al. Tandem mass spectrometry: A new method for acylcarnitine profiling with potential for neonatal screening for inborn errors of metabolism. J Inherit Metabol Dis 1990;13:321.
6	Chace DH et al. Use of mass spectrometry for multianalyte screening of dried blood specimens from newborns. Clin Chem 2003;49:1797-817.
7	Mitchell GA et al. HMG CoA lyase deficiency: identification of five causal point mutations in codons 41 and 42, including a frequent Saudi Arabian mutation, R41Q. Am J Hum Genet 1998;62:295- 300.
8	National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center. Current newborn conditions by state (as of 07-05-04), http://genes-r-us.uthscsa.edu/.
9	Hammond J et al. 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaric, 3- methylglutaconic and 3-methylglutaric acids can be non-specific indicators of metabolic disease. J Inherit Metab Dis 1984;7(supp 2):117-8.
10	Seashore MR. The Organic Acidemias: An Overview Gene Reviews (as of 12-9-03), www.geneclinics.org
11	Dixon MA et al. Intercurrent illness in inborn errors of metabolism. Arch Dis Child 1992;67:1387.
12	UCSD Biochemical Genetics Test List, http://biochemgen.ucsd.edu/.
13	Stacey TE et al. Dizygotic twins with 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaric adicuria: unusual presentation, family studies and dietary management Eur J Pediatr 1985;144:177.

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

191S
ור

21

CONDITION	3-Methylglutaconic aciduria (type I - hydratase deficiency)	
TYPE of DISORDER	Inborn error, disorder of organic acid metabolism	
ETHNICITY	No known ethnic variation.	
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 13 of 51 states, 19% of annual births (August 2004)	

Valid scores: 359 95% PubMed references (August 2004)

SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene AUH Locus 9? OMIM 250950		
Criteria	Consensus	max			
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,000	10%	Incidence not known but less 1:100,000; rare [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	90%	Rarely; cardiac abnormalities may be apparent at birth, though not for type 1 (hydratase deficiency) [2,3].		
Burden if untreated	Severe (lack of consensus) (*)	69%	Highly variable with severe neurological dysfunction or cardiac failure in more common types, though some remain asymptomatic throughout life [4-9].		

Screening test	Yes	68%	MS/MS associa
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	86%	Yes [11
High throughput	Yes	71%	Up to 5
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	48%	Cost lik conditio
Multiple analytes	Yes	59%	3-hydrox
Secondary targets	Yes	59%	Multiple
Multiplex platform	Yes	58%	Yes [11

IS/MS first reported in 1990 for type 1, the only 3MGA wis ssociated CoA ester elevations [10].
es [11].
p to 500-1000 tests per day [11].
cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 onditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [12].
hydroxyisovalerylcarnitine (C5OH), C5-OH methylcrotonyl carnitine [1
Iultiple subtypes of MGA, 3MCC, HMG [10,11].
es [11].

95

L

The treatment

Responses:

Availability & cost	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	45%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	33%
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	40%
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	64%
Prevention of mortality	No	21%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	45%
Acute management	Limited availability	48%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	32%

avoida	management is variable with MGA subtypes. Low protein diets and nee of fasting are central to hydratase deficiency management. lic physicians to resolve subtypes are of limited availability [1-3].
	y varies with subtypes. Supportive care for all types. Carnitine mentation and restricted leucine benefits some with Type 1 [1-3,5].
Treatm 3,5].	ent can prevent motor delay and brain injury during catabolic crises [1-
	tic counseling available and prenatal diagnosis for some pes is available [11,12].
	ty may be reduced in type II with careful management of diet and nyopathy but lethality is not a documented problem.
Plasm	na acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US) [13-15].
	polic physicians for several subtypes with management cols for subphenotypes [1,2].
	polic physicians for care coordination and specialists for features of disease [1,2].



May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

syndrome.

hyperammonemia are common findings. A number of cases have been related to mitochondrial respiratory chain disorders. Elevated methylglutaconic acid has been observed in Smith-Lemli-Opitz

193S

CONDITION	3-Methylcrotonylglycinuria (3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency)
TYPE of DISORDER	Inborn error, disorder of organic acid metabolism
ETHNICITY	No known ethnic variability.
SCREENING METHOD(S)	Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)
NBS STATUS in the US	Screened for in 21 of 51 states, 33% of annual births (August 2004)

Responses:	48	Valid scores:	830	96%	PubMed references (August 2004)	148
------------	----	---------------	-----	-----	---------------------------------	-----

Gene

I

MCCC1 MCCC2

SURVEY	SCORES
--------	--------

SURVEY SCORES	% of	
Criteria	Consensus	max
The condition		score
Incidence	>1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	30%
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	92%
Burden if untreated	Moderate	53%

LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Considered rare, number of cases diagnosed by NBS is higher (1:50,000 - 75,000) than expected [1,2].
Rarely, if ever, present at birth, usually between 1 and 3 years of age [1-5].
Severe ketoacidosis, hypoglycemia hyperammonemia can lead to severe neurological damage, coma and death. Isolated hypotonia due to carnitine deficiency may also occur [1,2].

3q25-q27 5q12-q13

Locus

OMIM

210200; 609010; 210210; 609014

The test

Screening test	Yes	94%
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	98%
High throughput	Yes	87%
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	55%
Multiple analytes	Yes	73%
Secondary targets	Yes	64%
Multiplex platform	Yes	73%

	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Hydroxy isovalerylcarnitine is highly specific [2,6].
	Yes [7].
Ī	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [7].
	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [8].
	3-hydroxyisovalerylcarnitine (C5OH) [9].
Ī	Other disorders of leucine metabolism, MCD [1,6,7].
	Yes [7].

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	77%	Modest restriction of leuci of consensus as to whethe supplementation to preven
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences	57%	There is lack of consensus for treatment of acute episodes (13].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome (lack of consensus) (*)	50%	Hypotonia and motor delay w prior to neurological injury [1
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	60%	Genetic counseling and id available [11].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	55%	Acute episodes of metabo events [1,3].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	54%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 la informative. DNA testing is av fibroblasts or leukocytes is th
Acute management	Limited availability	57%	Glucose and correction of abnormalities. Care coord who are of limited availabit
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	46%	Dietary management and disease physicians who a

Modest restriction of leucine intake is often done but there is lack of consensus as to whether it is warranted. Carnitine supplementation to prevent deficiency [1,10-13].
There is lack of consensus for use of leucine restricted diets. Correct treatment of acute episodes prevents disability in almost all cases [1,10-13].
Hypotonia and motor delay will resolve in most cases if treatment begins prior to neurological injury [1,10-13].
Genetic counseling and identification of at-risk family members is available [11].
Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life-threatening events [1,3].
Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US.), urine organic acids may be informative. DNA testing is available on a research basis. 3MCC activity in fibroblasts or leukocytes is the more definitive test [1,12].
Glucose and correction of acidosis are driven by laboratory abnormalities. Care coordination requires metabolic physicians who are of limited availability [1,10-13].
Dietary management and supplementation require metabolic disease physicians who are in limited supply [11].

194S

Genetics IN Medicine



In a natural history of 3-MCC has been driven by the clinical ascertainment of patients presenting with severe acute episodes. However, since newborn screening with MS/MS began, many individuals have been identified with the analytes associated with the condition but without apparent clinical manifestations. This situation includes cases where the abnormal metabolites found in the neonatal blood spot were of maternal origin, usually biochemically affected but symptom-free subjects. All elements being considered, it is in the best interest of newborns affected with 3-MCC that the condition be identified in all cases. 3-MCC was therefore included in the core screening panel with the expectation that long-term follow up will lead to a better understanding of this condition and its clinical significance.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

195S

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

COND	ITION	Beta-ketothiola	ase de	ficiency		
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disor		ler of or	ganic acid metabolism			
ETHNICITY No clear ethnic diff		erences	; perhaps higher in Tunisia [1].			
SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spe		ctromet	rv (MS/MS)			
				ates, 30% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 33	Va	alid scores: 558	94%	PubMed references (August 2004) 434		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene ACAT1 Locus 11q22.3-q23.1 OMIM 203750		
Criteria The condition		Consensus	max score			
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	7%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Rare; no population data available. Perhaps higher in Tunisia		
	<1.100,0		/ /0	[1,2].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost N	Vever	88%	Not apparent in neonates [2,3,6-8].		
Burden if untreated	Severe		75%	Variable outcomes ranging from normal development without metabolic episodes to severe retardation and death following a first episode [2,3,6,7]. Mental retardation or ataxia in 28% [2,6,8].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		79%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling Reported in 1990 [9,10].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		88%	Allelic heterogeneity limits molecular second tier tests [2,3,11].		
High throughput	Yes		77%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [10].		
Overall cost <\$1 <\$1/test			57%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [12].		
Multiple analytes Yes			67%	C5:1 tiglylcarnitine and C5-OH elevated [10,11].		
Secondary targets	Yes		55%	2M3HBA, 3MGL, ?3MCG, ?MG [2,6,11,12].		
Multiplex platform Yes			61%	For comprehensive review see [10].		
The treatment						
		availability	69%	Acute management of ketoacidosis with IV glucose and bicarbonate. Avoidance of fasting and of protein rich and ketogenic diets and stresses [2,3,14,15].		
Efficacy of treatment	negative	I to prevent MOST consequences	57%	Early diagnosis and treatment prevents abnormal development [2,3,7,14].		
Benefits of early intervention		dence that early n optimizes individual	57%	Significant prevention of mortality [2,3,7].		
Benefits of early identification		ne benefits to family and		Genetic counseling, identification of relatives, prevention of costs for care of episodes, dismissal of abuse allegations [3,15].		
Prevention of mortality	<pre>/ Yes (lack of consensus) (*)</pre>		55%	Significant prevention of mortality [2,6].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited a	availability	50%	Plasma AC (~20 labs in the US) urine OA (>50 labs in the US) [16]. Enzyme assay to confirm is of very limited availability.		
Acute management	Limited a	availability	61%	Well established emergency protocols. Invasive methods not usually needed [2,17].		
Simplicity of therapy	the second s	volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	45%	No special food or orphan drugs [2,3].		

196S

Genetics IN Medicine



Glutaric acidemia type I
nborn error, disorder of organic acid metabolism
Panethnic; much more common in Old Order Amish and Island Lake Indians in Canada.
Fandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)
Screened for in 21 of 51 states, 33% of annual births (August 2004)
Га

Responses: 58	Valid scores: 1,012	97%	PubMed references (August 2004)	42	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene GCDH Locus 19p13.2	OMIM 231670	
Criteria	Consensus	max			
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDE	NCE [References]	
Incidence >1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)		27%	1:50,000 [1,2]; carrier frequency of 1:10 in Old Order Amish [3]		
Phenotype at birth Almost never		89%	Macrocephaly may be present at birth but often goes unrecognized. Most present in first 6 - 18 months following a respiratory or gastrointestinal illness [2,4,5].		
Burden if untreated Profound		92%	Acute encephalopathic episode leading to neurological dysfunction and death in first decade for those who become symptomatic [5,6].		

The test

Screening test	Yes	94%
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	100%
High throughput	Yes	89%
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	61%
Multiple analytes	Yes	79%
Secondary targets	Yes	71%
Multiplex platform	Yes	81%

MS/MS [7,8].	DNA testing in high incidence populations [9].
Yes [7,8].	
Up to 500-1,0	000 specimens per day [8].
	gher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 ly (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10].
C5 dicarboxylie [8].	c acylcarnitine is increased; C5DC:C16 often increased
GA-II [8].	
For compreh	ensive review, see [8].

The treatment

Availability & cost	Limited availability	64%
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	44%
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	72%
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	79%
Prevention of mortality	Yes	75%
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	56%
Acute management	Limited availability	54%
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	33%

	physicians for L-carnitine supplementation and management of intercurent illnesses [2,5].
	generation is avoided in significant proportion if segun before onset of symptoms [5].
Charles and the second second	generation is avoided in significant proportion if s begun before onset of symptoms [5].
and the second	nseling and prenatal diagnosis are available; identification isk family members; dismissal of abuse charges
More than episode [5,	70% develop normally if treated before their first
and urine. A	utaric acid is almost always elevated in plasma (serum) Assays for glutaryl CoA-dehydrogenase are available, as is y mutation analysis. [14,15].
Well establ	lished emergency protocols [5,11].
	volvement with metabolic physicians, particularly with t illnesses. [2,5].



Test available Yes			Type MS		S/MS	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing condit	ion?	N	0	
Final score	1435	/2100	% of max score		68%	
Rank:	0.83	%ile				
Observed signific	cant disc	repancies	with literatu	re	No	

ASSESSMENT

Primary targ	get, inclusion	in uniform	panel
--------------	----------------	------------	-------

COMMENT

GA-I is likely under diagnosed. Not all individuals within families with GA-1 are similarly clinically affected. A metabolic specialist should be involved with the management of GA-I patients at all times.

Goodman SI. Prenatal diagnosis of glutaric acidemias. Prenat 12 Diagn 2001;21:1167 - 8. 13 Morris AAM, et al. Glutaric aciduria and suspected child abuse. Arch Dis Child 1999;80:404-405. 14 Baric I et al. Diagnosis and management of glutaric aciduria type I. J Inherit Metab Dis 1998;21:326-40. 15 Goodman SI et al. Glutaryl-CoA dehydrogenase mutations in glutaric acidemia (Type I): review and report of thirty novel

mutations, Hum Mutat 1998;12:141-144.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

199**S**

CONDITION Isobuty		IsobutyryI-Co.	butyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency				
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Inborn error, disor	der of or	ganic acid metabolism			
ETHNICITY No known ethnic v SCREENING METHOD(S) Tandem mass spe		No known ethnic v	variability.				
		ectromet	ectrometry (MS/MS)				
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in 17	of 51 st	ates, 28% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 28		alid scores: 467	93%	PubMed references (August 2004) 23			
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene ACAD8 Locus 11q25 OMIM 604773			
Criteria The condition		Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	<1:100,	000	8%	Incidence not known; very rare [1,4,5,6].			
				Cardiomyopathy due to carnitine deficiency presents later.			
Phenotype at birth	Almost	never	92%	Patients identified early are asymptomatic [4,5,6].			
Burden if untreated	Modera	te (*)	95%	Natural history not known.			
The test							
Screening test	Yes		81%	MS/MS first reported in 1990 (4,5,7).			
Doable in DBS or by physical method Yes		96%	Yes [8].				
High throughput	Yes		85%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [8].			
Overall cost <\$1	verall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		54%	Likely to be done by MS/MS that is available in ~20 laboratories in the US [9].			
Multiple analytes	Yes		69%	C4 butyrylcarnitine.			
Secondary targets	Yes		60%	SCAD.			
Multiplex platform	Yes		67%	Yes [4,8].			
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	60%	Carnitine therapy has benefited some patients [6].			
Efficacy of treatment		to prevent SOME consequences (*)	40%	Carnitine therapy has benefited some patients [6].			
Benefits of early intervention		idence that early on optimizes individual	40%	Carnitine therapy has benefited some patients [6].			
Benefits of early identification	SOME to and soc	penefits to family iety	52%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [4,5].			
Prevention of mortality	No		37%	Not known.			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited	availability	43%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US) [10].			
Acute management	Only in	a few centers	39%	Experienced metabolic physicians are of very limited availability [3].			
Simplicity of therapy	Regular specialis	involvement of st	34%	Care coordination by an experienced metabolic disease physician is needed [1].			

Genetics IN Medicine



INCLUSION CRITERIA

Ye	es	Туре	MS	MS
her scor	ition?	Y	es	
1134	/2100	% of max	score	54%
0.42	%ile			
	her scor 1134	Yes her scoring cond 1134 /2100 0.42 %ile	her scoring condition? 1134 /2100 % of max	her scoring condition? Ye 1134 /2100 % of max score

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target

COMMENT

Fewer than 10 cases have been described. This is a clinically significant condition detected by acylcarnitine profiling to be included in the differential diagnosis of primary targets.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

201S

COND	TION Isov	aleric Acid	lemia	(isovaleryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency)		
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord		der of organic acid metabolism				
ETHNICITY No apparent ethnic		c variabi	lity.			
SCREENING METH		' em mass spe				
NBS STATUS in	the US Scree	ened for in 22	of 51 st	ates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 53	Valid sco	ores: 930	97%	PubMed references (August 2004) 123		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene IVA Locus 15q14-q15 OMIM 243500		
Criteria	Conse	nsus	max			
The condition	4 400 000 //		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,000 (lac consensus) (*)	ck of	19%	First reported in 1966, incidence in the US is between 1:62,50 250,000 [1-3].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never		83%	Acute onset in the first days or weeks of life is relatively common, but occurs rarely in the first 48 hours; a milder phenotype has recently been described [4].		
Burden if untreated Severe		84%	Developmental delay, failure to thrive, and hypotonia. Significant mortality in classic cases if acute episode is not treated aggressively [1,3,5].			
The test						
Screening test	Yes		98%	MS/MS [7-9].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		98%	Yes [3,7-9].		
High throughput	Yes		88%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [3].		
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		58%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		76%	Isolated elevation of C5-carnitine (representing primarily isovalerylcarnitine in IVA) [7,9].		
Secondary targets	Yes		65%	2MBG (SBCAD deficiency) [7,8].		
Multiplex platform Yes		71%	Yes [3].			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availab	ility	70%	Dietary management with low protein or selective leucine restriction. carnitine and/or glycine supplementation [1,5,13].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to pre negative conse	quences	55%	High likelihood of complete prevention of morbidity [11-13].		
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence intervention optin individual outcom	nizes	84%	Treatment prior to irreversible neurologic damage prevents recurrence of symptoms in most cases [11,12].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits and society	s to family	87%	Genetic counseling and identification of at-risk family members is available; prevention of costs for care of catastrophic episodes, dismissal of abuse charges, prenatal diagnosis is possible [14,6].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes		91%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life- threatening events [4,5,15].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability		62%	Urine acylglycines, urine organic acids, and plasma acylcarnitines usually sufficient to confirm diagnosis. Cell-based in vitro studies in fibroblast cultures and DNA analysis for common mutation (A282V) can be helpful; specific enzyme assay and gene sequencing available on a research basis only [14,17].		
Acute management	Limited availab	ility	56%	Well-established emergency protocols [4,5,17].		
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involveme (lack of consensus)		42%	Metabolic physicians are required for dietary management an care coordination in collaboration with PCP [5,18].		

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

COND	ITION Ma	Ionic acide	mia		
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disorde		der of or	ganic acid metabolism		
ETHNICITY No known ethnic va		ariability.			
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) tand	lem mass spe	ctrometr	y (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in				ates, 13% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 22	Valid s	cores: 378	95%	PubMed references (August 2004) 111	
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene MLYCD Locus 16q24 OMIM 248360	
Criteria The condition	Cons	ensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]	
Incidence	<1:100,000		5%	Not known; very rare [1].	
Phenotype at birth	Phenotype at birth Almost never		89%	At least one has presented as neonate; most are later [1-6].	
Burden if untreated	Severe		71%	Mortality and long term disability are high [1-6].	
The test					
Screening test	Yes		76%	MS/MS first reported in 1990 [7].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method			80%	Yes [8,9].	
High throughput	Yes		70%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [10].	
Overall cost <\$1	verall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		55%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [11].	
Multiple analytes	Aultiple analytes Yes		55%	C3DC Malonylcarnitine, benzoylcarnitine, C3 propionylcarnitine [10].	
Secondary targets	No (lack of co	onsensus) (*)	50%	Propionic acidemia, MMA [10].	
Multiplex platform	Multiplex platform Yes		70%	Yes, see [10] for comprehensive review.	
The treatment			E.		
Availability & cost	Limited availa	ability	64%	Carnitine supplementation and dietary management [1,2].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to p negative cons	revent SOME sequences	26%	Efficacy is not yet known; some partial improvements in phenotypes are reported [1,12,14].	
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence intervention optir outcome	that early	50%	Efficacy is not yet known; some partial improvements in phenotypes are reported [1,12,14].	
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefi and society	ts to family	70%	Genetic counseling is available and prenatal diagnosis is feasible [13].	
Prevention of mortality	Yes		52%	Unknown but likely to improve mortality [1,13,14].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few ce consensus) ('	enters (lack of *)	39%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US) [15-18]. Requires enzymology and mutation testing that are available in only a few centers.	
Acute management	Limited availa	ability	56%	Experienced metabolic physicians are of very limited availability [13].	
Simplicity of therapy	Regular invol specialist	vement of	30%	Dietary management and supportive care requires routine involvement of specialists [13].	

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH NBS STATUS in	DRDER NICITY HOD(S)	Inborn error, disord Cases reported wo Tandem mass spe	der of or orldwide ectromet	emia (complementation groups: Cbl A and Cbl B) rganic acid metabolism , no ethnic differences. ry (MS/MS) tates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 46	V	alid scores: 815	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 561		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria Consensus The condition			% of max score	Gene MMAA MMAB Locus 4q31.1-q31.2 12Q24 OMIM 251100; 251110 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,0	000	14%	Estimated at 1:48,000 live births for all complementation groups [1,2].		
Phenotype at birth Almost never		85%	30-40% of cases present with overwhelming illness (ketoacidosis, coma) in the first week of life. Late onset (>1 yr) in ~10% of cases [1,3,4].			
Burden if untreated Profound		92%	Developmental delay (30%), failure to thrive (80%), and hypotonia (40 50%). Significant mortality during acute episodes [1,4-10].			
The test						
Screening test Yes		87%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Propionylcarnitine has a relatively high rate of false positives. False negatives have been reported [12,13].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		89%	Yes [13].		
High throughput	Yes		75%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [12,13].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)		50%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [13].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		69%	C3 and ratios to other species (C2, C16), methylmalonylcarnitine (C3- DC) inconsistently detected [12,13].		
Secondary targets	Yes		53%	Other complementation groups [12,13].		
Multiplex platform	Yes		62%	Yes [13].		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited	availability	65%	Cobalamin supplementation, L-carnitine, gut sterilization, low protein diets. Liver transplantation in a few cases [1,4,5,10,11,14].		
Efficacy of treatment		to prevent SOME consequences	46%	Reverses clinical and biochemical abnormalities in most cases with CbIA. In CbIB, 1/3 do well, 1/3 have deficits, and 1/3 die [1,2,5].		
Benefits of early intervention	interven	idence that early tion optimizes al outcome	77%	Reverses clinical and biochemical abnormalities in 90% of cases with CbIA. In CbIB, 1/3 do well, 1/3 have deficits, and 1/3 die [1,2,5].		
Benefits of early identification	individual outcome Clear benefits to family and society		80%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available. Possible prenatal therapy [16,17].		
Prevention of mortality	Yes		89%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life- threatening events [1,9].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited consens	availability (lack of sus) (*)	41%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US.), urine organic acids, plasma aminoacids. Complementation studies in skin fibroblasts [1,14,15].		
Acute management	Limited	availability	57%	Well established emergency protocols [1,14,16].		
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	32%	Metabolic physicians and other specialist are required on an ongoing basis [14].		

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

207S

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

COND	ITION Methylmaloni	c acide	emia (complementation groups: Cbl C and Cbl D)				
TYPE of DISC	ORDER Inborn error, diso	rder of or	rganic acid metabolism				
ETHNICITY Cases reported wo			orldwide, no ethnic differences.				
SCREENING METH							
NBS STATUS in			tates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)				
NBS 51A105 III	Screened for in 2	201315	ates, 55% of annual births (August 2004)				
Responses: 45	Valid scores: 775	96%	PubMed references (August 2004) 61				
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene CBLC CBLD Locus 19Q13.2 OMIM 277400; 277410				
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score					
	-4-400 000 (*)		LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Estimated at 1:48,000 live births for all complementation				
Incidence	<1:100,000 (*)	15%	groups. Cbl D is an extremely rare condition [1,2].				
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	83%	80-90% of cases present in the first year of life, including overwhelming illness in the first week of life [1,3,4].				
Burden if untreated	Severe	89%	Developmental delay, failure to thrive, megaloblastic anemia, seizures [3-14].				
The test							
Screening test Yes		71%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Propionylcarnitine has a relatively high rate of false positives. False negatives have been reported [15,16].				
Doable in DBS or by physical method Yes		84%	Yes [16].				
High throughput Yes		71%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [16].				
Overall cost <\$1 No (>\$1/test)		48%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT,MI,NY,RI,VA,WA) [17].				
Multiple analytes	No	63%	C3 and ratios to other species (C2, C16), methylmalonylcarnitine (C DC) inconsistently detected. [15,16] Homocystine elevated and methionine is low but they may not be assessed.				
Secondary targets	Yes	49%	Other complementation groups [15,16].				
Multiplex platform	Yes	53%	Yes [16].				
The treatment							
Availability & cost	Limited availability	64%	Cobalamin supplementation, L-carnitine, antibiotics, low protein diets. [4,5,9-13].				
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	31%	Response to treatment is often unsatisfactory [2,9-13].				
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	58%	Outcome varies with complementation group and age of onset of symptoms [1,9-13].				
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	76%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [19,20].				
Prevention of mortality	Yes	74%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life- threatening events [1,9,18].				
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers	38%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US), urine organic acids, plasma amino acids. Complementation studies in skin fibroblasts [17,19,20].				
Acute management	Limited availability	51%	Well established emergency protocols [2,19].				
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (*)	25%	Metabolic physicians and other specialists are required on an ongoing basis [18]. Transplantation in limited centers.				

Genetics IN Medicine



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

209S

COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH NBS STATUS in	ORDER Inborn error, disord NICITY Panethnic. HOD(S) Tandem mass spe	der of or	emia (methylmalonyl-CoA mutase deficiency) ganic acid metabolism ry (MS/MS) tates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 60	Valid scores: 1,055	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 366	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene MUT Locus 6p21 OMIM 251000	
Criteria	Consensus	max		
The condition	>1:75,000 (lack of	score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Estimated at 1:48,000 live births for all complementation	
Incidence	consensus) (*)	28%	groups [1].	
Phenotype at birth Almost never		81%	80% of mut° patients present in first week of life while mut ⁻ cases present after first month. Rare cases present later in life. [2,3,4,5]. Minority of cases have dysmorphisms that may be apparent at birth.	
Burden if untreated	Profound	96%	Developmental delay, failure to thrive, and muscular hypotonia. Significant mortality during acute episodes [2-9].	
The test				
Screening test	Yes	90%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Propionylcarnitine has a relatively high rate of false positives. False negatives have been reported [10,11].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	97%	Yes [11].	
High throughput	Yes	86%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [11].	
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	63%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [12].	
Multiple analytes	Yes	77%	C3 and ratios to other species (C2, C16), methylmalonylcarnitine (C3-DC) inconsistently detected [10,11	
Secondary targets	Yes	54%	Several Cbl complementation groups (Cbl A-H) [10,11].	
Multiplex platform	Yes	67%	Yes [11].	
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Limited availability	58%	Low protein diet, precursor-free formulas, L-carnitine, and antibiotics. Liver or liver/kidney transplantation in a few cases [13-17].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	38%	Outcome varies with complementation group and age of onset of symptoms [14-17]. 60% may still die after treatment. Combined liver-kidney transplantation can correct renal disease and normalize metabolic status. Liver transplantation does not protect against renal complications. Efficacy in preventing late neurological disease is suspect [16,17].	
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	75%	Outcome varies with type and age of onset of symptoms [14-17]. Combined liver-kidney transplantation for severe cases can correct renal disease and normalize metabolic status [16,17].	
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	79%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [18,19].	
Prevention of mortality	Yes	93%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life-threatening events [14-17].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	53%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US.), urine organic acids, plasma amino acids [18]. Complementation studies in skin fibroblasts. DNA testing is available on a research basis, significant allelic heterogeneity [17,19].	
Acute management	Limited availability	51%	Well established emergency protocols [8,13-15,17].	
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	22%	Metabolic physicians and other specialists are required on an ongoing basis [17].	



May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

211S

COND	ITION Holocarboxy	lase sy	nthetase deficiency (multiple carboxylase deficiency)			
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disord			der of organic acid metabolism			
ETHNICITY No known ethnic va			variability.			
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) Tandem mass sp	ectromet	ry (MS/MS)			
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 1	6 of 51 s	tates, 25% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 46	Valid scores: 812	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 155			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene HLCS Locus 21Q22.1 OMIM 253270			
Criteria	Consensus	max				
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	<1:100,000	6%	First case described in 1971 [1]. Incidence estimated at 1:87,000 [2-3].			
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases (lack of consensus) (*)	96%	Most patients present before six weeks of age [4-8].			
Burden if untreated	Severe	91%	Episodes of ketoacidosis evolving in dehydration and coma, skin manifestations, alopecia [4-8].			
The test						
Screening test	Yes	77%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling [9].			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	84%	Yes [9].			
High throughput	Yes	75%	75% Up to 500-1,000 specimens per day [9].			
Overall cost <\$1	cost <\$1 <\$1/test		Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [10].			
Multiple analytes	Yes	71%	Propionylcarnitine (and ratios to other species), 3-OH isovalerylcarnitine [9,11].			
Secondary targets	Yes	53%	Single defects of the three carboxylases, biotinidase deficiency [5].			
Multiplex platform	Yes	62%	Yes [9].			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Widely available	72%	Biotin treatment is widely available and inexpensive (\$100 - \$300 per year) [12].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	53%	High likelihood of complete prevention of morbidity, responsiveness to biotin may vary [5,6,7,13,14,16].			
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	77%	Treatment prior to irreversible neurologic damage resolves symptoms in most cases [5,6,7,13,14,16].			
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	85%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available, prenatal treatment is possible [15,16].			
Prevention of mortality	Yes	93%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life- threatening events [5,6,7,13,14,16].			
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	49%	Holocarboxylase synthetase activity assay is of limited availability. Diagnosis is also possible by measuring carboxylase activities with and without added biotin. Molecular testing available but there is considerable allelic heterogeneity [6-8].			
Acute management	Limited availability	54%	Metabolic specialists for initial treatment and monitoring are of limited availability. Well established emergency protocols [18].			
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist	46%	Metabolic physicians are required for periodic dietary management and care coordination [19].			



COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH	DRDER Inborn error, disord NICITY Panethnic; higher i	der of or n Saudi	propionyl-CoA carboxylase deficiency) ganic acid metabolism Arabia and among Greenland's Inuits. y (MS/MS)	
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 22	of 51 st	ates, 35% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 68	Valid scores: 1,194	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 238	
SURVEY SCORES Criteria	Consensus	% of max score	Gene PCCA PCCB Locus 13q32 3q21- q22 OMIM 232000 232050	
The condition Incidence >1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)		25%	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] First reported in the 1960's, hundreds of cases diagnosed worldwide. Incidence estimated at 1:100,000; 1:2,000-5,000 in Saudi Arabia and 1:1,000 in Inuits from Greenland [1,2,3].	
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	79%	25% of cases present neonatally with severe metabolic acidosis [4].	
Burden if untreated Profound		97%	Metabolic acidosis and hyperammonemia leading to severe neurological damage, coma and death. Cases with milder phenotypes are being identified in newborn screening [5,6,7,8].	
The test				
Screening test	Yes	90%	MS/MS, precursor ion scan of m/z 85 for acylcarnitine profiling. Propionylcarnitine has a relatively high rate of false positives. False negatives have been reported [9,10].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	94%	Yes [10].	
High throughput	Yes	86%	Up to 500-1000 tests per day [9].	
Overall cost <\$1	Clear benefits to family and society	59%	Cost likely higher if MS/MS implemented to screen for 1-3 conditions only (CT, MI, NY, RI, VA, WA) [11].	
Multiple analytes	Yes	75%	C3 and ratios to other species (C2, C16) [9].	
Secondary targets	Yes	57%	MCD, MMA (MUT, Cbl A-D) [9].	
Multiplex platform	tform Yes		Yes [9].	
The treatment				
Availability & cost	Limited availability	57%	Dietary management with low protein or selective restrictions. L- carnitine is useful. Metabolic physicians for dietary management are of very limited availability [12,13,15].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	38%	Even when treated, developmental delay, seizures and other neurological complications, as well as bone marrow suppression are common [4,7,14].	
Benefits of early intervention	CLEAR evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	76%	Morbidity prevention is rarely complete [4,7,14].	
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	79%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available. [16,17].	
Prevention of mortality	Yes	89%	Acute episodes of metabolic decompensation are life- threatening events [5,6,7,8].	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	54%	Plasma acylcarnitines (~20 labs in the US.), urine organic acids, plasma amino acids [18]. Enzyme assay of propionyl CoA carboxylase activity is available in few laboratories. DNA testing is available on a research basis, significant allelic heterogeneity [19].	
Acute management	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	49%	Well established emergency protocols [2,6].	
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	17%	Metabolic physicians are required for dietary management and care coordination.	



May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

HEMATOLOGY/HEMOGLOBINOPATHY

CONE	ITION Sickle cell and	emia (H	Ib SS disease)			
TYPE of DISORDER Hemoglobinopathy						
FT	Most common an	-	e of African ancestry > Mediterranean, Caribbean, South and			
EIF			ancestry > Northern European ancestry [3].			
SCREENING MET	HOD(S) HPLC and Isoeled	ctrofocus	ing			
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 4	9 of 51 st	ates, 99% of annual births (August 2004)			
Responses: 55	Valid scores: 834	84%	PubMed references (August 2004): 14,447			
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene HBB Locus 11p15.5 OMIM 603903; 141900			
Criteria	Consensus	max				
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	>1:5,000	80%	1:3,721 in US newborn screens in 28,149,621 newborns [1].			
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	94%	Although clinical manifestations are very heterogeneous, presentation is usually in the first 2 years of life [2].			
Burden if untreated Profound		85%	Hemolysis, vascular occlusion & tissue ischemia may lead to injury to every organ system. Serious complications in early childhood include infection, vaso-occlusive pain crises, acute chest syndrome, acute splenic sequestration, aplastic anemia and stroke (10% of children) [3-7].			
The test		<u> </u>	-			
Screening test	Yes	98%	IEF or HPLC in most states [8,9]. DNA analysis can be done on dried blood spots.			
Doable in DBS or by physical method	y Yes		Yes, see [8,9].			
High throughput	Yes	98%	Yes, see [8,9].			
Overall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		66%	Cost per test varies with reporting practices for variant hemoglobinopathies [10].			
Multiple analytes	Yes	70%	Yes, see [8,9].			
Secondary targets	Yes	62%	Yes, see [8,9].			
Multiplex platform	Yes	45%	Yes, see [8,9].			
The treatment						
Availability & cost Widely available		87%	Pediatric hematologists with experience in hemoglobinopathies are moderately available. Prophylactic medications, health maintenance visits and coordination of care are critical [11-13].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	38%	Immunizations prevent some infections. Conjugated pneumococcal vaccine and/or penicillin prophylaxis prevents 80% of life threatening episodes of strep pneumoniae sepsis [11-13].			
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	66%	Immunizations prevent some infections. Conjugated pneumococcal vaccine and/or penicillin prophylaxis prevents 80% of life-threatening episodes of strep. pneumoniae sepsis [11-14].			
Benefits of early	CLEAR benefits to family	85%	Enables detection in relatives. Genetic counseling available [15,16].			

y	85%	Enables detection in relatives. Genetic counseling available [15,16].
	88%	Conjugated pneumococcal vaccine and/or penicillin prophylaxis prevents 80% of life threatening episodes of strep, pneumoniae sepsis [12,14,15] and red cell transfusions prevent stroke [14].
	99%	Confirmation with an alternative method (HPLC, complementary electrophoretic methods, and DNA is done on the DBS or a separate specimen [5,6].
	89%	Care for fever, acute chest syndrome (ACS), and splenic sequestration is widely available. Some episodes of pain are managed at home. Hydroxyurea can be used to prevent vasoocclusive pain crises and ACS in children [16].

Some care is provided at home. Preventive therapies relatively simple. Care coordination is more complex [17-19].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

and society

Widely available

Widely available

Periodic involvement of a

specialist (lack of consensus)

Yes

(*)

identification

Confirmation of

Acute management

Simplicity of therapy

diagnosis

Prevention of mortality

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

48%





Hemoglobin SC

TYPE of DISORDER ETHNICITY SCREENING METHOD(S) NBS STATUS in the US

CONDITION

Hemoglobinopathy Primarily in population of West African ancestry.

High pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) or isoelectric focusing (IEF)

Screened for in 49 of 51 states, 99% of annual births (August 2004)

Responses: 45	Valid scores: 782	97%	PubMed references (August 2004): 1,097
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene HBB Locus 11p15.5 OMIM 603903
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:25,000	61%	1:7,386 in US newborn screens of 28,149,621 newborns reported to the NNSGRC [1].
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	91%	Never [2, 3].
Burden if untreated	Severe	65%	Phenotype milder than SCA (HbSS disease) [4]. Among those more severely affected, hemolysis, vascular occlusion & tissue ischemia may lead to injury to in every organ system. Serious complications in early childhood include infection, vaso-occlusive pain crises, acute chest syndrome, acute splenic sequestration, aplastic anemia and stroke (10% of children) [3-6].

The test

Screening test	Yes	98%	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes	98%	
High throughput	Yes	82%	
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test	65%	
Multiple analytes	Yes	71%	
Secondary targets	Yes	62%	
Multiplex platform	Yes	49%	

Isoelectric focusing (IEF) in most states [7]. C usually by extended IEF or citrate agar electro testing may be done. [19,20].	onfirmatory screening is phoresis and DNA
Yes, see [7].	
Yes. [7].	
Per test cost per condition varies with rep variant hemoglobinopathies [8].	orting practices for
Yes, see [7].	
Yes, see [7].	
Yes, see [7].	

The treatment

Availability & cost	Widely available	85%	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)	36%	
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	56%	
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	81%	
Prevention of mortality	Yes	73%	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	97%	
Acute management	Widely available	90%	
Simplicity of therapy	Primary care, family level (lack of consensus) (*)	49%	

moderate	hematologists with experience in hemoglobinopathies are ely available. Prophylactic medications, health maintenance visits and tion of care are critical [9-13,19,20].
and/or pe	ations prevent some infections. Conjugated pneumococcal vaccine enicillin prophylaxis prevents 80% of life threatening episodes of strep niae sepsis [10-13].
life-threa monitorir	ations and penicillin prophylaxis prevent some infections and 80% of tening episodes of strep pneumoniae sepsis. Ophthalmologic ng detects retinal complications. Monitoring for avascular necrosis of s early intervention [11].
Allows f	or detection in relatives. Genetic counseling available [9].
	ations and penicillin prophylaxis prevent some infections. lusion can lead to typical acute chest syndrome [9, 11,12].
	ation with an alternative method (HPLC, complementary oretic methods, and DNA) is done on a separate specimen [7].
Some epis	aver, acute chest syndrome (ACS), and splenic sequestration is widely available sodes of pain are managed at home. Hydroxyurea can be used to prevent sive pain crises and ACS in children [12,13].
Some of	care provided at home. Preventive therapies relatively Care coordination is more complex [4, 14-20].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

220S



CONDITION Hemoglobin S		/beta t	thalassemia (Hb S-ßthal)			
TYPE of DISORDER Hemoglobinopath		/				
ETHNICITY Hb S is most com			ong those of African ancestry > Mediterranean, Caribbean, South bian ancestry > Northern European ancestry [3].			
			natography (HPLC) or isoelectric focusing (IEF)			
NBS STATUS in	the US	HDSIS+ IS Screene	a for in 4	49 of 51 states, 99% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 43] [Va	alid scores: 745	96%	PubMed references (August 2004): 478		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene HBA1 Locus 11p15.5 OMIM 141900		
Criteria Consensus The condition		max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]			
Incidence	>1:50,000		55%	1:18,805 in London, UK [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never		94%	May present in first 1 -2 yrs but depends on the severity of the ß-tha mutations with ß° being similar to SS and ß+ being quite variable. [2 3].		
Burden if untreated	Severe		69%	Hemolysis, vascular occlusion & tissue ischemia leads to injury to every organ. Catastrophic stroke in as many as 10% of children with B° [4-6].		
The test						
Screening test	Yes		89%	Isoelectric focusing or HPLC in most states detects HbSß+. Distinguishing Sß° from SS requires family studies or DNA testing. Confirmatory screen usually uses extended IEF and citrate agar electrophoresis [7].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	Yes		98%	Yes, see [7].		
High throughput	Yes		78%	Yes, see [7].		
Overall cost <\$1	<\$1/test		61%	Cost per test varies with reporting practices for variant hemoglobinopathies [8].		
Multiple analytes	Yes		67%	Yes, see [7].		
Secondary targets	dary targets Yes		62%	Yes, see [7].		
Multiplex platform Yes		50%	Yes, see [7].			
The treatment						
Availability & cost Widely available		88%	Experienced pediatric hematologists are moderately available. Health maintenance visits and coordination of care are critical. Prophylactic medications may be useful in severe cases [9-13,18].			
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (lack of consensus) (*)		39%	Efficacy varies with severity. Immunizations prevent some infections. Conjugated pneumococcal vaccine and/or penicillin prophylaxis prevents 80% of life threatening episodes of strep pneumoniae sepsis [10-14,18].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome		58%	Immunizations and penicillin prophylaxis prevent some infections and 80% of life-threatening episodes of strep pneumoniae sepsis. Ophthalmologic monitoring detects retinal complications. Monitoring for avascular necrosis of hip allows early intervention [11].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society		81%	Allows for detection in relatives. Genetic counseling is available [9].		
Prevention of mortality	/ Yes		79%	Immunizations and penicillin prophylaxis prevent some infections in S/ß° cases. Vasoocclusion can lead to typical acute chest syndrome [9,11,12,16].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available		96%	Confirmation with an alternative method (HPLC, complementary electophoretic methods, and DNA) is done on a separate specimen. Distinguishing S/B° cases from SS cases may require family studies and/or DNA studies if done prior to age 6 months [7,9].		
Acute management	Widely a	available	88%	Care for fever, acute chest syndrome (ACS), and splenic sequestration is widely available. Some episodes of pain are managed at home. Hydroxyurea can be used to prevent vasoocclusive pain crises and ACS in children. [12,13].		
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of a specialist (lack of consensus) (*)		51%	Some care provided at home. Preventive therapies relatively simple. Care coordination is more complex [4,14-20].		



Genetics IN Medicine

CONDITION Variant hemog TYPE of DISORDER Hematology, Hemo			opathies (including Hb E)		
ETHNICITY Panethnic for the g			b E is most common in parts of southeast Asia; Hb C is most African ancestry; Hb D in the Punjab region.		
SCREENING METH			natography (HPLC) and isoelectric focusing (IEF)		
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 49	of 51 sta	ates, 99% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 41	Valid scores: 677	92%	PubMed references (August 2004) 510		
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene Many Locus Many OMIM Multiple		
Criteria Consensus		max			
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	idence >1:50,000		Incidence of the group varies depending on which variants are considered clinically significant. Screening detects a variant that must next be identified Individual variants are rare, though Hb E is most common [1, 2, 3].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	90%	Not apparent at birth. Clinically significant variants cosegregating with ß-tha mutation, it may present in 1st - 2nd year of life depending on severity of individual mutations [1,2].		
Burden if untreated	rden if untreated Mild		Can lead to complications of sickle cell disease when variant is associated with an S allele (e.g., HbS/O-Arab) with hemolysis, vascular occlusion & tissue ischemia leads to injury to every organ or to thalassemia intermedia (e.g., HbE/ β° -thal) with severity related to the β° -thalassemia mutation [4-6].		
The test					
Screening test Yes		85%	Primary screening done by HPLC or IEF in most states to detect unknown variants. Confirmation often requires molecular or mass spectrometry methods on the same specimen [7].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	1YPS		Yes, see [7].		
High throughput	No	71%	Yes, see [7].		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/Test)	55%	Cost per test varies with reporting practices for variant hemoglobinopathies [8].		
Multiple analytes	No	70%	Yes, see [7].		
Secondary targets	No	58%	Yes, see [7].		
Multiplex platform Yes		39%	Yes, see [7].		
The treatment					
Availability & cost		75%	Experienced pediatric hematologists are moderately available. Prophylactic medications, health maintenance visits and coordination of care are critical [8-15].		
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent MOST negative consequences	30%	Immunizations prevent some infections. Conjugate pneumococcal vaccine and penicillin prophylaxis prevent 80% of life threatening episodes of strep pneumoniae sepsis [9-13].		
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome (lack of consensus) (*)	38%	Benefits depend on which variants are inherited in a compound heterozygous fashion with either HbS or ß- thalassemia mutation. Reduced hospitalizations and episodes of pain for the severely affected [9].		
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	64%	Allows detection in relatives. Genetic counseling is available [8].		
Prevention of mortality		42%	Sepsis is much less common in the variant hemoglobinopathies [9,11,12,16].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Widely available	79%	Confirmation with an alternative method (HPLC, complementary electophoretic methods, and DNA) is done on a separate specimen [9,11].		
Acute management	Limited availability	73%	Care for fever, acute chest syndrome, and splenic sequestration is widely available. Some episodes of pain are managed at home. Hydroxyurea can be used to prevent vasoocclusive pain crises and ACS in children [12,13].		
Simplicity of therapy	nplicity of therapy Periodic involvement of a specialist (lack of consensus) (*)		Some care provided at home. Preventive therapies relatively simple. Care coordination is more complex [1,14-20].		

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

Variant hemoglobinopathies (including Hb E) CRITERIA OF LEAST CONSENSUS see (*) on first page



Test available	Ye	Туре	HPLC		
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	Y	se
Final score	1199	/2100	% of max s	score	57%
and the second sec	The second	%ile			

ASSESSMENT

Secondary target
COMMENT
Over 750 Hb variants have been described. The California Newborn Screening Program considers 27 to be of clinical significance including S/E, S/HPFH/S/V, S/D, H, alpha-thalassemia major and various combinations of these. Depending on the combinations of these much rarer alleles, phenotypes can range from those seen in sickle cell anemia to very much milder forms. Although disease is milder than in SCA, complications such as proliferative retinopathy and osteonecrosis of the hips, are progressive. Both individually and as a group, the sickle cell anemias scored in the top 6 - 13 conditions and are clearly important for newborn screening. The expert group reaffirmed prior recommendations that all clinically significant results from a newborn screen be reported.

1	Weatherall DH et al. In: Scriver CR et al (eds) The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001;2297-326.					
2	Dover GJ et al. Sickle cell disease. In: Nathan DG et al. (eds). Hematology in Infancy and Childhood. 5th ed., WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1998;762.					
3	Almeida AM et al. Neonatal screening for haemoglobinopathies: the results of a 10-year programme in an English health region. Brit J Haematol 2001;112:32-5.					
4	Vichinsky EP. Comprehensive care in sickle cell disease: its impact on morbidity and mortality. Semin Hematol 1991;28:220-6.					
5	Gill FM et al. Clinical events in the first decade in a cohort of infants with sickle cell disease. Cooperative study of sickle cell disease. Blood 1995;86:776-83 <u>AND</u> Hoppe C et al. The natural history of sickle cell disease. Curr Opin Pediatr 1998;10:49-52; <u>AND</u> Quinn CT et al. Survival of children with sickle cell disease. Blood 2004;103:4023-7.					
6	Ohene-Frempong K et al. Cerebrovascular accidents in sickle cell disease: rates and risk factors. Blood 1998;91:288-94; <u>AND</u> Wang WC et al. Abnormalities of the central nervous system in very young children with sickle cell anemia. J Pediatr 1998;132:994-8.					
7	Scienberg M. Newborn screening for hemoglobinopathies: Program development and laboratory methods. Ad hoc Newborn Screening Committee. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 1991 <u>AND</u> Therrell BL et al. Hemoglobinopathy screening laboratory techniques for newborns. In: Therrell BL (ed). Laboratory Methods for Neonatal Screening, American Public Health Assoc. 1993;169-89.					
8	Lane PA et al. Cost-effectiveness of neonatal screening for sickle cell disease. Journal Pediatrics 1992;120,162-163 <u>AND</u> Cronin EK et al. Costing model for neonatal screening and diagnosis of haemoglobinopathies. Arch Dis Child: Fetal Neonatal Edition 1998;79:161–F167. Visibineky ER. Schlip K. Sickle cell disease. (cs. of 09, 15, 03) Conse.					
9	Vichinsky EP, Schlis K. Sickle cell disease. (as of 09-15-03) Gene Reviews, http://genetests.org.					
10	Pass KA et al. for CORN. U.S. newborn screening guidelines II: Follow- up of children, diagnosis, management, and evaluation: statement of the Council of Regional Networks for Genetic Services (CORN). J Pediatr 2000;137:S1-S42.					
11	Adamkiewicz TV et al. Invasive pneumococcal infections in children with sickle cell disease in the era of penicillin prophylaxis, antibiotic resistance, and 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination. J Pediatr 2003;143:438-44; <u>AND</u> Davies EG et al. Pneumococcal vaccines for sickle cell disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004(1):CD003885; <u>AND</u> Gaston MH et al. Prophylaxis with oral penicillin in children with sickle cell anemia. A randomized trial. N Engl J Med 1986;314:1593.0					
12						
13	Zimmerman SA et al. Sustained long-term hematologic efficacy of hydroxyurea at maximum tolerated dose in children with sickle cell disease. Blood. 2004;103:2039- 45. Epub 2003 Nov 20; <u>AND</u> Wang WC et al. Effect of hydroxyurea on growth in children with sickle cell anemia: results of the HUG-KIDS Study. J Pediatr 2002;140(2):225-9; <u>AND</u> Hoppe C et al. Use of hydroxyurea in children ages 2 to 5 years with sickle cell disease. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2000;22:330-4.					
14	American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Genetics. Health supervision for children with sickle cell diseases and their families. Pediatrics 1989;83:858-60.					
15	Davies SC Screening for sickle cell disease and thalassaemia: a systematic review with supplementary research. Health Technol Assess 2000;4:1-99.					
16						
17	Leikin SL et al. Mortality in children and adolescents with sickle cell disease: cooperative study of sickle cell disease. Pediatrics 1989;84:500-8.					
18	Adams RJ et al. Stroke prevention trial in sickle cell anemia. Control Clin Trials 1998;19:110 -129.					
19	DeBaun MR et al. Cognitive screening examinations for silent cerebral infarcts in sickle cell disease. Neurology 1998;50:1678-82.					
	Vichinsky E. New therapies in sickle cell disease. Lancet					

CONDITION Glucose-6-ph		sphat	e dehydrogenase deficiency			
TYPE of DISORDER Hematologic disor		ler				
		lity				
ETHNICITY Significant variabil						
		assay for G6PD activity				
NBS STATUS in the US Screened for in 3 c				tes, 6% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 42	Va	lid scores: 701	93%	PubMed references (August 2004): 11,495		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene G6PD Locus Xq28 OMIM 305900		
Criteria	C	onsensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence >1:25,000		68%	Gene frequencies of 5% - 25% in tropical Africa, Middle East, Tropical/Subtropical Asia, Mediterranean [1].			
Phenotype at birth	Phenotype at birth Never		85%	Varies with the severity of the G6PD mutations. Ranges from no signs and symptoms to severe anemia and/or hyperbilirubinemia and jaundice (rarely) [1,2].		
Burden if untreated	urden if untreated Moderate (lack of consensus) (*)		38%	Most are asymptomatic and never express related phenotypes. Induced acute hemolytic anemia and neonatal jaundice occur. G6PD deficiency accounts for as much as 1/3 of kernicterus cases [3-6].		
The test						
Screening test Yes			88%	G6PD activity by fluorescent spot test is semi quantitative and may not detect partial deficiencies (e.g., heterozygous females) [1,4,7,8]. Some patients may be identified through bilirubin screening that remains to be fully validated in a general U.S. population setting [6,9,10].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method Yes		86%	Yes, see [7].			
High throughput Yes		76%	Yes, see [7].			
Overall cost <\$1 <\$1/test		56%	No, stand-alone test [7].			
Multiple analytes No		8%	No [7].			
Secondary targets No		11%	No [7].			
Multiplex platform No		9%	No [7].			
The treatment	1					
Availability & cost Widely available		95%	Severe anemia and/or hyperbilirubinemia may require exchange transfusions or phototherapy. Avoidance of oxidants, antimalarials, sulfonamides, and other red cell stressers [1,2,4-6,11].			
Efficacy	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences		61%	Identification allows control of exposure to red cell stressers [1,2,6]. Exchange transfusions and/or phototherapy are effective in minimizing progression to kernicterus [5,6,11].		
Early intervention	Some evidence that early intervention optimizes outcome		43%	Identification allows control of exposure to potentially hemolytic agents. [1,2,6]. However, most show little more than episodes of hemolytic anemia [1,2,4].		
Early identification	arly identification Clear benefits to family and society		60%	Genetic counseling, prenatal diagnosis [13], and molecular diagnostics [1].		
Mortality prevention	No (lack of consensus) (*)		45%	Rarely, death from a severe hemolytic event occurs [1,11].		
Diagn. confirmation	n. confirmation Limited availability		82%	G6PD activity in hemizygous males and heterozygous females is complicated by X-chromosme inactivation in the female heterozygotes [8].		
Acute management	Widely av	vailable	90%	Transfusion therapy for acute hemolytic anemia is widely available as is phototherapy and/or exchange transfusion for hyperbilirubinemia [5,6].		
Simplicity of therapy No specialist involvement		alist involvement	80%	Avoidance of exposure to hemolytic agents can be managed by oneself or by a primary care provider and, therefore, is widely available [1].		

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



was not recommended for newborn screening.

Genetics IN Medicine

Newborn screening panel and system

CREATINE METABOLISM DISORDERS
ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

CONDITION Guanidinoaceta		ate m	ethyltransferase deficiency			
TYPE of DISC	TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, disorde		ler of cr	eatine metabolism		
ETHNICITY No known ethnic va			ariation.			
SCREENING METHOD(S) No test						
			of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 23	Va	alid scores: 410	99%	PubMed references (August 2004) 38		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene GAMT Locus 19p13.3 OMIM 601240		
Criteria		Consensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence <1:100,000		000	5%	Unknown, very few patients described [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Phenotype at birth Almost n		92%	Presents in first few months of life as developmental delay [2,3,4,5].		
Burden if untreated	Severe		86%	Progressive encephalopathy and mental retardation [2-6].		
The test						
		of consensus) (*)	35%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting.		
Doable in DBS or by No obysical method			30%	No available evidence at the present time.		
High throughput	h throughput No		30%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)		22%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Multiple analytes	ytes No		18%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Secondary targets	No		17%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Multiplex platform	lex platform No		18%	No available evidence at the present time.		
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Widely a	vailable	85%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring requires metabolic specialist [1,5-7].		
Efficacy of treatment	icacy of treatment Potential to prevent negative consequent		34%	Creatine monohydrate supplementation with monitoring of plasma creatine and creatine excretion improves some of the phentotype if started early. Mental retardation persists [2,3,4,6,7].		
Benefits of early intervention	interventi	vidence that early on optimizes I outcome	48%	Seems to improve motor function but does not fully resolve developmental delay. Not known if limitations are due to late initiation of treatment [1,4,6].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR and soci	benefits to family ety	76%	Genetic counseling is available.		
Prevention of mortality	Yes		28%	Mortality due to intractable seizures can be prevented [8].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited a	availability	41%	Excess guandinoacetate in body fluids and lack of GAMT activity in cells [2].		
Acute management	Limited a	availability	57%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring requires a metabolic specialist [1].		
Simplicity of therapy		volvement of specialist nsensus) (*)	54%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring requires a metabolic specialist [1].		



Test available	N	Туре	No	test	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	No	test
Final score	922	/2100	% of max	score	44%
Rank:	0.24	%ile			
Observed signific	ant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform	panel (no test)
-------------------------	---------	----------

COMMENT

GAMT deficiency lacks a validated screening test.

1	von Figura K et al. Guanidinoacetate Methyltransferase Deficiency. In: Scriver, et al., eds. The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001;1897-908.
2	Stromberger C et al. Clinical characteristics and diagnostic clues in inborn errors of creatine metabolism. J Inherit Metab Dis 2003;26:299-308.
3	Schulze A et al. Creatine deficiency syndrome caused by guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency: diagnostic tools for a new inborn error of metabolism. J Pediatr 1997;131:626-631.
4	Stockler S et al. Guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency: the first inborn error of creatine metabolism in man. Am J Hum Genet 1996;58:914-922.
5	Stockler S et al. Creatine deficiency in the brain: a new, treatable inborn error of metabolism. Pediatr Res 1994;36:409-413.
6	Verhoeven N et al. Plasma creatinine assessment in creatine deficiency: a diagnostic pitfall. J Inherit Metab Dis 2000;23:835-840.
7	Schulze A et al. Improving treatment of guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency: reduction of guanidinoacetic acid in body fluids by arginine restriction and ornithine supplementation. Mol Genet Metab 2001;74:413-9.
8	Ganesan V et al. Guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency: new clinical features. Pediatr Neurol 1997;17:155.

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

229S

			idinotransferase deficiency		
TYPE of DISC					
	NICITY No ethnic variation	is knowr	1.		
SCREENING METH					
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 0 c	of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 21	Valid scores: 372	98%	PubMed references (August 2004) 39		
SURVEY SCORES Criteria	Concernent	% of	Gene GATM Locus 15q15.3 OMIM 602360		
The condition	Consensus	max score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,000		Not known; very few patients described [1,2,3,4].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	92%	Presents in first few months of life as developmental delay [1,2,3,4].		
Burden if untreated	Profound	85%	Progressive encephalopathy and mental retardation [1,2,3,4].		
The test					
Screening test No		33%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting. Determination of guanidinoacetate in dried blood spots is technically feasible by MS/MS and may be applicable to newborn screening [1,5].		
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	24%	Not applicable.		
High throughput	No	24%	Not applicable.		
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	14%	Not applicable.		
Multiple analytes	No		Not applicable.		
Secondary targets	No	14%	Not applicable.		
Multiplex platform	No	14%	Not applicable.		
The treatment					
Availability & cost	Widely available	83%	Creatine is available as over-the-counter product [1,5].		
Efficacy of treatment	ment Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences		Creatine monohydrate supplementation with monitoring of plasma creatine and creatine excretion improves some of the phenotype if started early. Mental retardation persists [1,2,3,4,5].		
Benefits of early intervention	early SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome		Seems to improve motor function but does not fully resolve developmental delay. Not known if limitations are due to late initiation of treatment [1,4].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR benefits to family and society	76%	Genetic counseling is available.		
Prevention of mortality	No	25%	Mortality due to intractable seizures can be prevented [1].		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	43%	Excess guandinoacetate in body fluids and lack of AGAT activity in cells [1,2].		
Acute management	Limited availability	58%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring requires a metabolic specialist [1,2,5].		
Simplicity of therapy	Periodic involvement of specialist (lack of consensus) (*)	45%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring requires a metabolic specialist [1,2,5].		





Test available	N	Туре	No	test	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	lo
Final score	861	/2100	% of max	score	41%
Rank:	0.2	%ile			
Observed signific	ant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform panel (no test)

COMMENT

Fewer than 5 cases of AGAT have been described in the literature. AGAT deficiency lacks a validated screening test

RE	FERENCES AND WEB SITES
1	von Figura K et al. Guanidinoacetate methyltransferase deficiency. In: Scriver, et al., eds, The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease, 8th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001;1897-908.
2	Stromberger C et al. Clinical characteristics and diagnostic clues in inborn errors of creatine metabolism. J Inherit Metab Dis 2003;26:299-308.
3	Item CB et al. Arginine: glycine amidinotransferase deficiency: the third inborn error of creatine metabolism in humans. Am J Hum Genet 2001;69:1127-1133.
4	Bianchi M et al. Reversible brain creatine deficiency in two sisters with normal blood creatine level. Ann Neurol 2000;47:511-513.
5	Verhoeven N et al. Plasma creatinine assessment in creatine deficiency: a diagnostic pitfall. J Inherit Metab Dis 2000;23:835-840.

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

231S

COND	ITION	Creatine trans	porter	defect		
TYPE of DISC	ORDER	Inborn Error, disor	der of cr	reatine metabolism		
ETHNICITY No evidence of ethr			nnic varia	ability.		
SCREENING METHOD(S) No test available a						
NBS STATUS in	the US	Screened for in U d	of 51 sta	ites, 0% of annual births (August 2004)		
Responses: 20	Va	lid scores: 360	100%	PubMed references (August 2004) 1281		
SURVEY SCORES			% of	Gene SLC6A8 Locus Xq28 OMIM 300036		
Criteria	C	onsensus	max			
The condition			score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]		
Incidence	<1:100,00	00	1%	Not known; 6/288 (2.1%) cases of nonsyndromal X-linked mental retardation had mutations in SLC6A8 [1].		
Phenotype at birth	Almost ne	ever	96%	Midface hypoplasia may be apparent at birth [2-5].		
Burden if untreated Profound			89%	Severe mental retardation with speech and behavioral abnormalities, autistic behavior, hypotonia, and seizures in males and mild cognitive impairment in females [3,4,5].		
The test						
Screening test	No		20%	No test has been validated in a large general population in a public health setting.		
Doable in DBS or by physical method			15%	No available evidence at the present time.		
High throughput No			10%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Overall cost <\$1 No (>\$1/test)		15%	No available evidence at the present time.			
Multiple analytes	Aultiple analytes No		10%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Secondary targets	Secondary targets No		10%	No available evidence at the present time.		
Multiplex platform No		10%	No available evidence at the present time.			
The treatment						
Availability & cost	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)		50%	Patients have not been identified prospectively to determine whether creatine supplementation as used in GAMT and AGAT may alter outcome [5,6].		
Efficacy of treatment Treatment efficacy r		t efficacy not	16%	Patients have not been identified prospectively to determine whether creatine supplementation as used in GAMT and AGAT may alter outcome [5-7].		
Benefits of early intervention	interventi	vidence that early on optimizes outcome	25%	Patients have not been identified prospectively to determine whether creatine supplementation as used in GAMT and AGAT may alter outcome [5,6].		
Benefits of early identification	CLEAR b and socie	enefits to family ety	75%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are feasible [1,3].		
Prevention of mortality	No		20%	Mortality is not significantly reduced. No proven treatment.		
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a fe	w centers	38%	Determination of GAMT and creatine. DNA testing is feasible but there is significant molecular heterogeneity [1-7].		
Acute management	Limited a	vailability	43%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring require a metabolic specialist. Treatment efficacy is higher in females [1-7].		
Simplicity of therapy Regular involvement of (lack of consensus) (*)			28%	Creatine supplementation and monitoring require a metabolic specialist [1-7].		



Test available	N	Туре	No	test	
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	ю
Final score	64	/2100	% of max	score	31%
Rank:	0.04	%ile			
Observed signific	ant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform panel (no test)	Not	included	in	uniform	panel	(no test)	
---	-----	----------	----	---------	-------	-----------	--

COMMENT

7 males and 3 females from three families have been reported with this recently described condition. Additional cases from a survey of X-linked mental retardation are not yet described in the literature.

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

233S

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

LYSOSOMAL STORAGE DISORDERS

COND	ITION Fabry disease			
TYPE of DISORDER Inborn error, lysoso		omal sto	orage disease	
ETHNICITY Panethnic.				
SCREENING METHOD(S) No test				
NBS STATUS in		of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)	
Responses: 46	Valid scores: 780	94%	PubMed references (August 2004) 2,466	
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene GLA Locus Xq22 OMIM 301500	
Criteria The condition	Consensus	max		
>1:75 000 (lack of		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References] Not known. Estimated at 1:40,000-50,000 males. <1% of	
Incidence	consensus) (*)	39%	female carriers have the classical phenotype [1-3].	
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	99%	Clinical onset usually occurs in childhood or adolescence but may be delayed to the 2nd or 3rd decade [1].	
Burden if untreated	Severe	77%	Initially pain and paresthesias in extremities and vessel ectasia. Renal failure and uremia, cardiac or cerebrovascular disease leadin to early death [1].	
The test				
Screening test	No	22%	No sensitive and specific population-based screening test has been validated. New tests are in clinical trials [4].	
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	17%	Not applicable.	
High throughput	No	15%	Not applicable.	
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	5%	Not applicable.	
Multiple analytes	No	3%	Not applicable.	
Secondary targets	No	5%	Not applicable.	
Multiplex platform	No	3%	Not applicable.	
The treatment				
Availability & cost			Care is supportive with focus on pain management. Enzyme replacement therapy is now available at the time of this analysis [1-7].	
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	37%	Enzyme replacement therapy has been shown to decrease pain, reverse major clinical manifestations and stabilize renal function [1-7].	
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	26%	Enzyme replacement therapy has been shown to decrease pain, reverse major clinical manifestations and stabilize renal function [3-6].	
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	55%	Genetic counseling, identification of at-risk family members and prenatal diagnosis are available [1-3].	
Prevention of mortality	Yes (lack of consensus) (*)	52%	Ongoing long-term phase 4 surveillance studies of patients treated with enzyme replacement therapy are expected to confirm prevention of mortality.	
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	48%	α-galactosidase A activity in hemizygous males but less sensitive in females [8] who require mutation analysis [9].	
Acute management	Only a few centers	39%	Pain management [3,7], enzyme replacement, renal transplantation are only available in limited centers [1-3].	
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	13%	Metaboliic physicians and other specialists are required [2,4].	

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



Test available	N	0	Туре	No	test
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	lo
Final score	661	/2100	% of max	score	31%
Rank:	0.05	%ile			
Observed signific	cant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	Yes

ASSESSMENT

Not included	in uniform	panel (no test)
--------------	------------	---------	----------

COMMENT

There is a classic form and a cardiac and renal variant form of Fabry disease, an X-linked condition primarily affecting males. Fabrazyme ® for enzyme replacement therapy was approved by the FDA in 2003, after the primary survey data was collected for this analysis leading to discrepancies between survey data and the literature evidence. Newborn screening tests for Fabry disease are in clinical trials.

Genetics IN Medicine

COND	ITION Krabbe diseas	se	
TYPE of DISC			prage disease
	NICITY Panethnic; higher		
SCREENING METH		moraorie	
NBS STATUS in		of 51 sta	tes, 0% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 44	Valid scores: 723	91%	PubMed references (August 2004) 604
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene GALC Locus 14q31 OMIM 245200
Criteria	Consensus	max	
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	<1:100,000	14%	1:100,000 [1].
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	91%	Infantile form usually presents between 2-3 months and 6 months [1,2,3].
Burden if untreated	Profound	97%	Developmental delay in first 6 months progressing to hypertonicity, psychomotor regression leading to a decerebrate state and death [2,3].
The test			
Screening test	No	11%	No sensitive and specific population-based screening test has been validated.
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	11%	Not applicable.
High throughput	No	8%	Not applicable.
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	6%	Not applicable.
Multiple analytes	No	6%	Not applicable.
Secondary targets	No	3%	Not applicable.
Multiplex platform	No	6%	Not applicable.
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Not available	6%	Treatment of infantile-onset form is limited to supportive care to control irritability and spasticty [3,4].
Efficacy of treatment	Treatment efficacy not proven	8%	Treatment of infantile-onset form is limited to supportive care to control irritability and spasticty [3]. Long-term outcome of hematopoietic stem cell transplants is not known [4-6].
Benefits of early intervention	NO evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	14%	Supportive care to control irritability and spasticty can improve quality of life but has a limited impact on mortality of the severely affected infants. [3].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society (lack of consensus) (*)	45%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis are available [3,7,8].
Prevention of mortality	No	16%	Patients with infantile-onset form rarely live beyond 2 yrs of age [2]. Those with juvenile late-onset form usually die within 2 yrs of onset. [7,8].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability (lack of consensus) (*)	41%	Galactocerebrosidase activity assay requires highly specialized laboratory [1]. Molecular testing is available [8].
Acute management	Only a few centers	26%	Bone marrow transplantation for late-onset and those identified prior to symptomatology is of limited availability [1,2].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	6%	Requires involvement of specialists [1,2,4].

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement



Test available	N	0	Туре	No	test
2ary target of hig	her scor	ing cond	ition?	N	lo
Final score	447	/2100	% of max	score	21%
Rank:	0	%ile			
Observed signific	ant disc	repancie	s with literat	ure	No

ASSESSMENT

Not included in uniform panel (no test)	
COMMENT	
The infantile form accounts for 85 - 90% of cases. 5% are late onset between 6 months and 50 yrs.	10 -

Genetics IN Medicine

COND TYPE of DISC			er-Scheie disease (MPS I) orage disorder
ETH	NICITY Panethnic.		
SCREENING METH	HOD(S) No test		
NBS STATUS in	the US Screened for in 0 c	of 51 sta	ites, 0% of annual births (August 2004)
Responses: 48	Valid scores: 801	93%	PubMed references (August 2004) 380
SURVEY SCORES		% of	Gene IDUA Locus 4p16.3 OMIM 252800
Criteria	Consensus	max	
The condition		score	LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	>1:75,000 (lack of consensus) (*)	22%	1:100,000 severe form; 1:500,000 mild form (see comments) [1,2].
Phenotype at birth	Almost never	90%	Normal at birth; coarsening facial features over first two years in severe form [3].
Burden if untreated	Profound	86%	Progression to profound mental retardation and death from cardiorespiratory failure in first 10 years in severe form [4].
The test			
Screening test	No	31%	No sensitive and specific population-based screening test has been validated.
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	21%	Not applicable.
High throughput	No	18%	Not applicable.
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	11%	Not applicable.
Multiple analytes	No	13%	Not applicable.
Secondary targets	No	10%	Not applicable.
Multiplex platform	No	13%	Not applicable.
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Not available	14%	Supportive therapies, bone marrow transplants (BMT) and enzyme replacement therapies are of limited availability and are costly [4-11].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences	31%	Supportive therapies can improve quality of life [4,5]. Bone marrow transplant outcomes are variable but may slow progression and improve survival in some [4,5,9-11]. There is evidence that ERT reverses some features but not all, though not yet shown in presymptomatic cases [6-8].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome	42%	Supportive therapies can improve quality of life [4,5]. Bone marrow transplant outcomes are variable but may slow progression and improve survival in some [9-11].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	63%	Genetic counseling, molecular testing and prenatal diagnosis are available [3,12,13,15].
Prevention of mortality	Yes (lack of consensus) (*)	52%	BMT and ERT reverse some aspects of the phenotypes and extend life [3,4,5,9-11].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Limited availability	48%	Assay of α-L-iduronidase [12,14,16] and DNA mutation testing are available [13].
Acute management	Limited availability	30%	Metabolic physicians and other specialists may be of limited availability [3].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of	11%	Metabolic physicians and other specialists are involved in

specialist

Copyright © American College of Medical Genetics. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

complex care [3].



Genetics IN Medicine

COND TYPE of DISC ETH SCREENING METH NBS STATUS in	DRDER Inborn error, lysoso NICITY 1:50,000 Chinese; HOD(S) No test	omal sto 1:40,00	
Responses: 46	Valid scores: 772	93%	PubMed references (August 2004) 572
SURVEY SCORES Criteria The condition	Consensus	% of max score	Gene AMD Locus 17q25.2-q25.3 OMIM 232300 LITERATURE AND WEB-BASED EVIDENCE [References]
Incidence	<1:100,000	20%	1:300,000 [1-4]; 1:68,038 worldwide [5].
Phenotype at birth	<25% of cases	77%	Most with infantile form present in first few months of life [3,6-8].
Burden if untreated	Profound	15%	Cardiomegaly and hypotonia. Death from cardiorespiratory failure usually before 1-2 yrs. of age in infantile onset form [3,6-8].
The test			
Screening test	No	15%	No sensitive and specific screening test that is validated in a general population is available at the current time.
Doable in DBS or by physical method	No	12%	A multiplex assay on dried blood spots has been reported [16].
High throughput	No	15%	Not applicable.
Overall cost <\$1	No (>\$1/test)	5%	Not applicable.
Multiple analytes	No	3%	Not applicable.
Secondary targets	No	3%	Not applicable.
Multiplex platform	No	11%	Multiplex testing on dried blood spots is reported [16].
The treatment			
Availability & cost	Not available	5%	Supportive therapy can slow disease progression. Dietary management may improve some functions. Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) is in clinical trials in the US [3,6,9-11, 17,18].
Efficacy of treatment	Potential to prevent SOME negative consequences (*)	25%	About 25% with adult-onset form on high protein diet may show improved respiratory or skeletal muscle function[3,6,9,10]. ERT has been shown to extend life and improve skeletal muscle function [12,17,18].
Benefits of early intervention	SOME evidence that early intervention optimizes individual outcome (*)	49%	Dietary treatment improves respiratory function [11]. ERT results are encouraging [17,18].
Benefits of early identification	SOME benefits to family and society	62%	Genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis available [3,6,13,14,17,18].
Prevention of mortality	Yes	57%	Mortality rates may be reduced in adult onset form; not in infantile form (see comments) [6,9]. ERT results are encouraging [17,18].
Confirmation of diagnosis	Only a few centers	39%	α-glucosidase activity in fibroblasts or muscle and measurement of oligosacchairdes by MS/MS are not widely available assays [3,10-12,15].
Acute management	Only a few centers	21%	Dietary and ventilatory support. Clinical trials of therapeutics are available in limited centers [3,6,9,10,12,18].
Simplicity of therapy	Regular involvement of specialist	6%	Regular involvement of specialists is required [3,6].

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement



Genetics IN Medicine

Appendix 2

HRSA/ACMG UNIFORM CONDITION PANEL EVALUATION TOOL

INSTRUCTIONS

This tool is to aid NBS Advisory Committee of individual States/Regions (or ad hoc expert panels) involved in the assessment of the NBS "fitness" of conditions currently not screened for in their program but included in the HRSA/ACMG uniform condition panel

NAME		Phone	
INSTITUTION		Fax	
DATE		E-mail	
ADDRESS			
and the second	CHECK ALL CATEGORIES TI		LY TO YOU
Provider of	Screening Services (TESTING)		Provider of Diagnostic Services
Provider of	Screening Services (FOLLOW UP)		Primary Care Provider
Provider of	Screening Services (ADMINISTRATION)		Specialty Care Provider
Provider of	Screening Services (POLICY)		Consumer

The evaluation tool includes:

1 This page of INSTRUCTIONS

2 A page listing CRITERIA and SCORES

A worksheet listing NBS REFERENCE CONDITIONS. Scoring these well known conditions is encouraged to self-assess how the respondent's scores compare with the results of the HRSA/ACMG survey (listed at the top)

4 A blank worksheets where to list the condition(s) under evaluation for inclusion/esclusion

To better define a condition under evaluation, consider including the name of the deficient enzyme and the OMIM number together with the common name of the disorder

For each criterion, enter one of the scores provided. If unsure, enter "U" A BLANK means ZERO

After completing the tool, please mail or fax it to your project coordinator (see below)

Thank you for your participation

PROJECT COORDINATOR

NAME		
ADDRESS		
PHONE	FAX	
E-MAIL	FAX	

ACMG Newborn Screening Expert Group

CRITERIA	CATEGORIES	SCORE
	>1:5,000	100
	>1:25,000	7
Incidence of condition	>1:50,000	50
	>1:75,000	2
	<1:100,000	
	Never	10
0	<25% of cases	7
Sign & Symptoms clinically	<50% of cases	5
identifiable in the first 48 hours	<75% of cases	2
	Always	
D. J. C.F.	Profound	10
Burden of disease	Severe	7
	Moderate	5
(Natural Hx if untreated)	Mild	2
	Minimal	
Does a sensitive AND specific screening test	YES	20
currently exist?	NO	
	Doable in neonatal blood spots OR by a simple, in-nursery physical method	10
	High throughput (>200/day/FTE)	5
Test characteristics	Overall analytical cost <1\$ per test per condition	5
(Yes = apply score; No = zero)	Multiple analytes relevant to one condition are detected in same run	5
	Other conditions identified by same analytes	5
	Multiple conditions detected by same test (multiplex platform)	20
	Treatment exists and is widely available in most communities	5
Availability of treatment	Treatment exists but availability is limited	2
	No treatment available or necessary	
	Inexpensive	50
Cost of treatment	Expensive (>\$50,000/patient/year)	
	To prevent ALL negative consequences	20
Potential efficacy of existing	To prevent MOST negative consequences	10
treatment	To prevent SOME negative consequences	5
	Treatment efficacy not proven	
	Clear scientific evidence that early intervention resulting from screening optimizes outcome	20
Benefits of early intervention	Some scientific evidence that early intervention resulting from screening optimizes outcome	10
(INDIVIDUAL OUTCOME)	No scientific evidence that early intervention resulting from screening optimizes outcome	100
Benefits of early identification	Early identification provides clear benefits to family and society (education, understanding prevalence and natural history, cost effectiveness)	10
(FAMILY & SOCIETY)	Early identification provides some benefits to family and society	5
	No evidence of benefits	
Early diagnosis and treatment	YES	10
prevent mortality	NO	
	Providers of diagnostic confirmation are widely available	10
Availability of diagnostic	Limited availability of providers of diagnostic confirmation	5
confirmation	Diagnostic confirmation is available only in a few centers	
	Providers of acute management are widely available	10
Acute management	Limited availability of providers of acute management	5
	Acute management is available only in a few centers	
	Management at the primary care or family level	20
Simplicity of therapy	Requires periodic involvement of a specialist	10
	Requires regular involvement of a specialist	10

	RN SCREENING	Deficient ENZYME	Medium chain acyl- CoA dehydrogenase	various	Phenylalanine hydroxylase	Hemoglobin S	21-hydroxylase
	ATION TOOL	HRSA/ACMG SURVEY SCORE	1799	1718	1663	1542	1533
Referen	ce Conditions	YOUR SCORE	MCAD deficiency	Congenital	Phenyl ketonuria (PKU)	Sickle cell anemia (SCA)	Congenital Adrenal
Referen	>1:5,000	100	(MCAD)	Hypothyroidism			Hyperplasia (CAH)
-	>1:25,000	75					
Incidence of condition	>1:50,000	50					
	>1:75,000	25					
-	<1:100,000 Never	0	-				
Signs & Symptoms clinically	<25% of cases	75					
identifiable in the first 48	<50% of cases	50					
hours	<75% of cases	25					
	Always Profound	0					
Burden of disease if untreated	Severe	75					
	Moderate	50					
(Natural history if untreated)	Mild	25					
	Minimal	0					
Does a sensitive AND specific screening test	YES	200					
currently exist?	NO	0					
	Doable in neonatal blood spots OR by a simple, in-nursery physical method	100					
	High throughput (>200/day/FTE)	50					
Test characteristics (Yes = apply score	Overall analytical cost <1\$ per test per condition	50					
No = zero)	Multiple analytes relevant to one condition are detected in same run	50					
	Other conditions identified by same analytes	50					
	Multiple conditions detected by same test (multiplex platform)	200					
	Treatment exists and is widely available in most communities	50					
Availability of treatment	Treatment exists but availability is limited	25					
	No treatment available or necessary	0					
Cost of treatment	Inexpensive	50					
	(Expensive (>\$50,000/patient/year) To prevent ALL negative consequences	0 200	-				
Potential efficacy of existing	To prevent MOST negative consequences	100					
treatment	To prevent SOME negative consequences	50					
1	Treatment efficacy not proven	0					
Contract of the second second	Clear scientific evidence that intervention resulting from screening optimize outcome	200					
Benefits of early intervention (INDIVIDUAL OUTCOME)	resulting from screening optimizes outcome No scientific evidence that early intervention	100					
Benefits of early	resulting from screening optimizes outcome Early identification maximizes benefits (education, understanding prevalence and natural history, cost effectiveness)	100					
identification (FAMILY & SOCIETY)	Early intervention improves benefits	50					
	No evidence of benefits	0					
Early diagnosis and	YES	100					
treatment prevent mortality	NO	0					
Diagnostic confirmation	Providers of diagnostic confirmation are widely available Limited availability of providers of diagnostic	100 50					
	confirmation Diagnostic confirmation is available only in a few centers	0					
	Providers of acute management are widely available	100					
Clinical management	Limited availability of providers of acute management	50					
	Acute management is available only in a few centers	0					
Simplicity of therapy	Management at the primary care or family level Requires periodic involvement of a specialist	100					
	Requires regular involvement of a specialist	0					

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Deficient
NEWDOR		ENZYME
NEWBOR	N SCREENING	
CO	NDITION	
		HRSA/ACMG
EVALU	ATION TOOL	SURVEY SCORE
		YOUR SCORE
Condition	s to be evaluated	Common NAME
	>1:5,000	100
	>1:25,000	75
Incidence of condition	>1:50,000	50
	>1:75,000	25
	<1:100,000 Never	0
Cione & Cumptone attained	<25% of cases	75
Signs & Symptoms clinically identifiable in the first 48	<50% of cases	50
hours	<75% of cases	25
	Always	0
Burden of disease if untreated	Profound	100
	Severe Moderate	75 50
(Natural history if untreated)	Mild	25
	Minimal	0
Does a sensitive AND	YES	200
specific screening test currently exist?	NO	0
	Doable in neonatal blood spots OR by a simple, in-nursery	
	physical method	100
	High throughput (>200/day/FTE)	50
Test characteristics (Yes = apply score	Overall analytical cost <1S per test per condition	50
No = zero)	Multiple analytes relevant to one condition are detected in same run	50
	Other conditions identified by same analytes	50
	Multiple conditions detected by same test (multiplex platform)	200
	Treatment exists and is widely available in most	50
Availability of treatment	communities Treatment exists but availability is limited	25
	No treatment available or necessary	0
Cost of treatment	Inexpensive	50
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	(Expensive (>\$50,000/patient/year)	0
Detential office or of evict	To prevent ALL negative consequences To prevent MOST negative consequences	200
Potential efficacy of existing treatment	To prevent SOME negative consequences	50
	Treatment efficacy not proven	0
	Clear scientific evidence that intervention resulting	
Benefits of early intervention	from screening optimize outcome Some scientific evidence that early intervention	100
(INDIVIDUAL OUTCOME)	resulting from screening optimizes outcome No scientific evidence that early intervention	
	resulting from screening optimizes outcome	0
Benefits of early	Early identification maximizes benefits (education, understanding prevalence and natural history, cost	100
identification	effectiveness)	
(FAMILY & SOCIETY)	Early intervention improves benefits No evidence of benefits	50
	YES	100
Early diagnosis and treatment prevent mortality		
	NO Providers of diagnostic confirmation are widely	0
	available	100
Diagnostic confirmation	Limited availability of providers of diagnostic confirmation	50
	Diagnostic confirmation is available only in a few	0
	centers Providers of acute management are widely	100
	available Limited availability of providers of acute	
Clinical management	management	50
	Acute management is available only in a few centers	0
an tala fam.	Management at the primary care or family level	200
Simplicity of therapy	Requires periodic involvement of a specialist	100
	Requires regular involvement of a specialist	0

246S

Appendix 3

Condition ACT(ion) Sheets

Phenylketonuria (PKU) Disease Category

Amino Acid Disorder

You Should Take The Following Actions:

- *Immediate* consultation with a metabolic specialist (see below*).
- Contact family to inform them of the newborn screening result and arrange a visit for an immediate physical exam of the newborn.
- Undertake definitive investigations in consultation with metabolic specialist and referral as indicated.
- Report findings to State newborn screening program.

Meaning of Screening Result

Elevated level of phenylalanine, especially with **reduced level of tyrosine** and **increased phenylalanine:tyrosine ratio** suggests PKU. Elevated phenylalanine can be associated with disorders other than PKU.

Condition Description

PKU is an autosomal recessive genetic condition caused by a defect in phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH) enzyme defect that impairs the breakdown of an amino acid, phenylalanine, into its product, tyrosine.

Confirmation Of Diagnosis

Specific diagnosis is made by confirmatory tests plasma amino acid analysis that shows **increased phenylalanine** and **decreased tyrosine**. It should take no more than one to two days to confirm or exclude the diagnosis.

Clinical Expectations

Asymptomatic in the neonate. If untreated PKU will produce irreversible mental retardation, hyperactivity, autism, and seizures.

Resources for Referral

Insert local, state, and regional resource.

Additional Information

New England Metabolic Consortium—Emergency Protocols http://www.childrenshospital.org/newenglandconsortium/ Gene Tests/Gene Clinics http://www.genetests.org U.S. National Newborn & Genetics Resource Center http://www.genes-r-us.uthscsa.edu

Newborn Screening Act Sheet

[**C**8]

Medium Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase (MCAD) Deficiency Disease Category

Fatty acid oxidation disorder (FAOD)

You Should Take The Following Actions:

- *Immediate* consultation with a metabolic specialist (see below*).
- Contact family to inform them of the newborn screening result, provide feeding instructions (feeding every 2-4 hours.) and schedule an immediate visit. If infant is lethargic or not feeding well, emergency care is warranted.
- Emergency treatment includes avoiding fasting, determining blood glucose level and providing glucose if hypoglycemic or symptomatic.
- Undertake definitive investigations in consultation with metabolic specialist.
- Report findings to State newborn screening program.

Meaning Of Screening Result

Highly elevated C8 acylcarnitine (INSERT STATE SPE-CIFIC CONCENTRATION) likely indicates MCADD. **Milder elevations** of C8 acylcarnitine (INSERT STATE SPECIFIC CONCENTRATION) may indicate MCADD, an MCADD variant, another condition, or transient (false-positive).

Metabolic Description

FAOD disorders impair ketogenesis and energy homeostasis. MCAD is due to a defect of the mitochondrial enzyme medium chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase which is responsible for a middle step in fatty acid oxidation. Hallmark features can include critical hypoketotic hypoglycemia, especially during times of fasting, catabolism, or illness.

Confirmation of Diagnosis

Confirmatory biochemical testing includes plasma acylcarnitine and urine acylglycine profiles. Informative markers are **C6-C10 acylcarnitines** in plasma, **hexanoylglycine and suberylglycine** in urine. Both parents, and if applicable, all siblings (of any age) should also be tested. Biochemically affected cases are confirmed by DNA testing.

Clinical Expectations

MCADD has variable presentation. The newborn may be asymptomatic. However, the neonate may also have a clinical phenotype that includes hypoglycemia causing lethargy, vomiting and the risk of sudden death.

Resources for Referral

Insert local, state, and regional resources

Additional Information

New England Metabolic Consortium—Newborn Screening Protocols

http://www.childrenshospital.org/newenglandconsortium/ Gene Tests/Gene Clinics: http://www.genetests.org U.S. National Newborn & Genetics Resource Center http://www.genes-r-us.uthscsa.edu

May 2006 · Vol. 8 · No. 5, Supplement

Newborn Screening Act Sheet

[Hearing Test] Congenital Hearing Loss

Disease Category

Hearing Loss

You Should Take The Following Actions:

- Contact family and primary care physician to inform them of the newborn hearing screening result.
- Repeat the hearing test.
- If hearing loss is confirmed, comprehensive genetic evaluation is indicated.

Meaning Of Screening Result

Only 1-3 of 100 infants who screen positive have confirmed hearing loss. However, hearing loss is serious so all infants who screen positive need to be further tested.

Condition Description

Defined as hearing loss that is permanent, bilateral or unilateral, sensor or conductive, and averaging loss of 30 decibels or more in the frequency range important for speech recognition. Etiologies are numerous. About 50% are due to environmental factors including ototoxicity of drugs (genetically determined), acoustic trauma, and bacterial or viral infections (e.g., rubella, CMV). The remaining 50% are associated with genetic syndromes.

Confirmation Of Diagnosis

Hearing loss is confirmed followed by etiologic diagnosis.

Disease Expectations

Even modest levels of bilateral hearing loss can lead to important problems in speech recognition and speech development. Hearing loss can also indicate a genetic syndrome.

Resources for Referral

Local, state, regional and national

Additional Information

Gene Tests/Gene Clinics www.genetests.org National Center for Hearing Assessment and Management

www.infanthearing.org

Newborn Screening Act Sheet

[Citrulline]

Citrullinemia or Argininosuccinic Acidemia

Disease Category

Urea cycle defect (UCD)

You Should Take The Following Actions:

- *Immediate* consultation with a metabolic specialist (see below*)
- Contact family to inform them of the newborn screening result, provide feeding instructions (need for dietary restriction of protein) and schedule an immediate visit
- Emergency treatment if symptomatic. Evaluate for hyperammonemia.
- Undertake definitive investigations in consultation with metabolic specialist.
- Report findings to State newborn screening program.

Meaning of Screening Result

Elevated level of citrulline suggests either citrullinemia or argininosuccinic acidemia.

Condition Description

Urea Cycle Disorders are caused by a defective enzyme resulting in impairment in the ability of the urea cycle to convert one of the breakdown products of protein, ammonia, to the nontoxic product urea. The resulting accumulation of ammonia causes the toxicity of the UCD defects. **Citrullinemia** is caused by a deficiency of argininosuccinic acid synthetase. **Argininosuccinic acidemia** is caused be a deficiency of argininosuccinic acid lyase.

Confirmation Of Diagnosis

Takes one to three days to sort out initial follow-up tests including repeat newborn screening; however, critical laboratories such as ammonia should be obtained in the interim. A specific diagnosis can be made by confirmatory tests such as plasma amino acids, urine organic acids, and a urine orotic acid. In **citrullinemia** these tests show **increased plasma and urine citrulline** and **increased urine orotic acid**. In **argininosuccinic acidemia**, the tests will show the **presence of argininosuccinic acid** in urine and plasma (usually more prominent in urine than in plasma) and **increased orotic acid** in urine.

Clinical Expectations

Citrullinemia and argininosuccinic acidemia can present in the newborn period with hyperammonemia, failure to thrive, lethargy, and coma. Later signs include mental retardation. In argininosuccinic acidemia, liver disease may also be present.

Resources for Referral

Insert local, state, and regional resources

Additional Information

New England Metabolic Consortium – Emergency Protocols

http://www.childrenshospital.orrg/newenglandconsortium/ Gene Tests/Gene Clinics http://www.genetests.org U.S. National Newborn Screening & Genetics Resource Center

http://www.genes-r-us@uthscsa.edu

Newborn Screening Act Sheet

[TSH,T4]

Congenital Hypothyroidism (CH)

Disease Category

Endocrinopathy

You Should Take the Following Actions:

- Contact family to inform them of the newborn screening result.
- Schedule office visit for the newborn within 1 -3 days for repeat screening and/or confirmatory testing.
- Consult pediatric endocrinologist; referral to endocrinologist if considered appropriate.
- Report findings back to State newborn screening program.

Meaning of Screening Result

Decreased thyroxine (T4) accompanied by increased thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) suggests primary hypothyroidism; decreased T4 and decreased TSH suggests secondary hypothyroidism.

Some programs screen only for primary hypothyroidism by only measuring TSH. An **increase in TSH** suggests congenital hypothyroidism.

Metabolic Description

Lack of adequate thyroid hormone production.

Confirmation Of Diagnosis

Takes 1-3 days. Diagnostic tests include **reduced serum T4**, **T3 uptake, free T4 or T4 index**, and **serum TSH**, which will be increased in primary hypothyroidism and reduced in secondary hypothyroidism.

Clinical Expectations

Asymptomatic in the neonate. If untreated, results in developmental delay/mental retardation and poor growth.

Resources for Referral

Insert local, state and regional resources

Additional Information

Gene Tests/Gene Clinics www.genetests.org

Appendix 4

Program standards

Initial Newborn Screening Activities

- 1. Document complete reporting of all results of all liveborn newborns within three months of the close of the year (target 100%).
 - a. Initial screening specimens should be collected after 24 hours, but as close to discharge as possible. Newborns with prolonged hospital stays should be tested before day seven, regardless of reason for hospitalization.
 - b. The number of newborns discharged from hospitals without screening and the number of these infants involved in follow-up testing should be documented.
 - c. The number of newborns discharged without screening for which screening occurred through follow-up at some later time should be documented.
- 2. Document and report the number of out-of-hospital births (e.g., using birth certificates) and the numbers of those tested versus those not tested.
- 3. Document the number of unsatisfactory specimens for any reason (target is 0%). This includes specimens considered unsatisfactory due to:
 - a. laboratory/analytical issues (e.g., a poor specimen);
 - b. clinical issues (e.g., timing of specimen acquisition); and
 - c. information issues (i.e., inadequate demographics such as name, data completeness such as no discharge time or specimen collection times noted)
- 4. Document rate of unsatisfactory specimens followed up with a satisfactory test (target 100%)
 - a. document the number of newborns discharged prior to 24 hours and retest all;
 - b. document the number of newborns discharged prior to 24 hours and initiate a retest of all within 6 days of life; and
 - c. monitor unsatisfactory specimen data and report plans for corrective action.
- 5. Document the number of newborns screened positive or not normal for each disorder on the screening panel. For programs that universally require a second screen, document the number of newborns receiving the required second screen.
- 6. Document the rates and types of disorders with a confirmed clinical diagnosis.
- 7. Document time from birth to reporting of all presumptive positive screens.
- 8. Document time from birth to:
 - a. testing to establish diagnosis; and
- b. initiation of intervention or treatment by condition.
- 9. Document:
 - a. that confirmed positives are treated where indicated and comply with the therapeutic program;
 - b. appropriate outcome variables, long-term health status, and development, at least annually; and
 - c. the offering of services and utilization for positive cases (consider matched controls).

May 2006 \cdot Vol. 8 \cdot No. 5, Supplement

- 10. Document costs per individual screened, cost of detection of each disorder, and estimated cost avoidance. Ensure that the impact on families is considered.
- 11. Document (costs may dictate that a sampling procedure be employed) that information/education was provided to:
 - a. parents (e.g., distributed materials, with an opportunity for parents to ask questions); and
 - b. health care providers (e.g., via a program practitioner manual).
- 12. Document the effect of identification as screen positive on access to services and insurance³.
- 13. Document monetary and other costs of diagnosis and follow-up (include impact on families).
- 14. Document that programs have a mechanism in place to provide for consumer input, as well as the rates of consumer complaints related to all parts of the program.
- 15. Document the use of a standing external multidisciplinary/ advisory committee for program guidance that includes consumers.

Transition Between Screening Program and Diagnostic/ Follow-up Phase

- 16. Educational materials should exist that clearly explain screen-negative results to parents and health care providers (including materials to guide their initial response to notification of a screen-positive infant).
- 17. Maintain a listing of qualified subspecialty providers available to confirm diagnoses, conduct follow-up testing of screen-positive infants, and manage treatment of those identified by screening.
- Document the number of newborns with an identifiable medical home.⁴

Diagnosis and Follow-up

- 19. Integrate reporting and follow-up information systems, including communication with specialists and laboratories diagnosing conditions that are part of newborn screening:
 - a. so that no child is lost to follow-up;
 - b. to allow identification and communication back to programs of cases identified diagnostically (clinical, enzymatic, biochemical, or molecular confirmation for each test leading to the final diagnosis), but missed by screening programs; and
 - c. to include screening laboratory and diagnostic follow-up laboratory identification and location to facilitate physician referral.

[Note: An emerging issue is whether a newborn screening program should include diagnosis and follow-up in its fees. In addition, in developing referral networks, consideration will have to be given to which tests require such a network (e.g., metabolic) and which have more stable technologies (e.g., thyroid)]

- 20. Develop a QA system that includes
 - a. total quality management (TQM)/continuous quality improvement (CQI);

- b. auditing; and
- c. documentation of corrective actions.

Societal Outcome Goals

- 21. Programs should collect outcome data to accrue knowledge about the natural history of conditions. For conditions for which there is a limited knowledge of the implications of results (e.g., ancillary information from MS/ MS), there is the potential to enhance knowledge of implications through research and/or tracking of outcomes. Since such data collection is largely a researchbased initiative, it may best be done as special studies.
 - a. Identify individuals who might benefit from involvement in research or who should be more closely watched in a neonatal intensive care unit environment.

Appendix 5

HIPAA guidance for public health programs

Recently, there have been significant changes to federal privacy regulations related to protected health information (PHI). On April 14, 2003, the federal privacy regulations (referred to here as the Privacy Rule) became effective as a result of HIPAA (45 CFR Parts 160 and 164).

These new regulations provide specific exemptions and allowances for public health activities and to those providing services associated with those activities. A work group of the expert group was asked to provide guidance regarding these regulations and their impact on the various participants in newborn screening program activities.

The Privacy Rule applies only to "covered entities" (health care plans such as HMOs; health care clearinghouses that assist providers with billing; or health care providers who transmit PHI in electronic format for financial or administrative activities [for which the Secretary of DHHS has established a format related to health care]). The goal is to protect confidential patient health, identifiable demographic information, and billing information. The Privacy Rule does not apply to employers, insurers, schools, or other entities, except to the extent that they perform activities as a covered entity. The rule does apply to federal, state, and local governments in their role as covered entities (e.g., through Medicare, Medicaid, the Indian Health Service).

HIPAA covers both the use and disclosure of PHI. Use is defined as "the sharing, employment, application, utilization, examination, or analysis of such information within an entity that maintains such information." Disclosure refers to "the release, transfer, provision of access to, or divulging in any other manner of information outside the entity holding the information." However, exceptions are made for public health activities. Newborn screening is mandated by law in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, with required reporting to relevant public entities and the patient's treatment team. It is beyond the scope of this document to describe each state's laws.⁵

A covered entity may use and disclose PHI without the consent or authorization of the individual for treatment, payment, or health care operations. "Operations" include most routine activities of a covered entity. Research is not included in operations as defined by the regulations.

Uses and disclosures of PHI beyond treatment, payment, or health care operations are only lawful if 1) pursuant to a valid authorization; or 2) pursuant to an exception set out in the Privacy Rule.

PHI can be disclosed to third parties with an individual's written authorization. ("Individual" is defined in the regulations as a competent adult or a personal representative acting on behalf of an incompetent person.) For the purposes of newborn screening, the newborn is represented by parent(s) or a legal guardian.

State laws "serving a compelling need related to public health, safety or welfare" remain in effect after April 14, 2003. Specifically, state laws concerning the reporting of disease and the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation, or intervention remain in effect (45 CFR Section 160.203). Further, covered entities can disclose otherwise protected patient information for public health activities without prior notice to the individual or the signing of an authorization. Pursuant to section 164.512(a) and (b) of the regulations, covered entities may disclose information for public health surveillance, public health intervention, and other public health purposes. These provisions make it clear that state newborn screening and reporting laws and programs remain in effect.

Under the Privacy Rule, a covered entity may use or disclose PHI without consent, authorization, or an opportunity to agree or object by the patient where:

- 1. the use or disclosure is required by law (including a public health law such as a newborn screening law); or
- 2. the disclosure is to a public health authority authorized by law to receive the information for public health activities (164.512(a) and (b)); or
- 3. the disclosure is for treatment needs of the patient. Treatment includes provision, coordination, or management of health care and related services by one or more providers, including coordination and management by a provider with a third party.

The Privacy Rule permits public health reporting, but it does not require it. Reporting requirements are established by provisions of state and local laws.

There are two kinds of public health disclosures under the Privacy Rule—mandatory and permissive. Mandatory disclosures are those required by law, and the Privacy Rule places no limit on the amount of information disclosed. Section 164.512(b) also permits covered entities to disclose PHI to public health authorities and their authorized representatives for public health surveillance, investigations, and interventions. A "minimum necessary" requirement applies to "permissive" disclosures, thereby limiting such disclosures to the "minimum necessary to accomplish the intended purpose of the use, disclosure, or request" (Section 164.502 (b) (1.).

A "Public Health Newborn Screening Program" includes initial screening, QA, diagnosis, follow-up, contracts with ac-

ademic laboratories and consultants, and management of the research uses of the stored data. A program must share data among state agencies, laboratories, physicians, and state- and Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved researchers to fulfill the public health mandate. Because each state's program is run in different ways, each needs to consult with its advisors about its status as a "covered entity," "provider," or other public health-related status. For example, under the Privacy Rule, if data are collected as surveillance data under 164.512(b) by a public health authority authorized by law to collect or receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, any subsequent use or disclosures are not required to comply with the Privacy Rule. State law may provide added protections. If the public health authority is also a covered entity, the Privacy Rule would apply for subsequent uses, for example, research (see discussion below).

Once screening has occurred, the results, the diagnosis, a care plan, and follow-up treatment can be transmitted to the laboratory, the public health department, and the physician(s) providing care. This is allowed under the regulations because of the public health mandate and because once a patient has received and acknowledged the Notice of Privacy Practices (a document that explains the patient's rights and the actions the provider will take to protect privacy), the PHI can be used and disclosed. The patient would receive a notice from the hospital where the birth occurred and from the primary care physician.

Security

If PHI is transmitted electronically (which means by computer, not by phone or fax), transmission must be secure. The security conditions required are set forth in HIPAA security regulations found in relevant parts of 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164. Those regulations become effective April 21, 2005. They require adequate firewalls, encryption, password protection, and backup so that electronic transmissions can protect the confidentiality of the PHI.

Research

Research conducted by state or federal programs as mandated by relevant law is permitted as a public health activity.

For research by private researchers or research not mandated by law (e.g., a prevalence study using identifiable names linked to DNA), the rules of research would apply. Research with human subjects conducted with federal funding (or involving researchers otherwise covered by federal law) is regulated by 45 CFR Part 46.

Because research is not considered to be part of treatment, payment, or operations, a researcher wishing to access PHI from a covered entity must either:

- de-identify the PHI so that the patient cannot be determined. De-identification occurs once the following items are redacted from the data to be used by the researcher:

 names;
 - all geographic subdivisions smaller than a state, including address, except for the initial 3 digits of a zip code

(there are special rules for zip codes containing 20,000 or fewer people;

- all dates, except the year including birth date;
- telephone number;
- fax number;
- electronic mail address;
- Social Security number;
- medical record number;
- health plan beneficiary number;
- account numbers;
- certificate/license numbers;
- vehicle identification and serial numbers;
- device identifiers and serial numbers;
- URLs;
- IP address numbers;
- biometric identifiers;
- full-face photos or comparable images; and
- any other unique identifying number, characteristic or code.

OR

- 2. have the patient authorize access to the PHI, unless a Privacy Board or an IRB waives the need for authorization in accordance with specific requirements designed to protect privacy. Those requirements include a finding that the research could not practicably be conducted without the waiver, that data will not be reused or disclosed to a third party, and that there is an adequate plan to protect privacy (164.512(i)).
 - OR
- 3. construct a Limited Data Set, where the data are provided to a researcher who has signed a Data Use Agreement. A

Limited Data Set can include dates and geographic information, but not street addresses or other direct identifiers listed above. A Data Use Agreement establishes the permitted uses of the limited data set and says the researcher will not further use or disclose the information, will protect it, and will not identify or contact the individuals whose data are in the set.

For research using DNA derived from dried-bloodspots:

- a. there must be de-identification, which can most easily be accomplished by simply snipping off a piece of the specimen and providing no other information; or
- b. there must be parental or legal guardian written authorization on a Privacy Rule compliant form; or
- c. there must be a waiver of the need for authorization properly granted by a Privacy Board or IRB; or
- d. there must be a Limited Data Set containing only general geographic information and relevant dates, coupled with a data use agreement signed by the researcher (see privacyrulesandresearch.nih.gov/).

Conclusion

Because newborn screening and related activities are permitted under 45 CFR Section 164.512 (a) and (b) and are required by state law, these activities and associated research can proceed under the Privacy Rule. The greatest challenge is to confront the often pervasive misinformation about the Privacy Rule that sometimes has been used to justify the nondisclosureof newborn screening and other public health information.