



# ACMG TECHNICAL STANDARD

# Section E6.7-6.12 of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) Technical Laboratory Standards: Cytogenomic studies of acquired chromosomal abnormalities in solid tumors



Alanna J. Church<sup>1,2</sup>, Yassmine Akkari<sup>3</sup>, Kristin Deeb<sup>4</sup>, Ravindra Kolhe<sup>5</sup>, Fumin Lin<sup>6</sup>, Elizabeth Spiteri<sup>7</sup>, Daynna J. Wolff<sup>8</sup>, Lina Shao<sup>9</sup>; on behalf of the ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee<sup>10</sup>,\*

**Disclaimer:** This technical standard is designed primarily as an educational resource for clinical laboratory geneticists to help them provide quality clinical laboratory genetic services. Adherence to this technical standard is voluntary and does not necessarily assure a successful medical outcome. This technical standard should not be considered inclusive of all proper procedures and tests or exclusive of other procedures and tests that are reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. In determining the propriety of any specific procedure or test, clinical laboratory geneticists should apply their own professional judgment to the specific circumstances presented by the individual patient or specimen.

Clinical laboratory geneticists are encouraged to document the rationale for how a particular test was designed, its intended use and its performance specifications as well as whether or not it is in conformance with this technical standard. They also are advised to take notice of the date any particular technical standard was adopted, and to consider other relevant medical and scientific information that becomes available after that date. It also would be prudent to consider whether intellectual property interests may restrict the performance of certain tests and other procedures. Where individual authors are listed, the views expressed may not reflect those of authors' employers or affiliated institutions.

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 January 2024 Accepted 8 January 2024 Available online 20 February 2024

#### Keywords:

Chromosomal microarray analysis Fluorescence in situ hybridization G-banded chromosome analysis Optical genome mapping Solid tumors

### A B S T R A C T

Clinical cytogenomic studies of solid tumor samples are critical to the diagnosis, prognostication, and treatment selection for cancer patients. An overview of current cytogenomic techniques for solid tumor analysis is provided, including standards for sample preparation, clinical and technical considerations, and documentation of results. With the evolving technologies and their application in solid tumor analysis, these standards now include sequencing technology and optical genome mapping, in addition to the conventional cytogenomic methods, such as G-banded chromosome analysis, fluorescence in situ hybridization, and chromosomal microarray analysis. This updated Section E6.7-6.12 supersedes the previous Section E6.5-6.8 in Section E: Clinical Cytogenetics of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics Standards for Clinical Genetics Laboratories. © 2024 American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

The Board of Directors of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics approved this technical standard on 18 December 2023. \*Correspondence: ACMG. *Email address:* documents@acmg.net

Affiliations are at the end of the document.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2024.101070

1098-3600/© 2024 American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 6.7. Introduction

The genetic makeup of tumor cells includes vital information that not only describes the biology of the tumor but can also guide clinical patient care. Gross and submicroscopic chromosome alterations, including copy-number abnormalities (CNAs) and balanced rearrangements, are common oncogenic drivers in solid tumors and are also important clinical markers informing diagnosis and risk stratification and guiding treatment selection.<sup>1-4</sup> Cytogenomic studies comprise techniques that evaluate chromosome alterations, including established approaches such as G-banded chromosome analysis, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), and chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA). They also include emerging technologies such as optical genome mapping (OGM), as well as the use of next-generation sequencing for detection of CNAs and balanced rearrangements/oncogenic gene fusions (Table 1).<sup>5,6</sup> The clinical laboratory practice of performing cytogenomic studies on patient samples in a clinically relevant time frame is critical to providing the best care to our patients. These standards provide structure and guidance to support laboratory geneticists in performing cytogenomic studies for solid tumors; however, comprehensive coverage of sequencing-based tumor testing is outside the scope of this document.

# 6.8. Methods

These technical laboratory standards were informed by a review of the literature and current guidelines. Resources consulted included PubMed, relevant American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) standards, National Comprehensive Cancer Network, and Children's Oncology Group guidelines, as well as current World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. The workgroup members also used their expert opinion and empirical data to inform their recommendations. Conflicts of interest documentation for workgroup members is listed at the end of the paper. The ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee reviewed the document providing further input on the content, and a final draft was presented to the ACMG Board of Directors for review and approval to post on the ACMG website for member comment. Upon posting to the ACMG website, an email and link were sent to all ACMG members inviting participation in the 30-day open comment process. All members' comments and additional evidence received were assessed by the authors, and these recommendations were incorporated into the document as deemed appropriate. Member comments and author responses were reviewed by representatives of the ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee and the ACMG Board of Directors. The final document was approved for publication by the ACMG Board of Directors.

Table 1 Overview of cytogenomic assays	enomic assays					
Comparison/Methodology	G-banded	FISH	CMA	Sequencing Panels	g Panels	0GM
Analyte	Chromosome in	DNA in Interphase	DNA	DNA	RNA	DNA
Sample Type(s)	dıvıdıng cells Fresh (Sterile)	nucleı and metaphase Fresh, Frozen, Fixed	Fresh, Frozen, Fixed	Fresh, Frozen, Fixed	Fresh, Frozen, Fixed	Fresh, Frozen
Coverage	Whole genome	Targeted	Whole genome, Targeted	Whole genome, Targeted	Whole genome, Targeted	Whole genome
			(depending on assay design)	(depending on assay design)	(depending on assay design)	
Distinction of individual	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
cell clones						
Throughput <sup>a</sup>	Low	Low	High		High	Low - Medium
Analytical sensitivity <sup>a</sup>	Low	Intermediate	Intermediate	High	High	Intermediate
Structural rearrangements	Yes	Yes	Unbalanced		Fusions	Yes
Copy-number abnormalities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Limited	No	Yes
Single-nucleotide variants	No	No	No	Yes	Limited	No
<i>CMA</i> , chromosomal microarray analysis; <i>FISH</i> , fluorescer <sup>a</sup> These terms are relative and are not precisely defined.	/ analysis; FISH, fluore are not precisely defi	<i>CMA</i> , chromosomal microarray analysis; <i>FISH</i> , fluorescence in situ hybridization; <i>06M</i> , optical genome mapping. <sup>a</sup> These terms are relative and are not precisely defined.	M, optical genome mapping.			

# 6.9. General Considerations

6.9.1 Cytogenomic analysis of solid tumors is performed to detect and characterize chromosome alterations to support clinical care. This analysis may provide critical information for diagnosis, prognostication, and selection of therapy.<sup>1-6</sup> Cytogenomic studies of tumor tissues may be accomplished by G-banded chromosome analysis, FISH, CMA, OGM, sequencing, or a combination of these methodologies.

6.9.2 Study of tumor tissues will be influenced by several variables, including the amount of available tissue, whether the tissue is fresh, frozen, or fixed, the working differential diagnosis, and the available methods for testing.

6.9.3 The laboratory director and staff should be familiar with the recurrent cytogenetic and molecular aberrations associated with tumor types/subtypes and their clinical significance. Supplemental Tables 1 to 4 include clinically significant solid tumor chromosomal aberrations with known genes, clinical significance, and references.

6.9.4 Tumor processing, analytical variables, and turnaround time (TAT) should be determined by the laboratory based on the reason for referral, the sample(s) received, and the clinical application of the results (eg, selection of therapy). It is recommended that laboratories work with the oncologist and pathologist where possible to determine the method(s) to ascertain the clinically relevant genetic information, and the appropriate test utilization in the context of the patient's care.

6.9.5 The clinical significance of cytogenomic results must be interpreted within the context of the patient's pathologic and clinical findings. The presence of specific alterations or pertinent negative results should be communicated to the patient's care team as soon as feasible to contribute to timely clinical management.

6.9.6 A quality management plan spanning the preanalytic, analytic, and post-analytic phases of testing is required. Specific metrics may include tracking of specimens and results, TAT, assay-specific metrics such as culture or hybridization failure rate, and correlation of results from different testing methods.

6.9.7 Cytogenomic studies may reveal germline and/or secondary findings. It is recommended that laboratories refer to their policies and procedures to address these situations.

#### 6.10. Sample Collection and Processing

#### 6.10.1. Sample collection

6.10.1.1 Sample collection and preparation are critical to the success of any assay. For example, G-banded chromosome analysis requires that fresh tissue be collected in a sterile manner. Other cytogenetic assays such as FISH or CMA may be successful with formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) tissue preparation which allows for optimal histopathologic evaluation. Frozen tissue may also be a viable option, particularly for bony specimens that are often decalcified in conventional pathology workflows and may have nucleic acid degradation. Evaluation of frozen tissue by a pathologist can be done by frozen section. For DNA or RNA based assays, nucleic acid isolation from fresh, frozen or FFPE tissue is preferred over cultured cells to avoid cultural artifacts.

6.10.1.2 Review of the tissue by a pathologist is recommended to identify and mark optimal areas of tumor for testing, specify the percentage of tumor in an area, and/or identify areas of necrosis or stromal tissue to avoid. In addition, evaluation of tumor cellularity in the selected sample is an important pre-analytic quality indicator that may subsequently influence analytic algorithms and clinical interpretation.

6.10.1.3 For G-banded chromosome studies, the laboratory should request a sample size of at least 0.5 to 1 cm<sup>3</sup> to be processed for cell cultures or other genomic assays. In cases with limited tissue (<0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>), the laboratory may attempt to acquire as much as can be provided without compromising the histopathologic evaluation of the tumor. If the sample size precludes cell culture and G-banded chromosome evaluation, touch imprint preparations (TPs), cytospin preparations, or paraffin-embedded tissue sections may be used for FISH analysis and/or nucleic acid isolation for CMA or sequencing analysis. Because G-banded analysis is a technique that is established and available in clinical cytogenetic laboratories across the world, procuring viable tissue to culture tumor cells may be crucial for diagnosis. Depending on specimen size and availability of fresh tissue, short-term cultures may be initiated for G-banded chromosome analysis, which may lead, in some instances, to the detection of clinically relevant chromosomal abnormalities, therefore establishing the final diagnosis and avoiding additional testing. Examples include the detection of t(X;17)(p11.2;q35) in renal cell carcinoma with microphthalmia transcription factor translocation or t(12;15)(p13;q26.1) in congenital mesoblastic nephroma.<sup>7,8</sup>

6.10.1.4 Fresh tumor should be transported to the laboratory as soon as possible for immediate processing, including tissue culture for G-banded chromosome analysis, creating TPs for FISH analysis.

6.10.1.5 The fresh tumor sample is inspected, and details of the sample size, color, and other descriptive attributes are recorded.

6.10.1.6 Triage of the tumor sample as soon as possible is recommended to optimize a successful result from testing. Determining which cytogenomic methods will be used should be based upon the information contained in the requisition (including clinical information), laboratory policies, and the goals of testing.

#### 6.10.2. Sample processing for fresh tissue culture

6.10.2.1 For tissue cultures, treatment with antibioticand/or antifungal-containing media may be warranted, particularly for tissues from a body region with high concentrations of bacteria (eg, tonsils and gut). 6.10.2.2 Disaggregation of solid tumor samples for tissue culture is required. Either mechanical and/or enzymatic methods may be used. For some tumor types, different growth characteristics can be seen with exposure vs no exposure to collagenase. If sufficient material is available, cultures should be initiated with and without enzyme exposure to address potential growth challenges.

6.10.2.3 Culture methods, culture medium, and culture conditions are chosen to best support cell growth in the type of tumor received. The diagnosis and histopathology of a tumor can be helpful in determining culture and harvest methods. Different cell types can be expected to respond differently to growth medium, harvest method, etc (Supplemental Table 5). If the diagnosis is unknown at the time of culture initiation, it can be helpful to know whether the pathologist would classify the tumor as a "small round cell tumor" (SRCT). SRCTs can be successfully grown in suspension, whereas non-SRCTs are best grown with monolayer culture methods (flask or coverslip), in situ coverslip cultures are recommended. Most SRCTs will also grow in monolayer culture. If adequate tissue is obtained, both culture types should be initiated for SRCTs, with duplicate cultures established whenever possible. Short culture durations are preferred to capture early dividing tumor cells and to avoid growth of normal tissues. It is recommended that monolayer cultures not extend longer than 3 to 7 days due to overgrowth of normal cells. Direct or overnight suspension cultures may also be used in conjunction with longer-term cultures to capture actively dividing tumor cells.

6.10.2.4 Experience with solid tumor culture will provide the laboratory with information regarding optimal growth conditions and harvest methods for different tumor types within each laboratory.

- a) It is recommended that each laboratory maintain a database documenting how the different tumor types have grown, and which culture and harvest conditions yielded abnormal clones. This database can be used to optimize processing and harvesting methods.
- b) Frequent (daily) observation of cells in culture is needed to determine cell growth rate and time to harvest. Time of harvest can be determined by laboratory policy and at the discretion of the technologists and laboratory directors based on the sample and differential diagnosis.
- c) Conditions used for cell harvest will vary among tissue types, eg, type of mitotic inhibitor, concentration, and duration of exposure, and should be established by each laboratory.

#### 6.11. Analytical methods

#### 6.11.1. Conventional G-banded chromosome analysis

G-banded analysis of metaphase chromosomes from solid tumor specimens provides a comprehensive view of the entire genome at a single-cell level, albeit at a low resolution. Moreover, it allows the detection of chromosomal aberrations that may inform a specific diagnosis and potentially provide prognostic and therapeutic information, especially when the tumor type is unknown. Single-cell analysis also provides information about clonal heterogeneity and co-occurrence of genomic abnormalities in different clones.

6.11.1.1 Cell selection: Analysis of metaphase chromosomes ideally includes cells with both good and poor chromosome morphology when attempting to identify an abnormal clone. Once identified, clonal cells are karyotyped and imaged to provide the most accurate breakpoint assignments.

Cells that cannot be completely analyzed because of poor morphology should be scanned for obvious structurally abnormal chromosomes and abnormal chromosome counts. If feasible, metaphase FISH analysis from previous Gbanded slides may further assist in resolving structural abnormalities.

Clonal abnormalities should be documented from 2 independent cultures, if possible, to ensure that in vitro culture artifacts are not mistakenly identified as a clinically significant abnormality. If only normal results are obtained from long-term in situ cultures, caution should be exercised, and the report should include a note that the negative results may be derived from the outgrowth of normal stromal cells.

6.11.1.2 Analytic standards

- a) Analysis
  - i) Analyze 20 metaphase cells and/or a sufficient number of cells to characterize all abnormal clones and subclones.
  - ii) If all cells show a complex karyotype, analyze at least 10 cells.
  - iii) For a recurrent or metastatic sample, additional cells may be scored for a specific abnormality that was identified in the primary diagnostic sample.
    - (1) In addition to looking for the known clonal aberration(s) from the diagnostic study, analysis of a sample after therapy is performed with awareness of the possibility of new aberrations signifying clonal evolution and/or the appearance of a new clonal process.
    - (2) FISH analysis may be considered in lieu of Gbanded chromosomal analysis for diagnoses characterized by an abnormality for which FISH testing is available.
- b) Documentation
  - i) For abnormal cells:
    - (1) If only 1 abnormal clone is present: 2 karyotypes.
    - (2) If more than 1 related abnormal clone is present: 2 karyotypes of the stemline and 1 of each sideline.
    - (3) If unrelated clones are present: 2 karyotypes for each stemline and 1 for each associated pertinent sideline.

- ii) For normal cells:
  - (1) If only normal cells are present: 2 karyotypes.
  - (2) If normal and abnormal cells are present: one karyotype of a normal cell plus karyotypes for abnormal clone(s) as described above.

#### 6.11.2. FISH analysis

6.11.2.1 FISH analysis may be used for primary, supplementary, or follow-up evaluation.

- a) As a primary method for tumor evaluation, FISH is useful when (1) fresh tumor tissue is not available, (2) rapid diagnostic information is needed to narrow the differential diagnosis or planning of therapy, and (3) gene amplification or rearrangement for diagnostic or prognostic and/or therapeutic purposes is to be determined.
- b) FISH may be used as an adjunct to the initial G-banded chromosome analysis, CMA, OGM, or sequencing, for example, to (1) confirm a specific molecular event, eg, gene rearrangement or fusion, (2) assess gene copy number, and (3) clarify level of clonality. It can also be added when no metaphase cells are obtained by culture of tumor material or G-banded chromosome analysis yields a normal result. Follow-up FISH studies may be indicated to assess recurrent disease or disease progression, and/or to differentiate recurrence of a tumor from a new disease process.

6.11.2.2 Characterization of FISH aberrations and signal patterns in diagnostic samples are useful for future monitoring of disease. Documentation of a unique FISH signal pattern at diagnosis can help establish a baseline for comparison in follow-up testing.

6.11.2.3 Sample types that may be used for FISH include the following:

- a) Paraffin-embedded tissue<sup>9</sup>
  - FFPE tissue is acceptable for FISH analysis. Tissues preserved in Bouin's or B5 fixative, or decalcified with strong acids are not suitable for FISH.
  - ii) Tumor sections cut to a validated thickness and mounted on positively charged organosilanecoated (silanized) slides work well. The cytogenetics laboratory may request several unstained sections, for potential repeat studies, and 1 hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sequentially cut section from the submitting laboratory.
  - iii) Before scoring a paraffin-embedded FISH slide it is crucial that a pathologist review the H&Estained or immunohistochemistry (IHC)-positive slide to delineate the region of tumor cells to be scored because it can be difficult to differentiate normal cells from malignant cells using only 4',6diamidino-2-phenylindole counterstain. The pathologist should make a clear boundary that can be overlaid onto an unstained slide. If needed, the technologist may seek clarification on where the

malignant cells of interest are located on the slide before FISH scoring.

b) Cultured or direct-harvest tumor cells

Such preparations have multiple uses for both interphase and metaphase FISH evaluation including confirmation and clarification of suspected chromosome alterations or characterization of an apparently abnormal clone. Metaphase FISH evaluation may help clarify specific chromosome rearrangements.

- c) TPs
  - A pathologist should be involved in selecting the tissue for TPs to ensure that the tumor is well represented.
  - ii) TPs are helpful when tissue architecture is not crucial.
  - iii) TPs can be made by lightly touching the piece of tumor to a glass slide without smearing, followed by air drying.
- d) Cytospin preparations

Cytospin preparations are useful for concentration of samples with very low cellularity, eg, cerebrospinal fluid or urine.

e) Fresh-frozen tumor tissues

- i) A frozen section performed for histologic evaluation of the sample by a pathologist will ensure that the tumor is well represented.
- ii) Such tissues may also be useful in sequential analysis of tumors or in evaluation of archived samples.

6.11.2.4 Probe validation, analysis, quality assurance, and documentation of FISH results should be in accordance with Section E9 of these Technical Standards for Clinical Genetics Laboratories.<sup>10,11</sup>

#### 6.11.3. CMA

6.11.3.1 CMA can provide valuable information to supplement that of G-banded chromosome and FISH analyses. In tumors where CNA, instead of gene rearrangements, play important roles in disease management, for example, neuroblastoma, Wilms tumor, and most central nervous system tumors, CMA may be the primary method for tumor evaluation. Isolated tumor DNA hybridized to whole-genome copy number and/or single-nucleotide polymorphism microarrays allows detection of loss, gain, and amplification of regions of DNA, which may not otherwise be detected by conventional cytogenetic methods. Single-nucleotide polymorphism probes allow for detection of regions with copyneutral loss of heterozygosity, which may harbor critical tumor genes.<sup>12</sup>

6.11.3.2 Sample types that may be used for CMA analysis include (1) fresh tumor tissue, (2) FFPE tissue, (3) frozen tumor, and (4) cultured cells. If clinically indicated, metaphase preparations of cultured cells from fresh tumor tissue can assist in resolving unbalanced structural rearrangements detected by CMA. It is important to utilize appropriate CMA methodologies that can accommodate FFPE tumor tissue samples or fixed cultured cells.<sup>4</sup>

A small piece of identified tumor is transferred to the laboratory as soon as possible for DNA isolation. During sampling, in cases of heterogenous tumors with areas of necrosis, normal tissue or prominent stroma, tumor dissection is essential to ensure that the extracted DNA is derived from the tumor tissue.

#### b) Paraffin-embedded tumor

A pathologist reviews the H&E-stained section of the tumor to identify an area of high tumor cellularity for DNA isolation.

c) Fresh-frozen tumor

a) Fresh tumor tissue

Frozen stored tumor provides high-quality DNA for CMA. A pathologist's review of the corresponding H&E-stained slides from the frozen stored tumor can assure that the frozen sample contains adequate tumor.

#### d) Cultured tumor cells

Cultured tumor cells fixed in Carnoy's fixative may be used for DNA isolation for CMA. An early decision to use cells for CMA is best to minimize growth of normal stromal tissue.

6.11.3.3 Analysis and documentation of CMA studies should be in accordance with Section E10 of these Technical Standards for Clinical Genetics Laboratories.<sup>12</sup>

#### 6.11.4. OGM

6.11.4.1 OGM is a cytogenomic tool that enables a genome-wide analysis of CNA, balanced rearrangements (translocations, inversions, and insertions), and complex rearrangements with higher resolution in a single assay compared with conventional methods. This method requires very-high-molecular-weight DNA.<sup>13</sup>

6.11.4.2 Sample types that may be used for OGM analysis include (1) fresh tumor tissue, (2) frozen tumor, and (3) cultured cells.

#### a) Fresh tumor tissue

For homogeneous tumors, fresh tissue is the ideal sample type and can be procured from surgical pathology for OGM analysis. The sample (~15 mg tissue) should be immediately stored at 4 °C to maintain intact cells for very-highmolecular-weight DNA isolation. For heterogeneous tumors, tissue can be cut from multiple sites to ensure representation from different portions of the tumor before DNA isolation.

b) Fresh-frozen tumor

The fresh-frozen tumor yields high-quality and highmolecular-weight DNA for OGM analysis. The fresh tumor tissue is transferred to cryovials (~30 mg viable tumor tissue) and either flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen or transferred to -80 °C for storage until DNA isolation.

c) Cultured tumor cells

Tumor cells that have been placed into culture may be used for isolating high-molecular-weight DNA using at least 1 million cells for OGM analysis. An early decision to use cells for OGM is best to minimize the growth of normal tissue components.

#### 6.11.5. Sequencing

6.11.5.1 Sequencing technologies, including Sanger, NGS, and long-read methodologies, are powerful tools that can evaluate multiple types of genetic alterations, including single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), CNA, and rearrangements. RNA sequencing is an efficient method for evaluating gene fusions, whereas DNA sequencing can evaluate SNVs, CNA, and fusions depending on the assay design.<sup>14</sup> Long-read sequencing, which requires fresh or frozen tissue, may be used clinically for the identification of large and/or complex structural rearrangements and methylation status.

6.11.5.2 Fresh, frozen or FFPE tissues are acceptable sample types for sequencing assays. As discussed above, FFPE tissues are typically readily available in clinical pathology laboratories and provide a convenient source of both DNA and RNA. It is important that the assay of choice be specifically designed to accommodate the shorter fragments and relative damage associated with FFPE tissues, both in the library preparation steps and within the analytic pipelines. Tissues preserved in B5 fixative or decalcified are not suitable for most sequencing chemistries.

Cell-free DNA in body fluids can also serve as a source of tumor DNA, particularly for inoperative tumors or those with diffuse growth patterns.

6.11.5.3 Practical considerations: when deciding whether a sequencing assay is appropriate for evaluating the patient's diagnosis, prognosis, or therapeutic options, each of the following must be assessed:

- a) The assay is suitable for the available material (eg, FFPE).
- b) The assay evaluates the alteration type relevant to the patient's diagnosis (eg, SNVs, CNA, and/or gene fusion).
- c) The assay sensitivity is sufficient to obtain a result given the estimated tumor cellularity. Note that a single assay may have a different sensitivity for different alteration types (for example, it may be highly sensitive for SNVs but have a lower sensitivity for CNA).
- d) For targeted panels, take careful note of the assay design with respect to targeted regions. For example, some designs do not include all exons of a gene for evaluation of rearrangements or may exclude untranslated regions.
- e) For RNA panels evaluating fusions, note whether the assay design allows for the detection of any fusion associated with a targeted gene (for example, *NTRK3* with any gene partner). Alternatively, some RNA panels may only assess known fusion pairings, for example, allowing for the detection of the canonical *ETV6::NTRK3* fusion, but would not be expected to identify *NTRK3* fused to a novel fusion partner. RNA panels also cannot identify fusions that are not transcribed.

6.11.5.4 Analysis and documentation should be in accordance with the Technical Standards for the interpretation and reporting of CNA,<sup>12</sup> SNV,<sup>2,15,16</sup> and gene fusions<sup>17</sup> in cancer.

#### 6.12. TAT and Reporting

#### 6.12.1. TAT

6.12.1.1 TAT should be appropriate for the intended purpose of the test. The laboratory should have a written policy for TAT and when to prioritize based on the clinical application (with respect to each other and with respect to other sample types) such that the genetic information provided can be used for appropriate and timely clinical management. The laboratory will also monitor the TAT for continuous quality improvement.

6.12.1.2 Because of the multiplicity of tumor types and the different tumor growth characteristics in culture, TATs will vary. Ideally, the final report for each tumor is available as soon as possible given such factors.

#### 6.12.2. Reporting

6.12.2.1 The most recent edition of the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) and Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) should be used to report the chromosomal, FISH, CMA, and sequencing results.<sup>18,19</sup>

6.12.2.2 Preliminary verbal reports may be appropriate for some cases and should be documented appropriately.

6.12.2.3 If an aberration is suspected to be germline, analysis of uninvolved blood, buccal, or skin/tissue sample is recommended to clarify the germline vs somatic nature of the aberration so that genetic counseling may be recommended as appropriate.

6.12.2.4 The final report(s) for tumor samples should contain the following information:

- 1) Patient identification using 2 unique identifiers
- 2) Referring physician name
- 3) Sample information (type, dates of collection and receipt, date of report)
- 4) Reason for referral or suspected diagnosis
- 5) ISCN or HGVS nomenclature as appropriate
- 6) Cells analyzed (both normal and abnormal) when applicable
- 7) Narrative description of the aberrations observed. The report should correlate the results of all assays performed on the same tissue. The interpretation will correlate the genetic testing results with the histopathology report and patient-specific clinical information. Discussion can include the clinical significance of the results for the diagnosis, prognosis, and/or therapeutic management of the patient with reference to current literature.
- References may be included to support the interpretation and to provide helpful information for the health care professional.

#### Acknowledgments

Y.A. was a laboratory director at Legacy Health during the preparation of this document. F.L. was a fellow at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia during the preparation of this document.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

All members of this workgroup are directors of clinical laboratories that offer cytogenomic testing or molecular diagnostic services to patients with solid tumors.

#### Additional Information

The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gim.2024.101070) contains supplemental material, which is available to authorized users.

#### Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA; <sup>2</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; <sup>3</sup>The Steve and Cindy Rasmussen Institute for Genomic Medicine, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH; <sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Augusta University, Augusta, GA; <sup>6</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; <sup>7</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, CA; <sup>8</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC; <sup>9</sup>Department of Pathology and Pediatrics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; <sup>10</sup>American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, Bethesda, MD

#### References

- Fisch AS, Church AJ. Special considerations in the molecular diagnostics of pediatric neoplasms. *Clin Lab Med.* 2022;42(3):349-365. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cll.2022.05.007
- Li MM, Datto M, Duncavage EJ, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation and reporting of sequence variants in cancer: a joint consensus recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017;19(1):4-23. http://doi.org/10.1016/j. jmoldx.2016.10.002
- Central nervous system tumours: WHO classification of tumours. 5th edition. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Published 2021. Accessed October 30, 2023. https://tumourclassification.iarc.who. int/welcome/
- Shao L, Akkari Y, Cooley LD, et al. Chromosomal microarray analysis, including constitutional and neoplastic disease applications, 2021

revision: a technical standard of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* 2021;23(10):1818-1829. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01214-w

- Ribeiro IP, Melo JB, Carreira IM. Cytogenetics and cytogenomics evaluation in cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(19):4711. http://doi.org/10. 3390/ijms20194711
- 6. Heim S, Mitelman F. *Abnormal Chromosomes: The Past, Present, and Future of Cancer Cytogenetics.* John Wiley & Sons; 2022.
- Argani P. MiT family translocation renal cell carcinoma. Semin Diagn Pathol. 2015;32(2):103-113. http://doi.org/10.1053/j.semdp.2015.02.003
- Knezevich SR, Garnett MJ, Pysher TJ, Beckwith JB, Grundy PE, Sorensen PH. ETV6-NTRK3 gene fusions and trisomy 11 establish a histogenetic link between mesoblastic nephroma and congenital fibrosarcoma. *Cancer Res.* 1998;58(22):5046-5048.
- Zordan A. Fluorescence in situ hybridization on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2011;730:189-202. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-074-4\_14
- Mascarello JT, Hirsch B, Kearney HM, et al. Addendum: section E9 of the American College of Medical Genetics Technical Standards and Guidelines: fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Genet Med*. 2019;21(10):2405. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0508-z
- Mascarello JT, Hirsch B, Kearney HM, et al. Section E9 of the American College of Medical Genetics technical standards and guidelines: fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Genet Med.* 2011;13(7):667-675. http://doi.org/10.1097/GIM.0b013e3182227295
- 12. Mikhail FM, Biegel JA, Cooley LD, et al. Technical laboratory standards for interpretation and reporting of acquired copy-number abnormalities and copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity in neoplastic disorders: a joint consensus recommendation from the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Cancer

Genomics Consortium (CGC). Genet Med. 2019;21(9):1903-1916. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0545-7

- Goldrich DY, LaBarge B, Chartrand S, et al. Identification of somatic structural variants in solid tumors by optical genome mapping. *J Pers Med.* 2021;11(2):142. http://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11020142
- Satam H, Joshi K, Mangrolia U, et al. Next-generation sequencing technology: current trends and advancements. *Biology (Basel)*. 2023;12(7):997. http://doi.org/10.3390/biology12070997
- Li MM, Cottrell CE, Pullambhatla M, et al. Assessments of somatic variant classification using the Association for Molecular Pathology/American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guidelines: a report from the Association for Molecular Pathology. J Mol Diagn. 2023;25(2):69-86. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2022.11.002
- Horak P, Griffith M, Danos AM, et al. Standards for the classification of pathogenicity of somatic variants in cancer (oncogenicity): joint recommendations of Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen), Cancer Genomics Consortium (CGC), and Variant Interpretation for Cancer Consortium (VICC). *Genet Med.* 2022;24(5):986-998. http://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gim.2022.01.001
- Wagner AH, Akkari Y, Li M, Roy A, Tsuchiya K, Raca G. Recommendations for future extensions to the HGNC gene fusion nomenclature. *Leukemia*. 2021;35(12):3611-3612. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-021-01458-0
- McGowan-Jordan J, Hastings RJ, Moore S, eds. ISCN 2020: An International System for Human Cytogenomic Nomenclature (2020). Karger; 2020.
- den Dunnen JT, Dalgleish R, Maglott DR, et al. HGVS recommendations for the description of sequence variants: 2016 update. *Hum Mutat.* 2016;37(6):564-569. http://doi.org/10.1002/humu. 22981

# **Supplementary Information**

# Section E6.7-6.12 of the ACMG Technical Laboratory Standards: Cytogenomic studies of acquired chromosomal abnormalities in solid tumors

Alanna J. Church, MD, Yassmine Akkari, PhD, Kristin Deeb, PhD, Ravindra Kolhe, MD, PhD, Fumin Lin, PhD, Elizabeth Spiteri, PhD, Daynna J. Wolff, PhD, Lina Shao, PhD; on behalf of the ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee

Tumor	Chromosome aberrations/location	Genes involved	Clinical	References
			significance*	(PMID)
Adult-type diffuse gliomas				
Astrocytoma, IDH-mutant	Lack of 1p/19q co-deletion		D	WHO 5th
•	<i>TP53</i> deletion, 17p13.1		D	WHO 5th
	ATRX deletion, Xq21.1		D	WHO 5th
	CDKN2A and/or CDKN2B homozygous deletion,	CDKN2A/B	Р	WHO 5th
	9p21.3			
	RB1 homozygous deletion, 13q14.2	RB1	Р	31996992
	CDK4 amplification, 12q14.1	CDK4	Р	31996992
	MYCN amplification, 2p24.3	MYCN	Р	31996992
	PDGFRA amplification, 4q12	PDGFRA	Р	31996992
Oligodendroglioma, IDH-	1p/19q whole-arm co-deletion		D	WHO 5th
mutant and 1p/19q co-	Homozygous deletion of <i>CDKN2A</i> , 9p21.3		 D, Р	WHO 5th,
deleted			-,.	31832685
	Polysome 1g and 19p		Р	19808867,
				22710961,
				31140557
Glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype	+7/-10		D	WHO 5th
	EGFR amplification, 7p11.2	EGFR	D, P	WHO 5th
	PDGFRA (4q12), MET (7q31.2), FGFR3 (4p16.3),	PDGFRA, MET, FG		3335011,
	MYC (8q24.2), CDK4 (12q14.1), CDK6 (7q21.2),	CDK4/6, GLI1, ML		24120142
	GLI1 (12q13.3), MDM2 (12q15) amplification			21120112
	Deletion of <i>CDKN2A/B</i> (9p21.3), <i>RB1</i> (13q14.2),	CDKN2A/B, RB1, I	DTEN TD53	24120142
	PTEN (10q23.3), TP53 (17p13.1)		1LN, 1135	24120142
	Gene fusion of EGFR (7p11.2), MET (7q31.2),	EGFR, MET, FGFR	3 NTRK1/2/2	WHO 5th
	FGFR3 (4p16.3), NTRK1/2/3		5, 111111/2/5	Who still
	(1q23.1/9q21.3/15q25.3)			
Pediatric type diffuse low-g				
Diffuse astrocytoma, MYB-	<i>MYB</i> (6q23.3) or <i>MYBL1</i> (8q13.1) altered	MYB::PCDHGA1,	D	23583981,
or MYBL1-altered		MYB::MMP16,		26810070,
		MYB::MAML2,		31563982,
		most common		31595312
Angiocentric glioma	MYB rearrangement or amplification, 6q23.3	MYB::QKI	D	WHO 5th,
	and rearrangement of amplification, 0423.5	(majority),		21046410
		MYB::PCDHGA1;		21040410
		MYB::ESR1		
Pediatric type diffuse high-g	rade glioma	WITDLJN1		
Diffuse midline glioma, H3	<i>TP53</i> deletion, 17p13.1	TP53	Т	31481512
K27-altered		1733		51401512
	PDGFRA amplification, 4q12	PDGFRA		22389665
Diffuco podiatric tura high	MYCN amplification, 2p24.3	MYCN	D, P	WHO 5th,
Diffuse pediatric-type high-			U, F	28401334
grade glioma, H3-wildtype		1	1	20401334
and IDH-wildtype	PDGFRA (4q12), EGFR (7p11.2) amplification	PDGFRA, EGFR	D	28401334

Infant-type hemispheric	Fusion of NTRK1/2/3 (1q23.1/9q21.3/15q25.3),	NTRK1/2/3,	D, T	WHO 5th
glioma	ROS1 (6q22.1), ALK (2p23.2), or MET (7q31.2)	ROS1, ALK,		
Circumperihed estreputie ali		or <i>MET</i>		
Circumscribed astrocytic glid	7q34 duplication	KIAA1549::BRAF	D	
Pilocytic astrocytoma	7q34 dupication	KIAA1549BKAF	U	WHO 5th, 18974108,
				19016743
	BRAF fusions with partners other than	BRAF	D	29141672
	KIAA1549, 7q34	DNAI	U	29141072
	FGFR1 fusions (especially FGFR1::TACC1),	FGFR1::TACC1		23817572,
	8p11.2			31729570
	NTRK family fusions	NTRK family		23817572,
		, the factor of		32082673
	NF1 deletion, 17q11.2	NF1 (often	D	10931370,
		germline)	-	23222849
High-grade astrocytoma	NF1 deletion, 17q11.2	NF1	D	WHO 5th
with piloid features	KIAA1549::BRAF fusion, 7q34	KIAA1549::BRAF	D	WHO 5th
	FGFR1::TACC1 fusion, 8p11.2	FGFR1::TACC1	D	WHO 5th
	CDKN2A/B homozygous deletion, 9p21.3	CDKN2A/B	D	29564591
	CDK4 amplification, 12q14.1	CDK4	D	29564591
	ATRX deletion, Xq21.1	ATRX	D	29564591
Pleomorphic	Homozygous <i>CDKN2A</i> and/or <i>CDKN2B</i> deletion,	CDKN2A/B	D	WHO 5th
xanthoastrocytoma	9p21.3	- /		
, Subependymal giant cell	Biallelic inactivation of the <i>TSC1</i> (9q34.1)	TSC1, TSC2	D	9403714,
astrocytoma	or TSC2 (16p13.3) gene (Tuberous sclerosis),			9007104,
	with the second hit frequently observed as			29221145
	deletion or loss of heterozygosity			
Astroblastoma, MN1-	<i>MN1</i> fusion, 22q12.1	MN1::BEND2,	D	WHO 5th,
altered		MN1::CXXC5		28960623,
				31111274
	CDKN2A homozygous deletion, 9p21.3	CDKN2A		28960623,
				30876455
Ependymoma		1	1	F
Supratentorial	ZFTA fusion, 11q13.1	ZFTA::RELA;	D	24553141
ependymoma, ZFTA fusion-		most common		
positive	Secondary CDKN2A homozygous deletion,	CDKN2A	Р	32514758
	9p21.3			
Supratentorial	<i>YAP1</i> fusion; 11q22.1	YAP1::MAMLD1,	D	WHO 5th
ependymoma, YAP1 fusion-		most common		
positive				
Spinal	MYCN amplification, 2p24.3	MYCN	D,P	WHO 5th,
ependymoma, MYCN-				31414211
amplified	Secondary loss of chromosome 10, deletion of			
- · · · ·	chromosome 11q			
Spinal ependymoma	Loss of chromosome 22q, 22q12.2	NF2		WHO 5th,
(MYCN amplification				35307892
absent)				

Posterior Fossa-A	Chromosome 1q gain or 6q loss			35307892
ependymoma				
Posterior Fossa-B ependymoma	Loss of chromosome 6, 22q and gain of chromosome 18 (over 50%) and loss of chromosome 10, 17 and gain of chromosomes 1q, 5 and 8 (under 50%)			35307892
	Loss of chromosome 13q		Р	
Myxopapillary ependymoma	Loss of chromosomes 1, 10 and 22, gain of chromosome 7, concurrent gain or 9 and 18			35307892, 22516549
Subependymoma	Loss of chromosome 6		Р	35307892
	Loss of chromosome 19			30053291
Meningioma		•		
Meningioma	Homozygous <i>CDKN2A</i> and/or <i>CDKN2B</i> deletion, 9p21.3	CDKN2A/B	Р	WHO 5th
	Deletion 22q12.2	NF2		WHO 5th
	Losses on chromosome 1p, 6p/q, 10q, 14q, and 18p/q, and (less frequently) losses on 2p/q, 3p, 4p/q, 7p, and 8p/q,		Ρ	WHO 5th; 20682713
Chordoid meningioma	Deletion of chromosome 2p			WHO 5th, 30382370
Angiomatous, microcystic, and metaplastic meningiomas	Gain of chromosome 5			WHO 5th
Medulloblastoma	1			
SHH-activated SHH-1	<i>GLI1,</i> 12q13.3 or <i>GLI2</i> amplification, 2q14.2	GLI1 or GLI2		WHO 5th, 28545823
SHH-activated SHH-2	Loss of chromosome 9q, 9q22.32 and 10q, 10q24.32	PTCH1 and SUFU		WHO 5th, 28545823
SHH-activated SHH3 and <i>TP53</i> -mutant	<i>MYCN</i> amplification, <i>2p24.3; GLI2</i> amplification, 2q14.2; 17p LOH or deletion, 17p13.1, chromothripsis	MYCN, GLI2, TP53	P,D	WHO 5th, 28545823
SHH-activatedSHH3 and <i>TP53</i> -wildtype	Chromosome 9p gain, 9q loss; <i>PPM1D</i> amplification, 17q23.2			WHO 5th, 28545823
SHH-activated SHH-4	Gains of chromosome 3q, loss of chromosomes 9q, 10q and 14q			WHO 5th, 28545823
WNT	Loss of chromosome 6		D	WHO 5th, 28545823
Non-WNT/non-SHH				
group 3	MYCN amplification, 2p24.3, isodicentric 17q [(idic(17)(p11.2)]	MYCN	Р	WHO 5th
group 4	chromosome 7 gain, 8 loss, 11 loss, and 17 gain		Р	WHO 5th
Choroid Plexus	1			
Choroid Plexus Papilloma	Gains of chromosome 7, 9, 12 and 20 (over 50%); less frequently chromosomes 8, 11, 15q, 18 and 19. Infrequent losses		D	25575132

Atypical Choroid Plexus Papilloma	Gains of chromosomes 7, 8, 9, 12 and 20 (50% or over) less frequently gains of chromosome 11. No recurrent chromosome losses	D	25575132
Choroid Plexus Carcinoma	Deletions of chromosomes 3, 11p, 6, 11q, 16p, 22q, 16q, 17p, 5q, 8p, 13q, 15q, 5p, 9, 10p, 18 (over 50%) and 8q, 10q, 17q, and 19p (under 50%).	D	25575132

Tumor	Chromosomal Aberrations	Genes Involved	Clinical Significance*	References (PMID)
RENAL				
Renal cell tumors (RCT) Clear cell renal cell carcinoma	3p deletion	VHL, BAP1	D	WHO 5th edition,
(ccRCC)				2022; PMID: 32434132
	9p loss		Р	
Multilocular cystic renal neoplasm of low malignant potential	loss of 3 or 3p	VHL	D	WHO 5th edition, 2022
Clear cell papillary renal cell carcinoma	lack of 3p loss	NOT TSC1, TSC2, MTOR or ELOC		WHO 5th edition, 2022
Papillary renal cell carcinoma	gain 7 and 17, loss Y	MET	D	WHO 5th edition, 2022; PMID: 32434132
Chromophobe RCC	hypodiploid due to loss of 1, 2, 6, 10, 13, 17, 21		D	WHO 5th edition, 2022; PMID: 32434132
Oncocytoma	loss 1q, loss Y		D	PMID: 32434132
TFE3-rearranged renal cell carcinoma (RCC)	rea Xp11.23; t(X;1)(p11.2;q21), t(X;17)(p11.2;q25), t(X;1)(p11.2;p34)	TFE3::var (most common PRCC, ASPSCR1 (ASPL), SFPQ; also CLTC, PARP14, RBM10, NONO, MED15, DVL2, KAT6A, NEAT1, MATR3, FUBP1, EWSR1	D, P	WHO 5th edition, 2022, PMID: 35980471, 34704642
TFEB-altered RCC	rea 6p21.1; t(6;11)(p21;q12) 6p21 amplification including	TFEB::var (most common MALAT1; also COL21A1, CADM2, EWSR1, PPP1R10, KHDRBS2, ACTB, CLTC, NEAT1); TFEB amp	D, P	WHO 5th edition, 2022, PMID: 26536169, 33208882
	TFEB			
ELOC (formerly TCEB1)- mutated RCC	8q21	hotspot mutations in ELOC	D	WHO 5th edition, 2022
Fumarate hydratase-deficient RCC	LOH or loss 1p/1q, 13q, 18; gain 2, 16, 17	germline mutation FH; somatic mutations FH. NF2, FAT1, PTPRT, EP300	D, P	WHO 5th edition, 2022, PMID: 35288096

Succinate dehydrogenase-	LOH or loss 1p (SDHB); gain	germline mutation		WHO 5th edition,
deficient RCC	1q	SDHB; less		2022,
		commonly SDHC,		PMID: 35288096
		SDHA, SDHD;		
		somatic mutations uncommon		
ALK-rearranged RCC	rea 2p23	ALK::var (commonly	D, P	WHO 5th edition,
		VCL in sickle cell	0,1	2022, PMID:
		trait carriers or		36370168,
		TPM3)		34704642
SMARCB1-deficient renal	rea 22q11.23 or loss 22q11.2	SMARCB1::var	D, P	WHO 5th edition,
medullary carcinoma		(MALAT1, CAPN2,		2022,
		RORA, MAML2)		PMID: 26433572
Metanephric tumors	t(9;15)(p24;q24	somatic BRAF;	D	WHO 5th edition,
(adenoma/adenofibroma/		KANK1::NTRK3		2022
stromal)				
PROSTATE				
Glandular neoplasms of the p				
Intraductal carcinoma of the	Loss 10q23.31 PTEN, 16q22.1	PTEN, CDH1 and	P, D	WHO 5th edition,
prostate (IDC-P)	CDH1, and 16q23.1 BCAR1	BCAR1		2022; PMID:
		A 41/C		29295717
	Gain 8q24.21 MYC	МҮС	P, D	WHO 5th edition, 2022; PMID:
				29295717
	rea 21q22.2 <i>ERG</i>	ERG::var (majority	D	WHO 5th edition,
		of cases of IDC-P)		2022; PMID:
				20220513
	Loss 13q13.1 BRCA2 (biallele)	BRCA2	D	WHO 5th edition,
				2022; PMID:
				33626496
Prostatic acinar	Loss 10q23.31 PTEN	PTEN	Р	WHO 5th edition
adenocarcinoma	Loss 17p13.1 TP53	TP53		2022; PMID:
	(inactivation)			22705054,
				29029453,
				31359337,
				31502941,
				32129857,
				31411988,
		TADDCC2		22684219
	rea 21q22.3 TMPRSS2 (ETS	TMPRSS2::var (e.g.,	P, D	WHO 5th edition,
	transcription factors	TMPRSS2::ERG)		2022; PMID: 30082453
	rearrangements) Gain 8q24 MYC	МҮС	Р	
				WHO 5th edition, 2022
Prostatic ductal	rea 21q22.2 <i>ERG</i>		P, D	WHO 5th edition,
adenocarcinoma				2022; PMID:
				19151660

Squamous neoplasms of the pr	ostate			
Adenosquamous carcinoma of the prostate	t(21:21)(q22.2;q22.3) t(3;7)(q27.1;q34)	TMPRSS2::ERG fusion FAM131A::BRAF fusion	P, D	WHO 5th edition, 2022; PMID: 32639612, 31882336
Squamous cell carcinoma of the prostate	t(21:21)(q22.2;q22.3)	<i>TMPRSS2::ERG</i> fusion	D	WHO 5th edition, 2022; PMID: 29629426, 31882336, 32628337
Adenoid cystic (basal cell) carcinoma of the prostate	t(6;9)(q23.3;p23-p22.3)	MYB::NFIB fusion	D	PMID: 31189999, 26089205
BLADDER				
Urothelial cell carcinoma (transi	tional cell carcinoma)			
Urothelial carcinoma in situ	Copy number changes: chromosomes 3, 7, 17, and 9p21		D	11447756, 31467041
Non-invasive papillary	Loss 17p	TP53	D, P	2208176, 21106220
urothelial carcinoma, high- grade	rea 4p16.3 FGFR3	FGFR3::var fusion and amplification	D	21106220
	Loss 9p21.3 CDKN2A	CDKN2A	D	2208176, 8895761, 9516934, 8208555
Invasive urothelial carcinoma	Focal loss: 9p21.3, 13q14.2, 17p12-p11.2, and 10q23.31	CDKN2A, RB1, NCOR1, and PTEN	D	24476821, 28988769
	Focal gain: 6p22.3, 3p25.2, 11q13.3, 19q12, 8q24.21, 12q15, 8q22.3, 1q23.3, 20q11.21, and 8p11.23.	E2F3, PPARG, CCND1, CCNE1, MYC, MDM2, YWHAZ, NECTIN4 (PVRL4), BCL2L1, and ZNF703, respectively	D	24476821, 28988769
Squamous cell carcinoma of bladder	Trisomy 7 Monosomy 9 Rearrangements of chromosomes 3, 8, 10, 13, and 17		D	9546064
	Deletion 9p	CDKN2A	D, P	7658499
	Loss: 17p and 18p (schistosomiasis-associated squamous carcinoma)		D	10964104
REPRODUCTIVE				
Endometrial stromal cell sarcor	nas			
Endometrial stromal nodule	t(7;17)(p21;q15)	JAZF1::SUZ12 fusion	D	11371647, 17667554, 15043312,

				21836477,
				21420714
Low-grade endometrial	Polycomb family gene	JAZF1::SUZ12 (most	D	21836477,
stromal sarcoma	fusions:	common)		11371647,
	t(7;17)(p15.2-15.1;q11.2)	JAZF1::PHF1		12850374,
	t(6;7)(p21.32;p15.2-15.1)	EPC1::PHF1		15043312,
	t(6;10)(p21.32;p11.22)	MEAF6::PHF1		16049311,
	t(6;10;10)(p21.32;q22;p11.22)			16397222,
	t(10;17)(q22.3;p13.3)			17197920,
				17667554,
				18580489,
				22918161,
				23211293,
				24592973,
				25288234,
				27154512,
				27219024,
				22761769,
				24530230, 2434229
	t(X;17)(p11.22;q21.33)	MBTD1::EZHIP	D, P	23959973,
	t(5;6)(q31.2;p21.32)	(CXorf67),		28758277,
	t(2;6)(q23.1;p21.32)	BRD8::PHF1		29721194,
	t(10;17)(p11.22;q11.2)	(implicated in high		30144186,
		grade tumor)		30789359
		EPC2::PHF1		
		EPC1::SUZ12		
		(< 3 reported cases		
		each)		
High-grade endometrial	t(10;17)(q22.3;p13.3)	YWHAE::NUTM2A/B	D, T	22223660,
stromal sarcoma		fusions	(Anthracycline-	22456610,
			based therapy)	23599159,
				24592973,
				27219024,
				28390819
	t(X;22)(p11.4;q13.2)	ZC3H7B::BCOR	D	23580382,
		fusions (common)		29192652,
				27631520,
				30789359
	t(X;10)(p11.4;p11.22)	EPC1::BCOR fusion	D	30144186,
	t(X;17)(q26.1;p15.2-p15.1)	JAZF1::BCORL1		28331900,
	t(5;6)(q31.2;p21.32)	fusion		30789359
Mined enithelial and an and		BRD8::PHF1 fusion		
Mixed epithelial and mesenchy				26074000
Adenosarcoma of the uterine	Amplification 8q13.1 <i>MYBL1</i>	MYBL1	D	26974998,
corpus	(sarcomatous overgrowth)			25231023
	rea 8q13.3 NCOA2	NCOA2::var fusion	D	26592504
	rea 20q13.12 NCOA3	NCOA3::var fusion		

Endometrial carcinomas				
Endometrioid carcinoma of the uterine corpus	POLE-ultramutated endometrioid carcinoma, mismatch repair—deficient endometrioid carcinoma, p53-mutant endometrioid carcinoma, no specific molecular profile (NSMP) endometrioid carcinoma		D	WHO 5th edition, 2022
Serous carcinoma of the uterine corpus	Copy-number-high subgroup i(1q) Gain: 1q, 2, 7, 10		D	23636398, 7736425, 9115961, 8174089
	Amplification 17q12 <i>ERBB2</i> ( <i>HER2</i> ) (>30% of endometrial serous carcinomas)	ERBB2 (HER2) amp	D, T (benefit from trastuzumab to a carboplatin and paclitaxel regimen)	24123408, 31550396, 23765245, 29584549
Undifferentiated and dedifferentiated carcinomas of the uterine corpus	Copy-number–low		D	20305618; 23018216; 27491810; 26743474; 28863077
Carcinosarcoma of the uterine corpus	Copy-number-high hyperdiploidy (60–78%) Copy-number–low hypodiploidy (22–38%)		D	27499902, 28292439
Mesenchymal tumors of the ute	erus			
Intravenous leiomyomatosis	t(12;V)(12q14.3;V)	HMGA2::var fusion	D	11904348, 12508249, 26892441
	Recurrent loss: 22q and 1p regional Recurrent gain: 12q		D	11904348, 12508249, 26892441
Uterine leiomyomas	t(6;V)(p21.31;V) Other rea(6p21.31) and t(12;14)(q14.3;q23-24)	HMGA1::var fusion HMGA2::var fusion	D	16504804, 25106763
	Loss: 7q22, 22q, and 1p	<i>CUX1, DEPDC5</i> and <i>SMARCB1,</i> and <i>NPHP4</i>	D	22965931, 26787895, 23738515, 26787895, 24525513, 24412114, 19602464

	Deletion Xq22.3 COL4A5 and	COL4A5 and	D	25106763
	COL4A6 Abnormal karyotypes (40% of uterine leiomyomata)	COL4A6	D	16504804
	t(10;17)(q22;q21)	KAT6B::KANSL1 fusion	D	31027501
	t(12;14)(q15;q24)	HMGA2 overexpression	D	30292626, 23738515
Metastasizing leiomyoma	Loss: 19q and 22q terminal deletion		D	17460458
Uterine tumor resembling ovarian sex cord tumor	rea 6q25.1-q25.2 ESR1 rea 2p25.1 GREB1	ESR1::var GREB1::var (Partners including NCOA1, NCOA2, NCOA3, CTNNB1, NR4A3, and SS18)	D	30350331, 30273195, 31094921, 31464709
Perivascular epithelioid cell tumor (PEComa)	rea Xp11.23 TFE3 rea 14q24.1 RAD51B	TFE3::var RAD51B::var	D	20871214, 25517951, 25651471, 30001237
	t(5;8)(q32;8q24.22)	HTR4::ST3GAL1 fusion	D	18085521
Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor	rea 2p23.2-p23.1 (ALK rearrangements)	ALK::var (common partners include IGFBP5, THBS1, and TIMP3)	D	27874193, 22646268, 28490045, 28664932, 25321329
		RANBP2::ALK and RRBP1::ALK fusions	P (aggressive IMT with epithelioid morphology)	21164297, 27874193
	Complex genetic rearrangements (RNA sequencing)	ALK negative	D	28490045, 29794871, 28664932, 30741845, 28731868
		ETV6::NTRK3 (uterine IMTs) RET::var fusion	D	29900760, 31917155
GERM CELL TUMORS (GCTs)				
Post-pubertal GCTs	i(12p), amp(12p)		D	9461002, 34680371, 15738984, 15167939, 17020968
	inv(10)(q11q11)	RET::NCOA4 (PTC3)	D, T	8290261, 35957881

	Gain: 1q, 7, 8, 12p, 21, 22, and X Loss: 1p, 4, 5, 11q, 13q, 18	D 15738984, (distinguishes 34068019, 9461002, GCTs; 25609015 Mediastinal GCT associated with Klinefelter syndrome) 10000150
Pre-pubertal GCTs	i(12p)	D, Less 10908150, frequent in 10779021, types I and II; 11921289, 12p gain rare 32144540 in prepubertal GCT distinguishes from adult GCT; Prepubertal GCT karyotypes generally less complex compared to adult GCTs
	Gains in 1q, 3, 11q, 20q, and 22 Loss: 1p, 4q, 6q	24577549, 34068019, 17285132, 29515628

Tumor	Chromosomal Aberrations	Genes Involved	Clinical Significance*	References (PMID)
HEAD AND NECK				
Eye				
Uveal melanoma	monosomy 3		Р	21658465; 28810145
	gain of 6p and 8q			26556006 (WHO)
Salivary gland				
Pleomorphic adenoma	8q12 or 12q14.3 rearrangement	PLAG1 or HMGA2 rearrangements	D	23821214 (WHO)
		concurrent or isolated <i>HMGA2</i> amplification	D	18828159; 34324456 (WHO)
Warthin tumor		<b>NO</b> <i>MAML2</i> rearrangement	D	24121173; 32222825 (WHO)
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma	mostly t(11;19)(q21;p13)	CRTC1::MAML2	D, P	20588178; 23018873 32860299 (WHO); 24856188
	rarely t(11;15)(q21;q26)	CRTC3::MAML2	D	19749740 (WHO)
	very rarely t(6;22)(p21;q12)	EWSR1::POU5F1	D	18338330 (WHO); 24856188
Adenoid cystic carcinoma	t(6;9)(q22–23;p23–24) or t(8;9)(q13;p22)	MYB::NFIB or MYBL1::NFIB	D	28594149 (WHO)
	loss: 1p, 6q, 15q			22505352; 29619555 (WHO)
	loss: 14q			22505352 (WHO)
Acinic cell carcinoma	t(4;9)(q13;q31)	NR4A3 upregulation	D	30664630; 31094928 32341238 (WHO)
Secretory carcinoma	mostly t(12;15) (p13;q25)	ETV6::NTRK3		20410810 (WHO)
Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma	mostly t(12;22)(q13;q12)	EWSR1::ATF1	D	21484932 (WHO)
Intraductal carcinoma	usually inv(10)(q11q11)	NCOA4::RET	D	29443014 (WHO)
Myoepithelial carcinoma		<i>PLAG1</i> fusions are identified in over 50%		29084941; 33027073 (WHO)
Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma		PLAG1 or HMGA2 rearrangements and/or amplification		11839563; 15920557 18828159; 24468654 27379604 (WHO)
		amplification of <i>MYC</i> and/or <i>EGFR</i>		

GASTROINTESTINAL				
Esophagus				
Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma	amplification in 7p11.2	EGFR	Р	26376349; 28757263
	amplification in 11q13	CCND1; CTTN		(WHO)
	amplification in 8p11.23	FGFR1		
	amplification in 8q24.21	МҮС		
	amplification in 12p12.1	KRAS		
	amplification in 12q15	MDM2		
	amplification in 3q26	TP63 and PRKCI		
	amplification in	SOX2 and		
	3q26.32–q26.33	ΡΙΚ3ϹΑ		
	amplification in 14q13.3	NKX2-1		
	homozygous deletion	CDKN2A and		
	9p21.3	CDKN2B		
	homozygous deletion	LRP1B		
	2q22.1–q22.2	07000		
	homozygous deletion	PTPRD		
	9p24.1 homozygous deletion	FHIT		
	3p14.2			
Stomach				
Gastroblastoma	t(11;12)(q13;q13)	MALAT1::GLI1	D	28731043 (WHO)
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors	loss of 14q, 22q, 1p and		P	28632504 (WHO);
(GIST)	15q			17226762
	· ·	KIT or PDGFRA	Т	9438854; 9588894;
		mutation leading		12522257; 25605837
		to constitutive		(WHO)
		activation		
Liver				
Fibrolamellar carcinoma (synonym:	400Kb deletion of	DNAJB1::PRKACA	D	28110996; 24578576
fibrolamellar HCC)	19p13.12			(WHO)
Hepatoblastoma	gain of 1q, 8q and 2p		Р	19061838 (WHO);
	(trisomy of 2, 8, 20)			15981236; 20461752
Hepatic mesenchymal hamartoma	t(11;19)(q13;q13)	MALAT1		15325096
Pancreas				
Pancreatoblastoma	loss (or LOH) of 11p			11696422 (WHO)
SKIN				
Low-CSD melanoma (superficial spreading melanoma)	loss at chromosomes 9,	CDKN2A, PTEN		14578177 (WHO)
	10, 6q, and 20			
	gain of chromosomes	BRAF		
	1q, 6p, 7, 8q, 17q, and			
	20q	fuelene of DOCC		
Spitzoid melanocytic neoplasms		fusions of ROS1,		24445538 (WHO)
(spitzoid melanoma, Spitz naevus and atypical Spitz tumor)		ALK, BRAF,		
anu atypical spitz tulliol)	1			

		NTRK1, NTRK3,		
		MET, or RET		
Hidradenoma	(11.10)(~21.512)	CRTC1::MAML2	D	17334997 (WHO)
пигаценопіа	(11;19)(q21;p13)			
	t(6;22)(p21;q12)	EWSR1::POU5F1	D	18338330
NEURAL CREST				
Neuroblastoma	deletion of 1p		P; often concurrent with <i>MYCN</i> amplification	8608986; 16306521; 20145112; 20558371; 10379019; 18923191; 19536264 (WHO); 32903140
	deletion of 11q		P; inversely associated with <i>MYCN</i> amplification	
	deletion of 3p		Р	
	deletion of 4p		Р	
	gain of 1q		Р	
	gain of 17q		Р	
	gain of 2p, including 2p24.3 and 2p23 amplification (dmin, hsr)	MYCN and ALK	Ρ	20558371; 6719137; 4047115 (WHO); 20719933; 18923525; 25517749
Neuroendocrine				
Follicular thyroid carcinoma	t(2;3)(q13;p25)	PAX8::PPARG	D	23738683 (WHO)
Papillary thyroid carcinoma	rearrangements of 10q11.2	RET (CCDC6::RET and NCOA4::RET)	D	10882153; 26868437; 29281951 (WHO)
		NTRK1 and NTRK3 fusions	Т	26784937; 29281951; 33923728 (WHO)
Medullary thyroid carcinoma		<i>RET</i> mutation leading to constitutive activation		26868437 (WHO)
	deletion of 9p21	CDKN2A	Р	27610696 (WHO)
LUNG				
NSCLC [adenocarcinoma (AdC),	gain/amplification of	SOX2, TP63,	mostly SCC; T	19801978; 15983384;
squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), large	chromosomes 3q	РІКЗСА		24461890; 24174329
cell lung cancer (LCLC), etc.]	amplification of 7p12	EGFR	AdC and SCC	(WHO); 23026827;
	amplification of 8p11	FGFR1	mostly SCC; T	22363766;
	amplification of 7q31	MET	mostly AdC; T	
	deletion of 9p21	CDKN2A	mostly SCC	
	7p12 amplification	EGFR		]
	2p23 rearrangement	ALK (mostly	mostly AdC,	]
	(mostly inv(2)(p21p23))	EML4::ALK)	LCLC; T	
	6q22 rearrangement	ROS1	mostly AdC; T	

	10q11.2 rearrangement	RET	mostly AdC; T	
Malignant pleural mesothelioma	homozygous deletion of 9p21	CDKN2A	Р	16540645
BREAST				
Secretory breast carcinoma	t(12;15)(p13.2;q25.3)	ETV6::NTRK3	D; T	16888913; 12450792
Invasive breast carcinoma	dmin, hsr	ERBB2 (HER2) amp	Т	19548375; 22417857; 23539740
	gains of 1q and 16p, loss of 16q (as der(16)t(1;16) or der(1;16)); lack of <i>ERBB2</i> amplification		mostly ER- positive	20500230
	loss of 1p, 8p, and 17p; gain of 1q and 8q; amplification of 17q12 ( <i>ERBB2</i> )		mostly ER- negative	
	8p12 rearrangement	NRG1 fusions	Т	29858224
		Homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) score	Т	26957554

Supplemental Table 4. Bone and soft tissue tumors with diagnostic or clinically significant chromosome aberrations						
Tumor	Chromosome aberrations	Genes involved	Clinical significance*	References (PMID)		
Adipocytic tumors						
Lipoblastomatosis	8q12.1	PLAG1 rearrangement	D	WHO 5th		
Atypical lipomatous	12q15	MDM2 amplification	D,P	WHO 5th		
tumor						
Myxoid liposarcoma	t(12;16)(q13;p11.2)	FUS::DDIT3	D	WHO 5th		
Fibroblastic and myofibrob	plastic tumors					
Nodular fasciitis	17p13.2	USP6	D	WHO 5th		
Solitary fibrous tumor	inv(12)(q14q24.1)	NAB2::STAT6	D	WHO 5th		
Dermatofibrosarcoma	t(17;22)(q21.22;q13.1)	COL1A1::PDGFB	D	WHO 5th		
protuberans						
Infantile fibrosarcoma	t(12;15)(p13.2;q25.3)	ETV6::NTRK3, other	D,T	WHO 5th		
		kinase fusions	,			
Inflammatory	2p23.2	ALK, other kinase	D,T			
, myofibroblastic tumor	•	fusions	,			
Low-grade fibromyxoid	t(7;16)(q33;p11.2)	FUS::CREB3L2	D	WHO 5th		
sarcoma						
Sclerosing epithelioid	t(11;22)(p11.2;q12.2)	EWSR1::CREB3L1	D	WHO 5th		
fibrosarcoma						
Vascular tumors						
Epithelioid	t(1;3)(p36.31;q25.1),	WWTR1::CAMTA1,	D	WHO 5th		
hemangioendothelioma	t(X;11)(p11.23;q22.1)	YAP1::TFE3				
Skeletal muscle tumors						
Alveolar	t(2;13)(q36.1;q14.11),	PAX3::FOXO1,	D,P	WHO 5th		
rhabdomyosarcoma	t(1;13)(p36.13;q14.11)	PAX7::FOXO1	,			
Spindle cell	6q22.1, 6q24.1, 8q13.3,	VGLL2, CITED2, NCOA2,	D	WHO 5th		
rhabdomyosarcoma	2p14, 22q12.2, 12q13.12	MEIS1, EWSR1, TFCP2				
-		rearrangements				
Tumors of uncertain differ	entiation	-				
Angiomatoid fibrous	t(2;22)(q33.3;q12.2)	EWSR1::CREB1	D	WHO 5th		
histiocytoma						
Ossifying fibromyxoid	6p21.32, Xp11.23	PHF1, TFE3	D	WHO 5th		
tumor		rearrangements				
Synovial sarcoma	18q11.2	SS18 fusions	D	WHO 5th		
Epithelioid sarcoma	22q11.23	SMARCB1	D	WHO 5th		
Alveolar soft part	der(17)t(X;17)(p11.23;q25.3)	ASPSCR1::TFE3	D	WHO 5th		
sarcoma						
Clear cell sarcoma of soft	t(12;22)(q13.12;q12.2)	EWSR1::ATF1	D	WHO 5th		
tissue						
Desmoplastic small round	t(11;22)(p13;q12.2)	EWSR1::WT1	D	WHO 5th		
cell tumor						
Rhabdoid tumor	22q11.23	SMARCB1	D	WHO 5th		
	nd cell sarcomas of bone and s					
			D	WHO 5th		
Undifferentiated small rou Ewing sarcoma	nd cell sarcomas of bone and s 22q12.2	oft tissue EWSR1	D	WHO 5th		

CIC-rearranged sarcoma	19q13.2	CIC	D	WHO 5th	
Sarcoma with BCOR	Xp11.4	BCOR	D	WHO 5th	
genetic alterations					
Chondrogenic tumors					
Mesenchymal	Deletion of the region	HEY1::NCOA2	D	WHO 5th	
chondrosarcoma	between 8q13.3 and 8q21.1				

# Supplemental Table 5. Tumor nomenclature for solid tumor culture method selection

Tumor types may histologically be divided into small round cell tumors (SRCTs) and non-small round cell tumors (NSRCTs) based on cellular features. SRCTs may grow in suspension or attach to the culture dish and grow as a monolayer. NSRCTs will not grow in suspension. When the sample is received in the laboratory, if the histopathologic diagnosis is not yet known, it can be helpful if the pathologist can tell you if the tumor is a 'SRCT' for the purposes of initiating cultures. Some tumors may grow with either method. If sufficient sample is provided for a SRCT, initiate cultures using both methods. If a very small amount of tumor is received, a coverslip culture is best. Observation of growth will allow one to determine if cells attach or float. If cells float and form balls, a suspension microharvest can be done. Suspension direct or overnight harvest may provide material for FISH if culture growth fails.

# Suspension and monolayer - Small round cell tumors (SRCTs)

Ewing sarcoma or peripheral primitive neuroectodermal (pPNET) Medulloblastoma or central primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET) Neuroblastoma Osteosarcoma Retinoblastoma Rhabdomyosarcoma

# Monolayer Culture - Non-small round cell tumors (NSRCTs)

#### **Brain tumors**

- Astrocytoma
- Choroid plexus tumor
- Ependymoma
- Glial tumors, glioblastoma, ganglioglioma
- Meningioma
- Oligodendroglioma

# Mesenchymal tumors or sarcomas or "spindle cell" tumors

- Clear cell sarcoma
- Desmoplastic small round cell tumor
- Fibrosarcoma
- Hemangiosarcoma
- Hepatoblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma
- Leiomyosarcoma, leiomyoma
- Liposarcoma, lipoma
- Malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH)
- Mesothelioma
- Synovial sarcoma
- Wilms tumor

# Germ cell tumors

- Embryonal carcinoma, yolk sac tumors
- Seminoma
- Teratoma

# **Epithelial tumors (carcinomas)**

Breast

Gastrointestinal Lung Prostate Renal cell